TOWN OF MANCOS BOARD of TRUSTEES WORKSHOP

February 28, 2024 6:00 P.M.

Joint Workshop – Mancos Creative District

Town Hall Board Room

Monthly Board Workshops are for Board discussion purposes only. Decisions cannot be made during these sessions. These workshops are open to the public, however public comment will not be accepted.

BOARD of TRUSTEES MEETING February 28, 2024 7:00 p.m. AGENDA

- A. Call to Order
- B. Pledge of Allegiance and Moment of Silence
- C. Roll Call
- D. Approval of the Agenda
- E. Approval of the Minutes of February 14, 2024
- F. Audience Business
- G. Announcements
- H. Committee Reports
 - Montezuma County Commissioner Update
 - Student Liaison Update
 - Montezuma County Planning Commission
 - Region 9
- I. Discussion and Action Items
 - 2023 Sales Tax Revenue Update
 - Resolution 6 Series 2024: 2024 Dispatch Agreement Renewal
 - Resolution 7 Series 2024: Resolution in Opposition of HB24-1152
 - Unhoused Public Meeting Final Details & Trustee Decision
 - Ballot Survey Update Discussion & Action
- J. Items for March 13, 2024 Meeting
 - Executive Session Legal Advice Regarding Wastewater Treatment Plant Litigation Update
 - Public Hearing: Ordinance 778 Series 2024: Empire Electric Franchise Agreement First Reading
 - Cortez Animal Shelter IGA
 - Possible New Liquor License (tentative)
- K. Adjournment

Town of Mancos & Mancos Conservation District Partnership Update



January 1, 2024

Urban Water Conservation

Water Conservation Educational Materials

- MCD has been sharing water conservation-based messages on social media.
- MCD has begun storyboarding a Municipal water conservation story
 - We envision conducting interviews with municipal water providers, like the Town, Mancos Rural Water, and Mesa Verde to share about how these entities are working to conserve water in the Valley through an engaging 'story'.
- MCD met with Resource Central to discuss bringing their native landscaping program, Garden in a Box, to Southwestern Colorado to engage residents in low water alternatives for turf.
- MCD has begun to brainstorm for a spring workshop to highlight water conservation, native landscape, and soil health for residents.

Mancos Public Library Friday Program

MCD resumed teaching "River Literacy" lessons on the first and third Friday of every month for the Mancos Public Library's Friday Program. Taylor and Sensa create lessons each month that engage elementary-aged students on the importance of, and our connection to, the Mancos River.

- November We held two lessons on the importance of Beavers in our watershed. The kids learned about beaver habitat, the benefits of beavers, and how to create their own beaver dams to slow down the flow of water.
- December We held two lessons, one focused on clouds and weather and the other on snowflakes.





Partners in the Outdoors Grant

MCD received the Partners in the Outdoors grant from Colorado Parks and Wildlife to focus on adult environmental education and stewardship. For this grant, MCD has hosted The Mancos Splash, a Mancos River Cleanup Day, and Mancos Watershed Tour.

Mancos School District Partnership - 5th Grade Art Class

MCD staff worked with art teacher, Alys, and both 5th grade classes to create conservation posters with the theme "One Water". Students took several weeks to create their posters with MCD staff visiting during their class time to answer any questions they had about conservation. After the posters were finished, they were hung up at the Mancos Public Library for three weeks for the public to vote and pick their top 3 favorites. After winners were selected, staff had a party with the 5th grade students to announce the winners and have cupcakes to celebrate all of their hard work.





Mancos School District Partnership - 8th Grade Science Fair Project

Sensa worked with an 8th grade student to plan his science fair project and conduct his research. Sensa aided in coordinating the collection of soil samples on private property and the analyzing of data with Kirsten Brown from the Department of Mining, Reclamation, and Safety. The science fair project will be presented at the science fair on January 19th.



Mancos School District Partnership - Mancos High School Chemistry Class

MCD staff have been working with the High School Chemistry teacher to provide once a month lessons on River Watch to students. We have completed 1 introduction lesson on water quality monitoring and why it's important and 2 sample collection lessons where students are learning to collect and titrate their samples.

Next Steps:

- Explore drought planning options for the ToM in order to avoid scenarios where water can't be provided to ToM residents.
- Continue creating water conservation education materials such as 'slogans' that can be placed on bills and social media
 - Topics could include: rain barrels, soil moisture measuring for lawns, turf replacement, xeriscaping,
- MCD will continue to support the Town on water conservation efforts and campaigns
- MCD will be hosting more adult engagement opportunities through the Colorado Parks and Wildlife grant to engage residents on topics around conservation.

TOWN OF MANCOS BOARD of TRUSTEES MEETING MINUTES February 14, 2024 7:00 p.m.

A. CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Queenie Barz called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND MOMENT OF SILENCE

C. ROLL CALL – Present: Mayor Queenie Barz, Trustee Nick Manning, Trustee Janice Bryan, Trustee Richard Tokar, Trustee Brent McWhirter, Trustee Ed Hallam, Student Liaison Haylie Higgins.

Absent: Mayor Pro Tem Cindy Simpson

Staff Present: Town Clerk Jamie Higgins, Community and Economic Development Director Jason Armstrong, Public Works Director Terry Jennings, Town Marshal Justen Goodall, Town Attorney David Liberman, Deputy Clerk Mercedes Yanito, Student Liaison Tori McKinley, Student Liaison Randi Lewis

Absent: Town Administrator Heather Alvarez

- **D. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:** Trustee Richard Tokar made the motion to approve the February 14, 2024 agenda. Trustee Nick Manning seconds the motion. Motion passed.
- **E. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES:** Trustee Janice Bryan made the motion to approve the minutes of January 24, 2024. Trustee Brent McWhirter seconds the motion. Motion passed.
- **F.** Treasurer Report: January Bills & Claims: Trustee Richard Tokar made the motion to approve the January Bills & Claims. Trustee Janice Bryan seconds the motion. Motion passed.
- **G. AUDIENCE BUSINESS:** None

H. ANNOUNCEMENTS:

- Jamie election update, CDOT Heather Alvarez is appointed TPR
- Jason Visioning Survey
- Terry updated the Board regarding the water tank.

I. COMMITTEE REPORTS

• Montezuma County Commissioner Update – Gerald Koppenhafer updated the Board regarding the Ute Solar Project

- Student Liaison Update Haylie Higgins updated the Board regarding the schools activities
- Montezuma County Planning Commission Queenie Barz updated the Board on what was discussed at the Planning Commission
- Region 9 Janice Bryan updated the Board regarding the broadband project
- CDOT TPR Richard Tokar updated the Board regarding bills/ projects
- Town of Mancos Planning Commission Nick Manning updated the Board on what was discussed at the Planning Commission

J. DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

- Mancos United Feasibility Study Collaboration Open: 7:19pm Updated from Mancos United. Closed: 7:22pm Trustee Brent McWhirter made the motion to approve the Mancos United Feasibility Study Collaboration. Trustee Nick Manning seconds the motion. Motion passed with a unanimous vote.
- 2. Mutual Aid Omnibus Agreement Trustee Ed Hallam made the motion to approve the Mutual Aid Omnibus Agreement. Trustee Brent McWhirter seconds the motion. Motion passed with a unanimous vote.
- 3. Marshal's Office Vehicle Purchase Trustee Richard Tokar made the motion to approve the Marshal's Office Vehicle Purchase. Trustee Janice Bryan seconds the motion. Motion passed with a unanimous vote.
- 4. Hazardous Trees/Sidewalk Matching Programs Trustee Brent McWhirter made the motion to approve the Hazardous Trees/ Sidewalk Matching Programs. Trustee Nick Manning seconds the motion. Motion passed with a unanimous vote.
- 5. HB24-1152 Accessory Dwelling Units Legislation Discussion Bring resolution to next meeting
- 6. 422 Grand Ave. Final Update Attorney David Liberman updated the Board

K. Items for February 28, 2024 Meeting

- *Mancos Creative District Joint Meeting (workshop)*
- Public Hearing: Ordinance 778 Series 2024: Empire Electric Franchise Agreement First Reading
- Mancos Chamber/Mancos Creative District Sales Tax Distribution FYI
- Resolution 6 Series 2024: 2024 Dispatch Agreement Renewal (tentative)
- Unhoused Meeting Dates from Justen Goodall and Jason Armstrong
- **L. ADJOURNMENT** Trustee Ed Hallam made the motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:58 pm.

Mayor Queenie Barz	

Deputy Clerk/ Court Clerk Mercedes Yanito

STAFF REPORT

To: Honorable Mayor and Trustees

From: Heather Alvarez, Town Administrator

Date: February 28, 2024

Re: 2023 Sales Tax Revenue Update

Recommendation

None – FYI only

Background/Discussion

The attached information reflects unaudited revenue for 2023 with respect to sales tax. Our current sales tax rate is 4% for the Town of Mancos, and our 2023 unaudited revenue for this line item is \$1,177,867.19.

In 2022, we started a 3-year pilot program to share sales tax revenue with the Mancos Valley Chamber of Commerce and the Mancos Creative District. We agreed to share 10% of our sales tax revenue from lodging and arts each year for three years to assist them with their economic development efforts.

In 2022, the total for these two categories was \$66,042.40, so we split \$6,604.24 between the two organizations.

In 2023, the total for lodging and art categories is \$66,016.27, so we will split \$6,601.63 between the Mancos Valley Chamber of Commerce and the Mancos Creative District.

Fiscal Impact

\$6,601.63 from sales tax revenue

Attachments

2023 Unaudited Sales Tax Revenue

2023 SALES TAX REVENUES BY BUSINESS	SS CATEGORY			2023 Sale	s Tax - Unaudited	1			
	(1) Funds	received by Tov	wn in:	2020 0410	J rax Oridantes				
Business Category	Januar	у	February	March	April	May	June		
Lodging	\$	2,761.16	\$ 2,177.69	\$ 1,539.04	\$ 2,091.95	\$ 2,778.88	\$ 5,033.85		
Retail - Necessities	\$	45,220.82	34,121.81	\$ 33,209.25	40,328.90	39,926.86	42,685.10		
Utilities	\$	10,210.93	11,021.71	10,698.72	10,505.96	9,693.49	7,780.56		
Food & Beverage	\$	13,579.16	15,094.38	11,823.94	16,010.70	22,330.28	21,267.50		
Art	\$	1,700.01	257.81	362.68	660.28	691.82	698.38		
Services - all other (2)	\$	12,264.92	9,179.47	10,827.22	10,535.02	11,262.77	11,428.59		
Finance, Ins., Real Estate	\$	942.36	797.32	1,081.06	838.68	1,538.31	4,873.02		
Wholesale Trade	\$	4,256.47	2,365.11	2,018.21	1,248.31	956.38	3,147.65		
Ag, Const. & Manufacturing	\$	4,163.55	2,401.25	2,186.76	2,276.27	1,007.69	5,307.62		
TOTAL	\$	95,099.38	\$ 77,416.55	\$ 73,746.88	\$ 84,496.07	\$ 90,186.48	\$ 102,222.27		
		·				•			
Business Category	July		August	September	October	November	December	Year-to-date	Percent
Lodging	\$	7,207.92	\$ 7,028.20	\$ 6,453.88	\$ 5,957.53	\$ 4,168.83	\$ 2,516.49	\$ 49,715.42	4%
Retail - Necessities		55,249.81	51,194.43	47,711.77	49,662.21	52,329.50	45,262.26	\$ 536,902.72	46%
Utilities		7,089.81	6,913.46	7,166.38	7,101.14	7,764.50	8,921.09		9%
Food & Beverage		25,640.69	24,829.97	23,586.37	22,280.42	19,395.30	24,339.44	\$ 240,178.15	20%
Art		1,669.26	2,830.65	1,658.47	2,973.40	1,652.21	1,145.88		1%
Services - all other (2)		12,272.15	11,654.48	10,572.89	11,326.30	9,859.93	15,175.31		12%
Finance, Ins., Real Estate		1,146.11	1,446.46	1,000.51	875.55	959.19	1,329.41		1%
Wholesale Trade		2,151.16	5,378.71	5,473.54	4,337.95	3,172.66	2,096.40		3%
Ag, Const. & Manufacturing		4,112.57	3,195.14	2,693.97	5,653.10	4,694.59	2,420.21		3%
TOTAL	\$	116,539.48	\$ 114,471.50		\$ 110,167.60	\$ 103,996.71		\$ 1,177,867.19	100%
			Ψ 117,7/1.00					Ψ 1,1//,00/.10	
			Ψ 114,471.00				,	Ψ 1,177,007.13	
(1) Month represents timing of receipt fro		·			th).		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ψ 1,177,007.13	
(1) Month represents timing of receipt fro (2) Marijuana has been moved to Services	om the State o	f Colorado (ap	prox. 2 month la	g from sales mon				V 1,177,007.13	
	om the State o	f Colorado (ap	prox. 2 month la	g from sales mon				Ψ 1,177,007.13	
	om the State o	f Colorado (ap	prox. 2 month la	g from sales mon e 3 or more store		2020		2022	
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services	om the State o	f Colorado (ap ue to the fact th 2016	prox. 2 month la	g from sales mon e 3 or more store 2018	es in Town limits.		2021	2022	2023
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month	om the State o	f Colorado (ap ue to the fact th 2016 40,791.86	prox. 2 month laptat we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92	g from sales mon e 3 or more store 2018	2019 \$ 69,879.95	2020	2021 \$ 109,560.24	2022 \$ 102,249.64	2023 \$ 95,099.38
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January	om the State o	f Colorado (apue to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44	prox. 2 month lapat we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79	g from sales mone 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25	2020 \$ 67,562.99	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March	om the State o	f Colorado (apue to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44	prox. 2 month lapat we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79	g from sales mone 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25	2020 \$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March	om the State o	f Colorado (apple to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71	prox. 2 month lapat we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79	g from sales more 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25	2020 \$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59	\$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10	\$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March April	om the State of th	f Colorado (ap ue to the fact th 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71 47,794.98	prox. 2 month lagrat we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79 \$ 59,406.37	g from sales more 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25 \$ 80,925.34	2020 \$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59 \$ 51,887.54	\$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10 \$ 107,951.64	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41 \$ 90,412.70	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07 \$ 90,186.48
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March April May	om the State of es - all other du	f Colorado (apue to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71 47,794.98 47,290.69	prox. 2 month land we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79 \$ 59,406.37 \$ 52,562.18	g from sales mone store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37 \$ 69,023.57 \$ 77,127.80	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25 \$ 80,925.34 \$ 64,905.41 \$ 74,095.61	\$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59 \$ 51,887.54 \$ 82,385.49	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10 \$ 107,951.64 \$ 115,348.92	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41 \$ 90,412.70 \$ 105,271.37	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07 \$ 90,186.48 \$ 102,222.27
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March April May June	om the State of es - all other du	f Colorado (apue to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71 47,794.98 47,290.69	prox. 2 month land at we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79 \$ 59,406.37 \$ 52,562.18 \$ 60,448.26 \$ 72,827.14	g from sales mone store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37 \$ 69,023.57 \$ 77,127.80	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25 \$ 80,925.34 \$ 64,905.41 \$ 74,095.61 \$ 84,869.00	2020 \$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59 \$ 51,887.54 \$ 82,385.49 \$ 91,522.97	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10 \$ 107,951.64 \$ 115,348.92 \$ 112,021.52	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41 \$ 90,412.70 \$ 105,271.37	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07 \$ 90,186.48 \$ 102,222.27 \$ 116,539.48
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March April May June July	som the State of t	f Colorado (apple to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71 47,794.98 47,290.69 51,078.50	prox. 2 month land at we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79 \$ 59,406.37 \$ 52,562.18 \$ 60,448.26 \$ 72,827.14	g from sales mone a 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37 \$ 69,023.57 \$ 77,127.80 \$ 79,050.11 \$ 75,082.26	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25 \$ 80,925.34 \$ 64,905.41 \$ 74,095.61 \$ 84,869.00 \$ 88,381.43	2020 \$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59 \$ 51,887.54 \$ 82,385.49 \$ 91,522.97 \$ 113,210.97	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10 \$ 107,951.64 \$ 115,348.92 \$ 112,021.52 \$ 134,037.74	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41 \$ 90,412.70 \$ 105,271.37 \$ 114,071.14	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07 \$ 90,186.48 \$ 102,222.27 \$ 116,539.48 \$ 114,471.50
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March April May June July August	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71 47,794.98 47,290.69 51,078.50 62,351.53	prox. 2 month lagrat we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79 \$ 59,406.37 \$ 52,562.18 \$ 60,448.26 \$ 72,827.14 \$ 69,750.24	\$ from sales more e 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37 \$ 69,023.57 \$ 77,127.80 \$ 79,050.11 \$ 75,082.26 \$ 69,407.67	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25 \$ 80,925.34 \$ 64,905.41 \$ 74,095.61 \$ 84,869.00 \$ 88,381.43	\$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59 \$ 51,887.54 \$ 82,385.49 \$ 91,522.97 \$ 113,210.97 \$ 109,611.60	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10 \$ 107,951.64 \$ 115,348.92 \$ 112,021.52 \$ 134,037.74 \$ 116,393.27	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41 \$ 90,412.70 \$ 105,271.37 \$ 114,071.14 \$ 112,765.44 \$ 101,068.96	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07 \$ 90,186.48 \$ 102,222.27 \$ 116,539.48 \$ 114,471.50 \$ 106,317.78
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March April May June July August September	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	f Colorado (apple to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71 47,794.98 47,290.69 51,078.50 62,351.53 56,388.35 56,792.03	prox. 2 month land at we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79 \$ 59,406.37 \$ 52,562.18 \$ 60,448.26 \$ 72,827.14 \$ 69,750.24 \$ 75,054.51 \$ 68,694.08	\$ from sales more e 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37 \$ 69,023.57 \$ 77,127.80 \$ 79,050.11 \$ 75,082.26 \$ 69,407.67 \$ 90,679.17	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25 \$ 80,925.34 \$ 64,905.41 \$ 74,095.61 \$ 84,869.00 \$ 88,381.43 \$ 83,582.66 \$ 87,369.54	\$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59 \$ 51,887.54 \$ 82,385.49 \$ 91,522.97 \$ 113,210.97 \$ 109,611.60 \$ 105,635.11 \$ 112,028.95	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10 \$ 107,951.64 \$ 115,348.92 \$ 112,021.52 \$ 134,037.74 \$ 116,393.27 \$ 123,745.81	2022 \$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41 \$ 90,412.70 \$ 105,271.37 \$ 114,071.14 \$ 112,765.44 \$ 101,068.96 \$ 120,384.17	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07 \$ 90,186.48 \$ 102,222.27 \$ 116,539.48 \$ 114,471.50 \$ 106,317.78 \$ 110,167.60
(2) Marijuana has been moved to Services Month January February March April May June July August September October	om the State of es - all other du shape of the state of es - all other du shape of the state of	f Colorado (apple to the fact the 2016 40,791.86 33,955.94 33,892.44 39,833.71 47,794.98 47,290.69 51,078.50 62,351.53 56,388.35 56,792.03 52,062.56	prox. 2 month land at we do not have 2017 \$ 47,522.92 \$ 43,783.08 \$ 41,692.79 \$ 59,406.37 \$ 52,562.18 \$ 60,448.26 \$ 72,827.14 \$ 69,750.24 \$ 75,054.51 \$ 68,694.08	g from sales mone a 3 or more store 2018 \$ 60,598.07 \$ 51,808.31 \$ 55,070.82 \$ 57,838.37 \$ 69,023.57 \$ 77,127.80 \$ 79,050.11 \$ 75,082.26 \$ 69,407.67 \$ 90,679.17 \$ 75,937.37	2019 \$ 69,879.95 \$ 49,184.69 \$ 48,452.25 \$ 80,925.34 \$ 64,905.41 \$ 74,095.61 \$ 84,869.00 \$ 88,381.43 \$ 83,582.66 \$ 87,369.54 \$ 77,547.37	\$ 67,562.99 \$ 76,409.03 \$ 65,584.59 \$ 51,887.54 \$ 82,385.49 \$ 91,522.97 \$ 113,210.97 \$ 109,611.60 \$ 105,635.11 \$ 112,028.95	2021 \$ 109,560.24 \$ 98,332.86 \$ 86,569.02 \$ 106,466.10 \$ 107,951.64 \$ 115,348.92 \$ 112,021.52 \$ 134,037.74 \$ 116,393.27 \$ 123,745.81 \$ 105,940.58	\$ 102,249.64 \$ 84,557.62 \$ 87,446.61 \$ 105,631.41 \$ 90,412.70 \$ 105,271.37 \$ 114,071.14 \$ 112,765.44 \$ 101,068.96 \$ 120,384.17 \$ 96,253.93	2023 \$ 95,099.38 \$ 77,416.55 \$ 73,746.88 \$ 84,496.07 \$ 90,186.48 \$ 102,222.27 \$ 116,539.48 \$ 114,471.50 \$ 106,317.78 \$ 110,167.60 \$ 103,996.71

STAFF REPORT

To: Honorable Mayor and Trustees

From: Heather Alvarez, Town Administrator

Date: February 14, 2024

Re: Dispatch Service Agreement

Recommendation

Recommend signing the proposed IGA for Dispatch Services from City of Cortez

Background/Discussion

The City of Cortez has submitted a revised agreement to us for our signature. The new agreement is attached here with our concerns and comments.

While the users of Cortez Dispatch services were advised that a new IGA was being drafted, we were not part of the discussions between Montezuma County and the City of Cortez. According to an email received from the Cortez City Attorney, "As Montezuma County and the City of Cortez are the primary users of the Dispatch Center, and as the City of Cortez is the operator of the Dispatch Center, those two entities jointly determined that a revised IGA was necessary due to many problems with the prior agreement. The version that you reviewed is what the City and the County jointly agreed was the preferred document. The version you received was sent to all of the Member Jurisdictions in the Dispatch Center, and some of the Member Jurisdictions have already presented the document to their respective governing boards and have returned the agreement approved and signed. The County and the City are not interested in negotiating individually with all twelve of the other Member Jurisdictions."

In my opinion, the City of Cortez and Montezuma County have left us with no choice but to execute this agreement to ensure that our citizens and staff have access to emergency dispatch services.

Fiscal Impact

Unknown at this time

Attachments

Executed 2023 Dispatch Agreement Proposed 2024 Dispatch IGA

TOWN OF MANCOS

Resolution 6 Series 2024

A Resolution Approving the Intergovernmental Agreement For Dispatch Services Throughout Montezuma And Dolores Counties, Colorado Including Federal Agencies, Authorizing Mayor Ellen "Queenie" Barz to execute the Dispatch Services Intergovernmental Agreement And Authorizing the Mancos Marshal To Appoint A Representative And An Alternate To The User Group To Represent The Town of Mancos

WHEREAS, the Town of Mancos has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with the City of Cortez regarding the provision for dispatch services in Montezuma and Dolores Counties: and

WHEREAS, the intergovernmental agreement is in the best interests of all of the involved governments.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF MANCOS, that the Intergovernmental Agreement for Dispatch Services attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 1 is approved, Mayor Ellen "Queenie" Barz is authorized to execute this agreement and the Mancos Marshal is authorized to appoint a representative and an alternate to the User Group to represent the Town of Mancos

Passed, Adopted and Approved to	11s 14th day of February, 2024.
Ellen "Queenie" Barz, Mayor	
Jamie Higgins, Town Clerk	-

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR DISPATCH SERVICES

THIS INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT is made and entered into effective as of ________, 2024 (the "Effective Date"), by and between the County of Montezuma, Colorado, the City of Cortez, Colorado, the Town of Mancos, Colorado, the Cortez Fire Protection District, the Dolores Fire Protection District, the Mancos Fire Protection District, the Lewis-Arriola Fire Protection District, the Pleasant View Fire Protection District, Montezuma County Hospital District, the County of Dolores, Colorado, and the US Department of Interior, National Park Service, Mesa Verde National Park (collectively the "Member Jurisdictions" or the "Parties," and individually as a "Party").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Article XIV, Section 18 of the Colorado Constitution, and Part 2 of Article 1, Title 29, Colorado Revised Statutes, encourage and authorize Colorado state and local governments to cooperate and contract with one another, and with the government of the United States, to provide any function, service, or facility lawfully authorized to each; and

WHEREAS, the Member Jurisdictions, all located in Montezuma and/or Dolores Counties in Colorado, including multi-jurisdictional representation from law enforcement, fire, emergency medical services, and bodies politic, believe that a user group will provide the most cost-efficient and effective emergency dispatch services throughout the areas served by the Member Jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, the Member Jurisdictions and residents of Montezuma and Dolores Counties would benefit in terms of enhancement of life safety and efficiency of service from the Member Jurisdictions entering into this consolidated intergovernmental agreement to provide services for the various Member Jurisdictions (this "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the Member Jurisdictions wish to enter into and comply with this Agreement for the purpose of operating and managing a dispatch center to be located inside the City of Cortez Police Department (the "Dispatch Center); and

WHEREAS, entering into and compliance with this Agreement will provide improved police, fire, and emergency medical service communications, within the boundaries of the Member Jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, as part of this endeavor, the Montezuma County Board of County Commissioners, acting as the Montezuma County Emergency Telephone Authority (the "E-911 Authority"), shall continue to be responsible for an E-911 related emergency telephone system.

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

Commented [HA1]: 2023 version stated: wish to establish and maintain a consolidated intergovernmental agreement for the "operations and management of a Dispatch Center"

Page 1 of 11

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Parties agree to support the Dispatch Center and will establish a user group. The Dispatch Center shall provide emergency dispatch services throughout the service area that the Member Jurisdictions serve (the "Dispatch Services"). The operation of the Dispatch Center shall be set forth in this Agreement.

Commented [HA2]: Used to state "accountability committee" so this is going away. This is throughout agreement, so I won't continue to call it out.

II. THE DISPATCH CENTER USER GROUP

A. User Group Members. A user group of the Dispatch Center is hereby established (the "User Group"), and it shall consist of individuals appointed by each of the eleven (11) Members Jurisdictions (the "UG Members"). Each UG Member shall be an appointed by the governing board, council, or authorized agency or department of their respective Member Jurisdictions, and their appointment shall be accomplished by resolution or other applicable formal action. On or before the Effective Date, a written confirmation of the appointment of each UG Member shall be submitted by each Member Jurisdiction along with this Agreement bearing the signature of an authorized signatory of the Member Jurisdiction.

B. Administrative Representative. Under Section V, Subsection (E) herein, the City of Cortez (the "City") commits to providing support staff and administrative services necessary to accomplish the Dispatch Services at the Dispatch Center. So long as the City continues to provide such support services, the City of Cortez City Council may appoint an administrative representative with voting privileges to serve as the twelfth UG Member on the User Group. In the event, another Member Jurisdiction assumes the City's obligation to provide support staff and administrative services for the Dispatch Center, that Member Jurisdiction may appoint its own administrative representative with voting privileges to serve as the twelfth UG Member on the User Group.

III. RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE USER GROUP

The User Group will recommend to the Cortez Police Chief supplementary rules and regulations governing the operation of the Dispatch Center as it deems appropriate, provided the supplementary rules and regulations comply with this Agreement. The Cortez Police Chief shall have the sole discretion to adopt and implement any recommended rules and regulations.

IV. POWERS OF THE USER GROUP

A. Dispatch Services. The purpose of the Dispatch Center, located at 608 N Park St., Cortez, Colorado 81321, is to provide the Dispatch Services throughout the areas in which the Member Jurisdictions provide services. The User Group shall make recommendations and provide service performance information to the Cortez Police Chief.

B. Dispatch Reports. Member Jurisdictions shall receive quarterly management reports on or before fifteen (15) days prior to the quarterly meeting of the User Group. The reports shall consist of financial, personnel, and operational information relating to the operation of the Dispatch Center (the "Dispatch Reports"). The Dispatch Reports shall contain the following information, generated

Commented [HA3]: No longer "Committee Members"

Commented [HA4]: This is completely new and the users were not consulted.

Commented [HA5]: This entire section has been replaced removing any ability we have to request records regarding Dispatch Services. Absolutely do not agree.

Commented [HA6]: Used to be monthly. We were not consulted regarding this change.

Page 2 of 11

by the computer-aided dispatch system and such other sources as the City deems appropriate, for each call dispatched: incident location, time call received, notification time, en-route time, time of arrival at the scene, and time back in service.

- C. Ownership of Records and Data. All records of the Dispatch Center relating to specific calls dispatched, including electronically stored data, geographic information system ("GIS") data, computer-aided dispatch ("CAD") data, and audiotapes, shall be owned by the particular Member Jurisdiction(s) for which the specific call was dispatched. Copies of any such records belonging to a particular Member Jurisdiction may be made at that Member Jurisdiction's request and shall not be disposed of without prior authorization from or in compliance with a retention schedule adopted by the Cortez Police Chief, which retention schedule shall comply with all applicable laws and regulations.
 - i. Access to Data. Each Member Jurisdiction shall have access to all data and audio recordings maintained by the Dispatch Center for internal analysis and criminal investigations. It is the responsibility of each Member Jurisdiction to provide any criminal justice records for case filing purposes directly to the respective court or prosecutor involved in a particular case.
 - ii. Records Request. Any records released must be records specific to only the Member Jurisdiction requesting the record. One Member Jurisdiction may not request records of another Member Jurisdiction without the express written consent of that other Member Jurisdiction, and such written consent shall be submitted with the request for records.
 - iii. Colorado Open Records Act. Records owned, created, or maintained by the Dispatch Center shall be subject to the provisions and limitations of the Colorado Open Records Act, C.R.S. § 24-72-201, et seq., and the Colorado Criminal Justice Records Act, C.R.S. § 24-72-301, et seq., and any applicable federal records laws and regulations.

V. ADMINISTRATION

- A. User Group. It is the overriding and critical desire of all the Member Jurisdictions to ensure that all the Parties maintain a strong and united working relationship. To accomplish that objective, the Parties shall form the User Group, which shall be comprised of a representative from all Member Jurisdictions (the "UG Members"). The Cortez Police Chief, upon the request of any UG Member, or at the Cortez Police Chief's discretion, may call a meeting of the User Group upon seventy-two-hour's prior written notice to all UG Members. Email correspondence from a UG Member may be used to satisfy the written notice requirement.
 - i. Operating Procedures. The User Group shall review Standard Operating Procedures and Dispatch Performance Standards for the Dispatch Center. Recommendations of the User Group shall be presented to the Cortez Police Chief.
 - ii. Personnel Matters. The User Group shall review employee regulations and make staff performance recommendations concerning the Dispatch Center employees to the

Page 3 of 11

Commented [HA7]: Absolutely do not agree. We should be allowed to request the records we deem appropriate, whether or not City agrees.

Commented [HA8]: Used to be "collectively owned"

Commented [HA9]: This leaves the decision to agree to call a meeting up to the Cortez Police Chief and removes the ability to call a meeting from all other jurisdictions.

Cortez Police Chief. The Cortez Police Chief, or his designee through his City of Cortez chain of command, shall be solely responsible for all employment decisions relating to employees of the Dispatch Center, including, without limitation, management, discipline, and promotions.

iii. Dispute Resolution. The User Group will conduct a preliminary discussion of all unresolved disputes between Member Jurisdictions, Dispatch Center employees, or other issues relating to the operation of the Dispatch Center, and based upon such discussions, the User Group shall make recommendations to the Cortez Police Chief.

- iv. Matters of Concern. The User Group will be the proper forum for discussion amongst the Parties of other matters of concern any Party may have concerning the operation of the Dispatch Center and the implementation of this Agreement.
- B. Capital Equipment Purchases. It may be necessary to purchase hardware, software, and other equipment to operate the Dispatch Center and provide the Dispatch Services. As part of this endeavor, the E-911 Authority shall continue to be responsible for the 911 Surcharge.

The Party that purchased any personal property or equipment to be used in the Dispatch Center shall continue to own such property or equipment unless that Party transfers ownership to another Member Jurisdiction in writing. All purchases must be made in compliance with the Dispatch Center operating budget and the 911 Surcharge budget, with purchasing procedures approved by the Cortez Police Chief, the User Group, and the 911 Authority.

C. Annual Budget. The Annual Budget shall be based upon an analysis of actual expenses and revenues from the previous year, which shall be prepared annually by the Cortez Police Chief and City of Cortez Finance Director. A final and updated budget request shall be reviewed annually by the User Group per Section V(C)(iii).

The costs allocated to each Member Jurisdiction shall be outlined in the annual budget, which shall be prepared by the Cortez Police Chief. The User Group shall have the opportunity to review and provide comments concerning the annual budget to the Cortez Police Chief. The annual budget shall include an estimate of the operational costs for each Member Jurisdiction based on each Member Jurisdiction's percentage of total calls for service. The billing statement shall reflect each Member Jurisdiction's use of the Dispatch Center as a percent of all Member Jurisdictions' total usage for the preceding year. Billings to Member Jurisdictions shall be based upon the percentage of calls each Member Jurisdiction incurred in the prior year, and there shall not be any minimum billing for any Member Jurisdiction.

- i. Preliminary Budget. An initial preliminary operation and capital budget for Dispatch Services ("Preliminary Budget") for the next fiscal year shall be presented by the Cortez Police Chief to the User Group on or before August 31st of each year.
- ii. Recommended Budget. No later than September 30th of each year, each Member Jurisdiction shall present the Preliminary Budget to their respective governing board, council, or entity for comment.

Page 4 of 11

Commented [HA10]: This is new.

Commented [HA11]: These items used to be collectively owned. Now basically solely owned by City of Cortez.

Commented [HA12]: The yearly budget used to be by mutual agreement of Accountability Committee and Cortez Chief. Now Cortez Chief has sole authority to prepare budget, regardless of comments provided by member jurisdictions.

Commented [HA13]: Unilaterally removes the 1% billing

Commented [HA14]: July in prior agreement.

Commented [HA15]: August in prior agreement.

- iii. Final Requested Budget. The final requested budget for Dispatch Services ("Final Budget Request"), containing the recommendations from the Member Entities' governing boards, councils, or entities, and the User Group, shall be presented by the Cortez Police Chief to the City of Cortez Finance Director no later than October 15th of each year. The Cortez City Council will consider the Final Budget Request as part of its annual process for adopting the City of Cortez budget by December 31st of each year.
- iv. Purchasing Considerations. The User Group shall review and recommend purchases not included in the Final Budget Request to the Cortez Police Chief for equipment and services necessary to provide the Dispatch Services. Purchases to be made by the E-911 Authority shall not be subject to review by the User Group.
- D. Employees. The User Group shall have the authority to make recommendations to the Cortez Police Chief on the performance and service standards of the Dispatch Center personnel. The User Group may select participants to an oral board and any other board or committee as requested by the Cortez Police Chief.
- E. Administrative Services. The City of Cortez shall provide support staff and administrative services for the Dispatch Center. An appendix of services to be provided shall be attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit A**. On or before August 31st annually, the City of Cortez shall inform the User Group of the total cost of administrative services incurred by the City of Cortez in the prior year, which amount shall constitute the fee for administrative services for the subsequent year (the "Administrative Services Fee"). The portion of the Administrative Services Fee allocated to each Member Jurisdiction shall be based upon each Member Jurisdiction's total calls for service in the prior year as a percent of all Member Jurisdictions' total calls for service for the prior year.
- F. Joint Meetings. The User Group shall request, at a minimum, one annual joint meeting with the members of the E-911 Authority. The purpose of this meeting shall be to provide operational updates, capital improvement needs, enhance transparency, and foster communication between E-911 Authority and the Dispatch Center.

VI. MEETINGS

- A. Annual Meetings. The User Group shall hold an Annual Meeting which shall occur each January on the third Thursday of the month. The User Group shall designate a time and location for the Annual Meeting. If the User Group fails to establish a time and a place, the Annual Meeting shall occur at 3 p.m., and shall be held at the Cortez Police Department, located at 608 N. Park St, Cortez, CO 81321. At the Annual Meeting, the Cortez Police Chief shall be named Chairperson of the User Group. The Cortez Police Chief shall also appoint a co-chair during this meeting.
- B. Regular Meetings. During the Annual Meeting, the User Group shall establish a calendar scheduling regular meetings throughout the year, which at a minimum, must occur on a quarterly basis (individually "Regular Meeting," and collectively "Regular Meetings"). The User Group shall decide the time and location of Regular Meetings by a majority vote of the UG Members

Page 5 of 11

Commented [HA16]: This is new and allows Cortez to unilaterally request purchases from E911 authority without accountability review or discussion.

Commented [HA17]: This is new. It is a new fee/charge instituted by the City of Cortez with no discussion or even information submitted to the existing accountability committee. Strongly disagree.

Commented [HA18]: Was fourth Thursday. Was the accountability committee consulted on this change to ensure all jurisdictions may be present?

Commented [HA19]: See previous comment.

present at the Annual Meeting. In the event, the User Group fails to designate a time and a place for the Regular Meetings, the Regular Meetings shall occur at 3 p.m. on the third Thursday of the month, at least every three months, and shall be held at the Cortez Police Department located at 608 N. Park St, Cortez, CO 81321. The User Group may cancel or reschedule a Regular Meeting by the written agreement of a majority of the UG Members, after written notice of a request to cancel or reschedule has been provided to all of the UG Members no less than seventy-two hours prior to the then currently scheduled Regular Meeting.

C. Notice of Meetings. Written notice for all meetings required by this Agreement shall be given at least 72 hours prior to the meeting. All notices must include the date, time, and place of the meeting and the purpose of the meeting. The Cortez Police Chief shall be responsible for notices of meetings. Any Member Jurisdiction may request a meeting by sending a written request to the Cortez Police Chief.

Where it is not possible or practical for <u>UG Members</u> to be physically present at any scheduled meeting, any <u>UG Member</u> may attend meetings through an electronic communication method by which all participating <u>UG Members</u> may simultaneously hear each other during the meeting.

All business concerning this Agreement shall be conducted only during publicly noticed meetings, which shall be open to the public.

- D. Meeting Protocols. Except where more strict requirements are set forth in this Agreement, meetings shall be conducted in accordance with the Colorado Open Meetings Law, C.R.S. § 24-6-402. Minutes of these meetings will be taken and retained on file in the Cortez City Clerk's Office.
- E. Quorum for Meetings. In order to conduct business, a quorum of the User Group must be present, either in person or via an electronic communication method referenced in Section VI(C). A quorum shall consist of a minimum of six (6) UG Members.

VII. BOOKS AND RECORDS

- A. Records and Accounts. The City of Cortez Finance Director shall maintain adequate and correct accounts of its funds, properties, business transactions, annual audits, or exemptions. Such records shall be posted as part of the City of Cortez's overall financial report to the City of Cortez Web Page, once annual audits are completed.
- B. Annual Audit. The books and records of the City of Cortez Dispatch Fund shall be included in the City of Cortez's annual audit. The audit shall be conducted by an independent Certified Public Accountant licensed to practice in the State of Colorado.

VIII. DEFAULT

A. Intent to Terminate. In the event that any Member Jurisdiction fails to pay its share of the operating expenses when due, or fails to perform any of its covenants and undertakings required pursuant to this Agreement, that Member Jurisdiction shall be considered in default. The City of Cortez shall provide written notice of intent to terminate the defaulting Member Jurisdiction's

Page 6 of 11

Commented [HA20]: See previous comment

Commented [HA21]: Used to be notice. Per my previous comment, this appears to remove jurisdiction authority to call a meeting and require jurisdictions to send a request to Cortez Police Chief, which may or may not be honored.

Commented [HA22]: We no longer have the authority to request information, as part of the group, regarding dispatch. This appears to require us to wait until City of Cortez posts the information to the public, or complete a CORA request, which may be denied.

Commented [HA23]: Previous agreement used to require a separate audit of Dispatch Fund, it is now lumped in to annual audit. status as a Member Jurisdiction, and to remove the Member Jurisdiction's UG Member from membership in the User Group. Notice of Default shall be provided to the defaulting Member Jurisdiction's governing board, council, or entity, providing such Member Jurisdiction thirty days from the date of such notice to cure the default. Upon failure to cure, the defaulting member shall not be entitled to representation as a Member Jurisdiction on the User Group and shall not receive services from the Dispatch Center.

B. Forfeiture. Except for the E-911 Authority, any Member Jurisdiction that is terminated under the provisions of this Section shall forfeit all right, title, and interest in and to any property or monies, liquid or investment funds acquired or held by the User Group to which the Member Jurisdiction may otherwise be entitled to receive upon the dissolution of this Agreement. This Section is not intended to limit the right of any Member Jurisdiction to pursue any and all other remedies it may have for breach of this Agreement.

IX. TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

- A. Term. This Agreement shall be in full force and effect commencing on the Effective Date of this Agreement, subject to amendments and addendums, for one year. This Agreement may be renewed in one-year increments. The Cortez Police Chief shall send a renewal draft to all UG Members no later than October 1st of each year. Member Jurisdictions will provide updated signatory authorization and a copy of the executed Agreement to the Cortez Police Chief no later than December 31st of each year.
- B. Termination/Withdrawal. Any Member Jurisdiction's participation in this Agreement may be voluntarily terminated and the Member Jurisdiction may withdraw as a Party to this Agreement by written notice from the Member Jurisdiction to the User Group and the Cortez Police Chief at least sixty days prior to December 31st of any given year. Upon termination, the terminating Member Jurisdiction shall forfeit all right, title, and interest in and to any property or monies, liquid or investment funds, acquired or held by the Dispatch Center. A Member Jurisdiction that terminates/withdraws as a Party to this Agreement shall remain obligated to pay its share of that Member Jurisdiction's annual costs as identified in the Annual Budget, including, without limitation, its share of the Administrative Services Fee identified in Section V(E) of this Agreement, for the then current year and for the year following termination/withdrawal.
- C. Dissolution. Upon a vote to disband the Dispatch Center by a majority of the Member Jurisdictions, the powers granted to the User Group under this Agreement shall continue to the extent necessary to make an adequate disposition of the property, equipment, and monies required to fully disband the Dispatch Center and discontinue its operations.
- D. Appropriation. Pursuant to Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution, the Parties' financial obligations under this Agreement and the renewal of this Agreement are expressly contingent upon the annual appropriation of funds sufficient to perform such obligation. Should adequate appropriations not be made by any Party, that Party's status as a Member Jurisdiction, and its rights to receive Dispatch Services pursuant to this Agreement shall automatically terminate. This Agreement shall never constitute a debt of any Party as defined by any statutory or constitutional provision.

Page 7 of 11

Commented [HA24]: How is this affected by the change above which states that all assets are owned by the jurisdiction which purchased them?

Commented [HA25]: Used to be December 31

Commented [HA26]: Used to be January 31

Commented [HA27]: Used to be January 1

Commented [HA28]: This is new and not agreeable.

X. MISCELLANEOUS

- A. Notices. Any formal notice, demand, or request provided for in this Agreement, shall be in writing and shall be deemed properly served, given, or made if delivered in person, by facsimile, email, or sent by certified mail, postage prepaid to the Member Jurisdictions at the addresses each Member Jurisdiction may provide to the Cortez Police Chief in writing from time to time.
- B. Amendments. The Member Jurisdictions may amend this Agreement from time to time. Any such amendment must be in writing and executed by the majority of the Member Jurisdictions.
- C. Governing Law and Enforcement. This Agreement shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Colorado, and the venue for any legal action arising out of this Agreement shall be in the State District Court in Montezuma County, Colorado. If legal action is pursued to enforce or interpret this Agreement, the substantially prevailing Party in such legal action shall be entitled to payment of its court costs and reasonable attorney's fees.
- D. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful or unenforceable for any reason, the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect to the extent possible.
- E. Integration. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties, and no additional or different oral representation, promise, or agreement shall be binding on any Party with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement.
- F. No Third-Party Beneficiaries. There are no intended third-Party beneficiaries to this Agreement.
- G. Binding Effect. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties to this Agreement, and each of their respective successors, assigns, or heirs.
- H. Governmental Immunity. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed or deemed a waiver of any and all rights and immunities of any Party, Director, Officer, or Employee under the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act, codified under C.R.S. Section 24-10-101, *et seq*. Further, nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an indemnification between and among any of the Parties.
- I. Duplicate Originals. This Agreement may be executed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all of which together shall constitute one in the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Member Jurisdictions have executed this Agreement as of the Effective Date.

{SIGNATURES ON FOLLOWING PAGES}

Page 8 of 11

Commented [HA29]: New - not acceptable.

THE COUNTY OF MONTEZUMA, COLORADO	
Jim Candelaria, Chairman Board of County Commissioners	
THE CITY OF CORTEZ, COLORADO	
Rachel B. Medina, Mayor	
THE TOWN OF MANCOS, COLORADO	
Ellen "Queenie" Barz, Mayor	
THE CORTEZ FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	
Drew Buffington, President	
THE DOLORES FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	
Chris Majors, Board President	
THE MANCOS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	
Vance Koppenhafer, President	
THE LEWIS-ARRIOLA FIRE PROTECTION DIS	TRICT
Rick Newby, President	
THE PLEASANT VIEW FIRE PROTECTION DIS	TRICT
Jeremy Rosenbaugh, President	
Page 9 of 11	

THE MONTEZUMA COUNTY H	OSPITAL DISTRICT
Brandon Johnson, President	
THE COUNTY OF DOLORES, CO	OLORADO
Steve Garchar, Chairman Board of County Commissioners	
US DEPARTMENT OF INTERIO MESA VERDE NATIONAL PARI	
By:	_
Print Name	-
Print Title	-

Page 10 of 11

EXHIBIT A

APPENDIX OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Pursuant to Section V, Subsection (E) of the Intergovernmental Agreement for Dispatch Services, executed effective _______, 2024, the City of Cortez shall provide the following administrative services at no charge to the User Group or the Member Jurisdictions.

- a. Legal Services. The Cortez City Attorney ("City Attorney") shall represent the Dispatch Center and its employees in all legal matters and provide legal counsel when requested, subject to any legal conflicts of interest, except for matters that are handled by outside legal counsel retained by the City's liability insurance carrier, and except for legal matters that require specialized legal training or experience not possessed by the City Attorney. The City Attorney shall not represent individual UG Members or any Member Jurisdiction other than the City of Cortez.
- b. Financial Services. The City of Cortez Finance Department shall assist the Dispatch Center User Group with usual and normal financial services needed to meet the financial requirements of a public entity that are related to the operation of the Dispatch Center, subject to any conflicts of interest, and except for financial services that require specialized financial training or experience not possessed by the City of Cortez Finance Department.
- c. Human Resources. The City of Cortez Human Resources Department shall provide all employment-related services necessary to operate the Dispatch Center, including, but not limited to, assisting with employee hiring, employee termination, human resources policy consultation, and personnel issues involving employees of the Dispatch Center, except for conflicts of interest, and except for employment related services that require specialized training or experience not possessed by the City of Cortez Human Resources Department.
- d. Information Technology Services. The City of Cortez, and Montezuma County (as necessary), Information Technology Department(s) shall provide general information technology services, including routine equipment maintenance. However, any equipment used by the Dispatch Center that requires specific training, expertise, or certification shall be handled by outside services or the equipment manufacturer, and any costs associated for such outside services shall be paid for by the E-911 Authority, and that equipment will remain the property of the E-911 Authority, or paid for by the Dispatch Center Fund, and the cost will be subsequently invoiced by the City of Cortez to the Member Jurisdictions.
- e. Facilities & Maintenance Services. The City of Cortez General Services Department shall provide services related to the ongoing maintenance and upkeep of the Dispatch Center Facilities. However, any alteration, construction or remodeling of the Dispatch Center that, in the opinion of the City of Cortez, requires specific training, expertise, or certification, not possessed by City of Cortez Staff, shall be handled by outside services supervised by the City of Cortez, and paid for by either the E-911 Authority, for alteration, construction or remodeling related to E-911 Authority equipment, or, for all other alteration, construction or remodeling of the Dispatch Center Facilities, shall be paid for by the Dispatch Center Fund, and subsequently invoiced by the City of Cortez to the Member Jurisdictions.

Page 11 of 11

STAFF REPORT

To: Honorable Mayor and Trustees

From: Heather Alvarez, Town Administrator

Date: February 28, 2024

Re: Resolution 7 Series 2024 In Opposition of HB24-1152 Accessory Dwelling

Units Legislation

Recommendation

Approve Resolution 7 Series 2024 A Resolution of the Town Board of Trustees of the Town of Mancos Opposing State House Bill 24-1152 Regarding Accessory Dwelling Unit Preemptions

Background/Discussion

At the February 14, 2024 Board meeting, the Board of Trustees discussed the issue of local control in reference to House Bill 24-1152. They directed staff to bring a resolution in opposition of this legislation to this Board meeting.

HB24-1152 proposes legislation that would make Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) a use by right in single family residential districts in metropolitan areas.

While this bill does not immediately impact Mancos for several reasons (we are not a Metropolitan Planning Area and our code already allows ADUs as a use by right in SFR zoned districts), the larger idea to consider is the loss of local control if this legislation passes. Even if a Metropolitan Planning Area has rules in place regarding ADUs, this legislation would override those rules.

The Town of Mancos is more than capable of setting policy and legislation that directly relate to the betterment of our citizens' quality of life. We have addressed the ADU issue in our own community, with the input of the public during public listening sessions. The State of Colorado and the front range should not have the ability to decide what is best for Mancos.

Ultimately in this specific instance, we are fighting state overreach with regard to our local control and legislation for our citizens.

Fiscal Impact

N/A

Attachments

Resolution 7 Series 2024

TOWN OF MANCOS

Resolution 7 Series 2024

A Resolution of the Town Board of Trustees of the Town of Mancos Opposing State House Bill 24-1152 Regarding Accessory Dwelling Unit Preemptions

WHEREAS, for over a century, the State of Colorado has committed both in statute and in the state constitution to the local control of land use planning and zoning because local governments are closest to the land and to the people that occupy it; and

WHEREAS, the Colorado Supreme Court has consistently recognized that the exercise of land use planning and zoning to be a matter of local concern; and

WHEREAS, zoning and land use cannot be viewed separately from the impacts of proposed uses of land on surrounding properties and a community as a whole, including the ability to ensure adequate water and utilities; to provide enough public safety services, schools, and recreational services; to make sure that sufficient and safe infrastructure is available to handle increased population or more intense uses; to align development with the community's economic goals; to prevent displacement of existing people; to preserve important historical sites; to protect open space and the environment in general, and to implement a local comprehensive plan like the one that Town of Mancos adopted in 2023; and

WHEREAS, House Bill 24-1152 would usurp traditional local control of land use and zoning matters by requiring accessory dwelling units to be allowed as an accessory use to single-unit detached dwellings in select areas of the state, thereby replacing the judgment of local elected officials with the judgment of legislators and state regulators who lack the understanding needed to make appropriate decisions for local, rural communities; and

WHEREAS, House Bill 24-1152 will undermine municipalities' long-range planning efforts and will severely limit their ability to maintain reasonable zoning regulations to ensure a high quality of life and sound economic environment for their current and future residents, workers, and business owners; and

WHEREAS, House Bill 24-1152 infringes on citizens' rights by taking away the right to exercise their constitutional rights of initiative or referendum to address zoning and land use matters; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Mancos Municipal Code already permits accessory dwelling units, and the proposed legislation is an unnecessary infringement to Town of Mancos residents and our Municipal Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE TOWN OF MANCOS:

<u>Section 1.</u> It is the position of the Town of Mancos that the accessory dwelling unit issue is important, but that municipalities are best suited to regulate accessory dwelling units in their communities and that local collaboration and cooperation – not top-down statewide mandates and giveaways to special interests – are the solution to Colorado's affordable housing problem; and

<u>Section 2.</u> The Town of Mancos opposes House Bill 24-1152 and strongly urges its legislators to vote NO on this unprecedented and irresponsible preemption that is unneeded, unwelcome, and without consideration to existing local ordinances that already permit accessory dwelling units.

 $\underline{Section\ 3}.$ Effective Date. This Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its adoption.

Passed, adopted and approved this 28th day of February, 2024.

Mayor Ellen "Queenie" Barz

Jamie Higgins
Town Clerk/Treasurer

STAFF REPORT

To: Town Administrator, Honorable Mayor, and Board of Trustees

From: Justen Goodall, Marshal & Jason Armstrong, CEDD

Date: February 28, 2024 Re: Unhoused Discussion

Recommendation

Board Discussion on setting date and preferred location on the unhoused population community meeting, and pick board members for the panel.

Background/Discussion

The board asked for this to be on the agenda. This meeting is for the board to speak to the public about the plan the Town of Mancos has for unhoused.

After the three board members are chosen for the panel, talking points created in conjunction with staff will be sent to the members.

Subject matter experts are willing to attend as long as the meeting date is not set for the week of spring break (March 18-March 22)

Policy Implications

Release of an agreement between the businesses and the Mancos Marshal's Office.

Resource Impact

None

Attachments

N/A

STAFF REPORT

To: Honorable Mayor and Trustees

From: Heather Alvarez, Town Administrator

Date: February 28, 2024

Re: Ballot Issue Discussion and Direction

Recommendation

Requesting Board Feedback and vote

Background/Discussion

The Board and Staff have discussed a possible ballot issue that would allow us to increase sales tax and restrict these proceeds legally to be used for either street improvements and maintenance, broadband or public safety.

At the September 13, 2023 workshop, we discussed an action plan to consult the citizens and conduct public outreach to ensure that our citizens were in favor of this idea. We worked with Magellan Strategies on this project, and a survey was published to solicit feedback. We received 211 responses.

At the close of the survey, citizens indicated strong support for a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund street and road paving projects and broadband infrastructure, with 72% voting yes and 23% voting no.

When the Marshal's Office was introduced, there is still an overwhelming approval of the job they are doing (77%) but there are mixed perceptions of whether crime has increased, with the largest group (36%) thinking that it has stayed the same. That being the case, it is again not surprising that a plurality of respondents, 44%, prefer that the sales tax increase only be spent on roads/broadband. Another 30% prefer an equal split between roads/broadband and public safety, and only 7% prefer that all of the increase go toward public safety.

Attached are the survey results and detailed response information for your review.

I would recommend that we move forward with a coordinated election in November 2024 with Montezuma County with this issue. I would also recommend that we restrict this revenue to streets, roads and broadband infrastructure improvements and maintenance based on the survey results.

However, this is entirely a Board decision, so staff is requesting your feedback and vote at this meeting.

If the Board votes to move forward with this project, additional information will be brought to you as the election calendar and process moves forward.

Fiscal Impact

TBD

<u>Attachments</u> Sales Tax Increase Public Outreach Results



Magellan Strategies

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey Topline Results

Magellan Strategies is pleased to present the topline results of an online survey of 211 residents of the Town of Mancos, Colorado. The interviews were conducted from January 17th to February 4th, 2024. This survey has a margin of error of +/- 6.05% at the 95 percent confidence interval. The survey data were weighted to represent the demographics of presidential election year turnout in the Town of Mancos, Colorado.

T1. To begin, could you pl Colorado?	ease verify that you are 18 years old and live in the Town of Mancos,
Yes	100%

T2. In your own words, what are the top two or three local issues or problems that you think the Town of Mancos should be addressing?

The top three themes were:

- 1. Infrastructure and Maintenance: Routine maintenance of dirt roads, paving streets, and sidewalk repairs are consistently mentioned as critical issues. Residents are concerned about the poor condition of heavily used streets and sidewalks, emphasizing the need for regular maintenance and improvements.
- 2. Affordable Housing: Affordable housing is a recurring theme, with residents expressing concerns about the cost of housing and the availability of rentals. There is a desire to address the lack of affordable housing options, both for residents and businesses, to ensure the town remains accessible to a diverse population.
- 3. Community Development and Services: Supporting local businesses, attracting new businesses, and providing recreational opportunities for residents, especially youth, emerge as important themes. Additionally, there are calls for better community engagement, transparency in town decision-making, and the creation of spaces for community gatherings and activities. Residents are also interested in addressing social issues such as poverty, education, and providing support for families with school-aged kids.

The complete verbatim responses are in a separate document.

T3. From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.

	Very & Smwt. Import	Very Imp	Smwt Imp	Not Too Imp	Not Imp at All	No Opin
Supporting the local economy, business, and jobs		68%	28%	4%	0%	0%
Street and roadway maintenance	94%	62%	32%	6%	0%	0%
Police, addressing crime and public safety needs	88%	53%	35%	10%	1%	1%
Residential & commercial planning, development	85%	49%	36%	11%	2%	2%
Building a reliable Broadband network	76%	30%	46%	14%	7%	3%

T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:

Excellent and Good Combined	25%
Excellent	3%
Good	
Fair	39%
Poor	35%
No Opinion	1%

T5. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Public Works Department does maintaining local streets and roads?

63%
35%
2%
20%
43%
16%
19%

T6. Do you think the Town of Mancos has the financial resources needed to adequately maintain, repair, and improve the town's streets and roads?

Total Yes	41%
Total No	41%
No Opinion	18%
Yes, Definitely	
Yes, Probably	34%
No, Definitely Not	
No, Probably Not	35%

T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The Town of Mancos is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely.

Total Agree Total Disagree No Opinion	17%
Strongly Agree	
Strongly Disagree	

T8. As you may be aware, some members of the Mancos community have discussed a local street and road paving project. This project would also include creating paved sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. Knowing this, do you support or oppose this paving project?

Total Support Total Oppose No Opinion	25%
Strongly SupportSomewhat Support	
Strongly Oppose	

Respondent Information

Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

The 0.3% Sales Tax Uninformed Ballot Test

T9. The Town of Mancos is considering a ballot measure to create a 0.3% sales tax to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. A 0.3% tax will generate approximately \$100,000 annually.

If an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, annual, ongoing road maintenance and repair costs, and broadband infrastructure?

Total Yes, Approve	72%
Total No, Reject	
Undecided	
Definitely Approve	
Definitely Reject	

Information Questions

We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started.

	Total More Likely to	Much More Likely to	Smwt. More Likely to	Total More Likely to	No
Information Question	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Approve</u>	Reject	Diff.
The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance.	75%	44%	31%	15%	10%
Some Mancos residents want to have more street-related events in and around town. If more streets were paved, organizing and hosting these events would be much easier.	68%	42%	26%	21%	11%
Broadband infrastructure is essential to bringing fast, reliable, and affordable high-speed internet to Mancos.	67%	37%	30%	20%	13%
The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project. That is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure.	65%	40%	25%	24%	11%
If the ballot measure is approved, the project's long-term plan includes paving most of the town's roads along with sidewalks and curbs. Menefee Street, Sunset, Bauer Ave., and Monte St. would be completed first. It is important to know that the Town of Mancos is not responsible for maintaining Main Street and Grand Avenue. Those roads are managed by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT).	59%	38%	21%	26%	15%
The cost of paving one street block with sidewalks, curbs, and gutters is estimated at \$125,000.	59%	35%	24%	31%	10%

The 0.3% Sales Tax Informed Ballot Test

T16. Thank you for learning the reasons why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. As a reminder, the 0.3% sales tax will raise \$100,000 annually.

We now ask you again, if an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure?

Total Yes, Approve Total No, Reject Undecided	23%
Definitely Approve Probably Approve	
Definitely RejectProbably Reject	

T17. Please describe why you would vote yes and approve a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund the street and road paving and broadband infrastructure project. **The top three themes were:**

- 1. Infrastructure Improvement Necessity: Residents express the urgent need for road and sidewalk improvements, emphasizing the poor condition of current streets, the challenges posed by dirt roads, and the impact on vehicle maintenance. Paved streets are seen as essential for safety, cleanliness, and the overall desirability of the town. Broadband infrastructure is recognized as a crucial aspect for economic development, business growth, and improved quality of life, especially for remote work and education.
- 2. Community Growth and Economic Development: Many residents highlight the importance of infrastructure improvements in attracting commerce, supporting a healthy tax base, and accommodating the town's growth. Paved streets are seen as contributing to increased property values, attracting tourists, and fostering a sense of community. Broadband is identified as a key factor in making the town more appealing to businesses and residents alike, addressing the needs of the growing population.
- 3. Tax Increase Acceptance for Essential Services: Residents acknowledge the necessity of a tax increase to fund the proposed projects, recognizing that if the majority of residents want these improvements, there may be no other viable way to fund them. Some residents are willing to accept a tax increase for the greater good, understanding that it is a small price to pay for the long-term benefits of improved infrastructure and services.

The complete verbatim responses are in a separate document.

T18. Please describe why you would vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund the street and road paving and broadband infrastructure project. **The top three themes were:**

- 1. **Prioritization of Taxpayer Money:** Many respondents express a belief that there are higher priorities for taxpayer money, such as education, community spaces, or addressing the affordability of living in Mancos. They question the necessity of paving roads and argue for allocating funds to more pressing needs.
- 2. Preservation of Small-Town Character: A recurring theme is the desire to maintain Mancos' unique character and charm, often associated with unpaved roads. Residents express concerns that paving might lead to increased development, higher property values, and gentrification, potentially changing the town's identity.
- 3. Infrastructure Concerns and Lack of Information: Some respondents voice concerns about the town's ability to manage infrastructure projects effectively. They suggest addressing existing issues like failing infrastructure, waterlines, and sewer systems before considering road paving. Others mention insufficient information about the estimated costs, environmental impact, and specific streets targeted for paving.

The complete verbatim responses are in a separate document.

Marshal's Office

We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community.

T19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Marshal's Office is doing?

Total Approve Total Disapprove	
No Opinion	
Strongly Approve Somewhat Approve	39% 38%
Strongly Disapprove	

T20. Do you think crime in the Town of Mancos has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past few years?

Total Increased	28%
Total Decreased	15%
Stayed the Same	36%
No Opinion	
Definitely Increased	8%
Probably Increased	20%
Definitely Decreased	3%
Probably Decreased	

T21. Do you think the Mancos Marshal's Office has enough financial resources to keep residents safe and provide an acceptable amount of public safety services?

Total Yes Total NoNo Opinion	30%
Yes, Definitely Yes, Probably	13% 34%
No, Definitely Not No, Probably Not	

T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?

Prefer all revenue to be put toward roads and broadband	44%
Split equally between roads/broadband and public safety	30%
Prefer all revenue to be put towards public safety	
Unsure or No Opinion	

Now, we have some questions for statistical purposes only.

T23. Are you:

Female	55%
Male	44%
Identify Differently	
	-

T24. Are you between the ages of:

18-34	21%
35-44	17%
45-54	16%
55-64	
65 or Older	29%

T25. How would you describe your household income?

Less than \$25,000	7%
\$25,000 to \$49,999	21%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	18%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	16%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	
More than \$150,000	
Prefer not to Say	8%

T26. For statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another political party, or are you not registered to vote?

Democrat	38%
Unaffiliated	36%
Republican	24%
Other Party	1%
Not Registered to Vote	1%

T27. Although it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in a local ballot measure election next November to fund the street and road paving project?

Extremely	80%
Very	13%
Somewhat	
Unlikely	
Prefer not to say	

Survey Methodology

This survey utilized MMS text data collection, and the Town of Mancos promoted the survey to interview 211 adult residents. The survey data were weighted to be representative of the demographics of presidential election year turnout in the Town. The interviews were conducted from January 17th to February 4th, 2024. This survey has a margin of error of +/- 6.05% at the 95 percent confidence interval.

Table of Contents

T1. To begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a full-time resident of the Town of Mancos, Colorado?	5
T1. To begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a full-time resident of the Town of Mancos, Colorado?	6
T1. To begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a full-time resident of the Town of Mancos, Colorado?	7
T1. To begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a full-time resident of the Town of Mancos, Colorado?	8
T1. To begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a full-time resident of the Town of Mancos, Colorado?	9
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	10
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	11
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	12
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	13
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	14
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	15
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	16
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	17
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	18
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	19
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	20
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	21
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	22
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	23
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	24
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	25
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	26
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	27
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	28
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	29
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	30
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	31
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	32
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	33
From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.	34
T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:	35
T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:	36
T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:	37
T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:	38
T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:	39
T5. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Public Works Department does maintaining local streets and roads?	
T5. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Public Works Department does maintaining local streets and roads?	41
T5. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Public Works Department does maintaining local streets and roads?	42
T5. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Public Works Department does maintaining local streets and roads?	43
T5. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Public Works Department does maintaining local streets and roads?	44

T6. Do you think the Town of Mancos has the financial resources needed to adequately maintain, repair, and improve the town's streets and roads?	45
T6. Do you think the Town of Mancos has the financial resources needed to adequately maintain, repair, and improve the town's streets and roads?	46
T6. Do you think the Town of Mancos has the financial resources needed to adequately maintain, repair, and improve the town's streets and roads?	47
T6. Do you think the Town of Mancos has the financial resources needed to adequately maintain, repair, and improve the town's streets and roads?	48
T6. Do you think the Town of Mancos has the financial resources needed to adequately maintain, repair, and improve the town's streets and roads?	49
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Town of Mancos is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."	50
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Town of Mancos is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."	51
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Town of Mancos is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."	52
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Town of Mancos is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."	53
T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Town of Mancos is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."	54
T8. As you may be aware, some members of the Mancos community have discussed a local street and road paving project. This project would also include creating paved sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. Knowing this do you support or oppose this paving project?	55
T8. As you may be aware, some members of the Mancos community have discussed a local street and road paving project. This project would also include creating paved sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. Knowing this do you support or oppose this paving project?	
T8. As you may be aware, some members of the Mancos community have discussed a local street and road paving project. This project would also include creating paved sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. Knowing this do you support or oppose this paving project?	
T8. As you may be aware, some members of the Mancos community have discussed a local street and road paving project. This project would also include creating paved sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. Knowing this do you support or oppose this paving project?	58
T8. As you may be aware, some members of the Mancos community have discussed a local street and road paving project. This project would also include creating paved sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. Knowing this do you support or oppose this paving project?	
Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.	60
Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now	61
Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.	62
Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.	63
Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.	64
We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's gestarted:	et
We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's ge started:	et
We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's ge started:	et
We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's ge started:	et
We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's ge started:	et
T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:	
T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:	71
T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:	72
T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:	73

T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:	74
T12. Some Mancos residents want to have more street-related events in and around town. If more streets were paved, organizing and hosting these events would be much easier. Knowing this information, are you	:.75
T12. Some Mancos residents want to have more street-related events in and around town. If more streets were paved, organizing and hosting these events would be much easier. Knowing this information, are you	:.76
T12. Some Mancos residents want to have more street-related events in and around town. If more streets were paved, organizing and hosting these events would be much easier. Knowing this information, are you	:.77
T12. Some Mancos residents want to have more street-related events in and around town. If more streets were paved, organizing and hosting these events would be much easier. Knowing this information, are you	:.78
T12. Some Mancos residents want to have more street-related events in and around town. If more streets were paved, organizing and hosting these events would be much easier. Knowing this information, are you	:.79
T13. The cost of paving one street block with sidewalks, curbs, and gutters is estimated at \$125,000. Knowing this information, are you:	80
T13. The cost of paving one street block with sidewalks, curbs, and gutters is estimated at \$125,000. Knowing this information, are you:	81
T13. The cost of paving one street block with sidewalks, curbs, and gutters is estimated at \$125,000. Knowing this information, are you:	
T13. The cost of paving one street block with sidewalks, curbs, and gutters is estimated at \$125,000. Knowing this information, are you:	
T13. The cost of paving one street block with sidewalks, curbs, and gutters is estimated at \$125,000. Knowing this information, are you:	84
T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving projection to the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:	
T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving projection to the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:	
T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving projection to the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:	
T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project that is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:	ect. 88
T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project that is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:	
T15. Broadband infrastructure is essential to bringing fast, reliable and affordable high-speed internet to Mancos. Knowing this information, are you:	90
T15. Broadband infrastructure is essential to bringing fast, reliable and affordable high-speed internet to Mancos. Knowing this information, are you:	
T15. Broadband infrastructure is essential to bringing fast, reliable and affordable high-speed internet to Mancos. Knowing this information, are you:	92
T15. Broadband infrastructure is essential to bringing fast, reliable and affordable high-speed internet to Mancos. Knowing this information, are you:	93
T15. Broadband infrastructure is essential to bringing fast, reliable and affordable high-speed internet to Mancos. Knowing this information, are you:	94
T16. Thank you for learning the reasons why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. As a reminder, the 0.3% sales tax will raise \$100,000 annually. We now ask you again, if an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure?	95
T16. Thank you for learning the reasons why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. As a reminder, the 0.3% sales tax will raise \$100,000 annually. We now ask you again, if an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure?	96
T16. Thank you for learning the reasons why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. As a reminder, the 0.3% sales tax will raise \$100,000 annually. We now ask you again, if an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure?	97
T16. Thank you for learning the reasons why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. As a reminder, the 0.3% sales tax will raise \$100,000 annually. We now ask you again, if an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure?	98
T16. Thank you for learning the reasons why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. As a reminder, the 0.3% sales tax will raise \$100,000 annually. We now ask you again, if an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure?	99
We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community.	. 100
We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community.	. 101
We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community.	. 102
We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community.	. 103
We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community.	. 104
T20. Do you think crime in the Town of Mancos has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past few years?	. 105

T20. Do you think crime in the Town of Mancos has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past few years?	106
T20. Do you think crime in the Town of Mancos has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past few years?	107
T20. Do you think crime in the Town of Mancos has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past few years?	108
T20. Do you think crime in the Town of Mancos has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past few years?	109
T21. Do you think the Mancos Marshal's Office has enough financial resources to keep residents safe and provide an acceptable amount of public safety services?	110
T21. Do you think the Mancos Marshal's Office has enough financial resources to keep residents safe and provide an acceptable amount of public safety services?	111
T21. Do you think the Mancos Marshal's Office has enough financial resources to keep residents safe and provide an acceptable amount of public safety services?	
T21. Do you think the Mancos Marshal's Office has enough financial resources to keep residents safe and provide an acceptable amount of public safety services?	
T21. Do you think the Mancos Marshal's Office has enough financial resources to keep residents safe and provide an acceptable amount of public safety services?	114
T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?	115
T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?	116
T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?	117
T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?	118
T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?	
And now we have a few questions for statistical purposes only.	
And now we have a few questions for statistical purposes only.	
And now we have a few questions for statistical purposes only.	
And now we have a few questions for statistical purposes only.	
And now we have a few questions for statistical purposes only.	
T24. Are you between the ages of:	
T24. Are you between the ages of:	
T24. Are you between the ages of:	
T24. Are you between the ages of:	
T24. Are you between the ages of:	
T25. How would you describe your annual household income?	
T25. How would you describe your annual household income?	
T25. How would you describe your annual household income?	
T25. How would you describe your annual household income?	
T25. How would you describe your annual household income?	
T26. For statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another political party, or are you not registered to vote?	
T26. For statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another political party, or are you not registered to vote?	
T26. For statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another political party, or are you not registered to vote?	
T26. For statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another political party, or are you not registered to vote?	
T26. For statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another political party, or are you not registered to vote?	
T27. Although it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in a local ballot measure election next November to fund the street and road paving project? Are you:	
T27. Although it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in a local ballot measure election next November to fund the street and road paving project? Are you:	
T27. Although it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in a local ballot measure election next November to fund the street and road paving project? Are you:	
T27. Although it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in a local ballot measure election next November to fund the street and road paving project? Are you:	143
T27 Although it is a long way off, how likely are you to yote in a local ballot measure election next November to fund the street and road paying project? Are you:	144

		Gen	der						Αg	ge~Gende	r							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	210	93	116	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	60	21	39	58	70	64
	99.6	100.0	99.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.7	100.0	98.0	98.6	100.0	100.0

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters					Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211	80	28	52	33	24	23	76	31	45	32	23	22	51	32	18	15	21	15	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	210	80	28	52	33	24	23	75	31	44	32	23	21	51	32	18	15	21	15	2
	99.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.9	100.0	98.2	100.0	100.0	96.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

		C	Condition	of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj	ort for Pa	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211	7	46	83	74	134	42	73	34	87	16	87	14	128	36	36	7	152	106	53	23
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	210	7	46	82	74	133	42	73	34	87	16	87	14	128	36	36	7	151	106	53	23
	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	99.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.5	100.0	100.0	100.0

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211	151	106	49	27	11	152	95	49	31	11	162	82	20	6	58	16	31	5	76
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	210	150	105	49	27	11	152	95	48	30	11	161	82	20	6	57	16	31	5	76
	99.6	99.5	99.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.3	97.3	100.0	99.5	99.0	100.0	100.0	98.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

T1. To begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a full-time resident of the Town of Mancos, Colorado?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	210	98	28	63	9	15	93	62	40
	99.6	99.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 2

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very Important	111	53	57	14	17	31	22	8	17	22	40	19	21	40	12	28	31	38	31
	52.4	57.0	48.7	32.2	46.8	38.7	54.6	20.9	51.0	62.6	57.0	61.1	53.6	65.3	55.5	70.4	51.8	54.4	48.2
Somewhat Important	73	29	44	21	12	33	13	20	14	12	25	11	14	15	5	10	18	27	22
	34.8	31.1	37.8	47.7	34.5	41.8	31.1	53.5	40.8	32.6	36.6	36.1	36.9	23.8	23.5	23.9	30.5	39.0	33.7
Not Too Important	22	9	13	9	4	13	5	8	2	2	4	1	3	6	3	2	10	4	8
	10.4	9.5	11.2	20.1	10.7	15.9	11.5	21.0	5.4	4.8	5.1	2.8	7.0	9.0	15.4	5.7	16.7	5.7	12.4
Not Important at All	3 1.3	1 0.7	2 1.7		2 4.9	2 2.2	1 1.6	1 2.9	1 2.7		1 1.3		1 2.4				1 1.1	1 0.9	1 2.1
No Opinion	2 1.1	2 1.8	1 0.6		1 3.1	1 1.4	1.2	1 1.7						1 1.9	1 5.7				2 3.6

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 2

				Democra	nt Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				1	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Very Important	111 52.4	29 35.8	10 34.4	19 36.6	9 25.7	9 36.3	12 49.7	38 49.7	17 54.3	21 46.9	12 38.5	11 46.6	15 69.1	40 79.0	25 76.1	15 83.6	10 66.3	19 87.0	12 80.2	2 100.0
Somewhat Important	73 34.8	35 43.3	10 35.4	25 47.6	16 46.8	13 56.4	6 25.1	28 37.0	11 36.8	16 36.6	13 40.9	9 41.2	6 27.0	11 21.0	8 23.9	3 16.4	5 33.7	3 13.0	3 19.8	
Not Too Important	22 10.4	14 16.9	7 23.6	7 13.4	7 21.6	2 7.3	5 20.1	8 10.9	2 7.4	6 13.4	6 17.6	2 8.1	1 3.9							
Not Important at All	3 1.3	1 1.6	1 2.4	1 1.2	1 3.9			1 1.8		1 3.1	1.5	1 4.1								
No Opinion	2 1.1	2 2.3	1 4.3	1 1.2	1 1.9		1 5.1	0.6	1.5		1.5									

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 2

		C	Condition	of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for		Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	eric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Very Important	111 52.4	1 16.2	24 51.3	45 55.0	40 53.5	62 46.5	21 49.1	49 66.3	24 70.7	52 59.8	10 66.4	40 45.8	4 30.0	67 52.3	20 54.7	25 68.2	5 68.9	85 55.9	66 62.8	21 40.4	12 53.4
Somewhat Important	73 34.8	4 64.8	18 38.6	33 40.3	18 24.3	56 41.7	17 41.2	15 20.0	7 20.4	26 29.4	4 23.2	33 37.8	7 53.5	40 31.2	13 34.8	12 31.8	2 31.1	49 32.0	29 27.6	23 43.7	7 29.0
Not Too Important	22 10.4	1 9.3	4 8.7	3 3.6	14 18.5	14 10.8	3 8.1	7 9.5	2 6.2	8 9.5	2 10.5	12 13.5	2 13.2	18 14.0	3 8.8			16 10.3	8 7.4	6 11.7	3 11.9
Not Important at All	3 1.3	1 9.6	1 1.4	1 1.1	0.6	1 1.0	1 1.6	1 1.9	1.4	1 0.7		1 1.6	3.3	2 1.2	1 1.8			0.3	0.4	2 4.2	1 5.7
No Opinion	2 1.1				2 3.1			2 2.3	1.4	0.5		1 1.4		2 1.3				2 1.5	2 1.7		

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 2

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Very Important	111 52.4	84 55.4	58 55.1	24 49.8	14 52.4	3 23.8	81 53.6	51 53.5	24 49.9	17 54.6	5 47.5	90 55.7	54 65.0	11 55.6	3 50.8	44 75.2	15 93.6	19 60.3	3 61.1	34 44.0
Somewhat Important	73 34.8	47 31.0	32 30.5	20 40.1	11 38.8	7 63.7	49 32.3	29 30.1	19 39.0	12 37.5	6 52.5	52 32.2	20 24.8	7 37.7	2 28.5	14 24.8	1 6.4	9 28.4	2 38.9	32 42.5
Not Too Important	22 10.4	18 11.8	13 12.2	4 7.3	1 4.1	4.3	18 11.7	13 13.5	4 8.4	1 3.6		18 11.1	8 9.5					4 11.3		10 12.7
Not Important at All	3 1.3	0.3	0.4	1 2.7	1 4.8	1 8.3	1 0.9	0.5	1 2.7	1 4.2				1 3.4	1 10.5					1 0.8
No Opinion	2 1.1	2 1.5	2 1.7				2 1.5	2 2.4				2 1.0	0.6	1 3.3	1 10.2					

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3A. Police, addressing crime and public safety needs

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Very Important	111 52.4	47 47.3	13 46.5	46 72.4	9 100.0	9 63.5	43 46.4	40 64.3	18 44.4
Somewhat Important	73 34.8	35 35.8	9 32.9	13 20.3		5 32.1	33 35.1	18 28.7	18 44.6
Not Too Important	22 10.4	13 12.9	5 18.2	5 7.3		1 4.4	16 16.6	3 4.3	3 7.2
Not Important at All	3 1.3	2 2.3	1 2.4				1 1.2		2 3.8
No Opinion	2 1.1	2 1.7					1 0.7	2 2.7	

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	r							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very Important	63	23	39	10	10	20	12	7	10	14	24	7	16	19	4	15	17	19	25
	29.7	25.0	33.6	23.6	26.5	24.9	30.4	19.7	29.2	38.9	34.2	23.4	43.1	30.6	16.8	37.8	28.7	27.2	38.7
Somewhat Important	97	45	52	19	16	34	16	18	19	14	33	14	19	30	15	16	25	34	27
	46.1	47.8	44.6	41.9	43.4	42.6	38.2	46.7	56.4	38.9	47.4	46.1	48.4	49.4	69.4	39.1	42.0	49.1	41.6
Not Too Important	30	17	12	6	8	15	7	7	4	6	10	8	2	5	2	4	7	11	9
	14.1	18.6	10.3	14.3	23.0	18.2	18.0	17.9	10.4	17.4	14.0	26.3	4.0	8.7	8.1	9.0	12.2	15.0	14.3
Not Important at All	13 6.2	5 5.4	8 6.8	7 16.1	2 4.4	9 10.9	5 12.2	4 9.7		1 2.4	1 1.2		1 2.2	3 5.6		3 8.5	5 8.6	6 8.7	1 1.5
No Opinion	5 2.5	2 1.8	4 3.1		1 2.6	1 1.2	1.2	1.2		1 2.4	1 1.2		1 2.2	3 5.6	1 5.7	2 5.6	3 5.4		1 1.8

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Very Important	63 29.7	25 30.8	9 32.0	16 30.2	8 23.7	10 41.7	7 29.9	20 26.5	4 12.5	16 36.4	7 23.5	5 23.1	7 34.3	17 34.0	11 32.8	7 37.7	4 28.1	9 40.9	4 30.0	
Somewhat Important	97 46.1	36 45.3	12 41.6	25 47.3	14 41.1	10 41.8	13 55.1	35 46.7	18 57.5	18 39.6	14 44.2	13 57.6	8 38.8	22 44.4	14 42.5	8 45.9	6 43.8	9 40.9	7 50.1	1 66.3
Not Too Important	30 14.1	8 9.8	2 7.9	6 10.8	6 17.5	1 3.7	1 5.0	13 17.3	6 20.8	6 14.1	5 14.5	4 19.3	4 19.2	8 15.8	8 24.8		4 28.1	4 18.1		1 33.7
Not Important at All	13 6.2	8 9.8	3 9.5	5 10.0	6 17.7	1 3.6	1 5.0	4 4.8	2 7.7	1 2.9	3 9.0		1 3.8	1 2.8		1 8.2			1 9.9	
No Opinion	5 2.5	2 2.5	1 4.3	1 1.6		1 3.6	1 5.1	2 2.3	1.5	1 2.9	1 3.0		1 3.8	1 2.8		1 8.2			1 9.9	

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs

			Condition				s~Roads				n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Wis	Spends M ely	·		eric Suppo Proj	ect	Ū
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Very Important	63 29.7		17 37.1	23 27.6	23 30.7	36 26.8	15 34.5	26 35.7	14 40.8	28 32.3	7 42.5	23 26.9	4 26.7	39 30.4	6 15.5	12 32.4	4 53.2	51 33.5	34 32.0	12 22.2	5 23.9
Somewhat Important	97 46.1	4 53.3	19 40.8	38 46.2	36 49.0	61 45.7	16 38.3	34 46.4	16 48.4	37 42.8	7 42.0	46 52.6	9 62.0	57 44.6	18 49.4	14 38.9	2 28.9	74 48.5	53 50.3	19 35.5	6 26.1
Not Too Important	30 14.1	1 9.3	4 8.4	13 15.9	11 15.1	20 15.0	4 10.2	10 13.1	3 8.3	14 16.0	2 12.5	7 8.5	1 4.7	20 15.5	9 25.6	5 14.3	1 17.9	20 13.4	14 13.2	8 15.3	2 8.6
Not Important at All	13 6.2	3 37.3	5 11.9	4 4.2	1 1.9	13 9.4	7 15.9			6 7.4		4 4.9	3.3	9 6.8	3 9.4	2 4.4		2 1.3	1 1.4	11 21.0	9 39.4
No Opinion	5 2.5		1 1.8	2 2.3	3 3.4	1 0.7	1.1	3 4.8	1 2.5	1 1.5	3.1	3 3.5	3.3	2 1.3		2 6.3		2 1.3	2 1.9	3 6.1	2.1

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 3

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Very Important	63 29.7	52 34.5	39 36.5	9 19.2	5 20.0	1 10.4	52 34.2	32 33.9	10 21.0	6 20.5	4.4	48 29.4	24 29.6	7 34.6	3 47.8	17 30.0	7 45.2	8 26.9	1 20.9	25 32.5
Somewhat Important	97 46.1	72 48.0	51 48.1	21 42.5	9 33.7	4 37.1	73 48.2	48 50.5	20 41.6	11 35.0	4 38.4	77 47.3	37 45.1	6 28.8	2 39.0	24 40.8	5 32.3	15 48.0	2 40.1	38 49.2
Not Too Important	30 14.1	19 12.5	13 12.2	5 11.0	2 7.0	5 48.3	19 12.7	11 12.0	6 11.4	3 9.5	5 46.3	22 13.8	13 15.4	6 32.2	1 13.2	10 17.3	4 22.5	8 25.1	2 38.9	8 10.2
Not Important at All	13 6.2	2 1.3		11 22.7	10 36.2		2 1.3		10 20.4	10 32.3	1 10.9	10 6.0	6 7.0			4 7.2				6 7.4
No Opinion	5 2.5	3 1.7	2 1.9	2 4.6	1 3.0	4.3	3 1.6	2 2.1	3 5.6	1 2.7		4 2.7	2 2.9	1 4.3		1 2.5				0.6

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3B. Building a reliable Broadband network

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Very Important	63 29.7	24 23.8	3 11.4	21 33.2	5.2	1 5.7	31 33.5	20 32.6	10 24.9
Somewhat Important	97 46.1	45 45.9	16 56.9	27 43.6	4 47.5	6 43.2	46 49.6	27 43.9	17 42.6
Not Too Important	30 14.1	19 19.1	8 30.0	6 10.2	4 47.3	2 11.4	11 11.9	10 16.4	7 16.4
Not Important at All	13 6.2	7 6.7		5 8.0		6 39.7	2 2.0		5 12.9
No Opinion	5 2.5	4 4.5	1.7				1 0.9	3 5.0	1 3.2

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3C. Street and roadway maintenance

		Gen	nder						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211 100.0	93 100.0	117 100.0	44 100.0	36 100.0	80 100.0	41 100.0	38 100.0	34 100.0	36 100.0	70 100.0	31 100.0	38 100.0	61 100.0	21 100.0	40 100.0	59 100.0	70 100.0	64 100.0
Very Important	131 62.0	63 67.6	67 57.4	12 27.6	23 65.5	36 44.5	19 46.2	16 42.2	21 61.8	28 77.1	49 69.7	25 79.3	24 61.9	46 76.0	19 91.9	27 67.7	33 56.4	44 63.2	41 63.2
Somewhat Important	68 32.1	25 27.0	42 36.4	26 58.2	8 23.6	34 42.7	19 45.2	16 41.1	13 38.2	7 18.6	20 28.1	5 15.8	15 38.1	14 22.7	2 8.1	12 30.3	20 33.8	22 31.3	23 36.1
Not Too Important	12 5.7	4 4.7	7 6.2	6 14.3	3 9.1	10 12.0	3 6.9	6 16.7		2 4.3	2 2.2	2 4.9		1 1.3		1 2.0	6 9.8	3 4.6	0.7
Not Important at All	1 0.3	1 0.7			1 1.8	1 0.8	1 1.6											1 0.9	
No Opinion																			

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3C. Street and roadway maintenance

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Very Important	131 62.0	35 44.1	12 43.7	23 44.4	10 29.2	8 34.7	17 75.2	50 66.0	23 74.6	27 60.7	14 44.3	21 93.2	15 69.4	43 85.1	26 81.2	16 91.8	11 77.5	19 87.0	13 90.1	1 33.7
Somewhat Important	68 32.1	39 48.3	14 50.7	25 47.0	18 55.2	15 61.6	6 24.8	20 26.2	5 16.2	15 33.3	13 39.7	2 6.8	6 26.8	8 14.9	6 18.8	1 8.2	3 22.5	3 13.0	1 9.9	1 32.7
Not Too Important	12 5.7	5 6.7	1 3.2	5 8.6	5 13.6	1 3.7		6 7.8	3 9.2	3 6.0	5 16.0		1 3.8							1 33.7
Not Important at All	1 0.3	1 0.8	1 2.4		1 2.0															

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3C. Street and roadway maintenance

		C	Condition (of Streets	S	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for		Town is onsible~S Wise	pends M	oney		ric Suppo Proj	ect	Ü
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Very Important	131 62.0	6.9	17 37.2	47 56.3	67 89.7	67 50.5	22 51.3	62 85.0	31 90.7	60 69.0	13 84.5	53 60.6	13 90.5	73 57.0	26 73.0	33 89.7	7 100.0	115 75.5	90 85.4	15 28.5	6 26.8
Somewhat Important	68 32.1	3 36.8	24 52.9	32 39.2	8 10.3	55 41.4	14 33.7	10 13.2	3 9.3	19 21.4	1 7.2	33 37.3	1 9.5	46 35.8	6 17.6	2 6.7		35 22.7	15 14.6	28 52.8	8 34.7
Not Too Important	12 5.7	3 46.7	5 9.9	4 4.5		10 7.6	6 13.5	1 1.8		8 9.6	1 8.3	2 2.1		9 7.1	3 9.5	1 3.6		3 1.8		9 17.5	8 35.6
Not Important at All	1 0.3	1 9.6				1 0.5	1 1.6													1 1.3	1 2.9
No Opinion																					

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3C. Street and roadway maintenance

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Very Important	131 62.0	112 74.4	81 76.7	15 31.1	7 27.3	3 28.3	112 73.9	78 81.6	16 33.0	10 33.2	2 22.7	104 63.9	58 70.7	13 64.4	6 89.5	40 68.1	12 74.5	21 69.0	4 75.6	47 61.9
Somewhat Important	68 32.1	36 23.8	24 22.5	24 49.5	10 37.9	8 68.2	37 24.3	17 17.4	23 46.6	11 35.9	8 77.3	48 29.5	19 23.3	6 28.9		14 23.4	4 23.0	9 28.9	1 24.4	24 31.3
Not Too Important	12 5.7	3 1.8	1 0.8	9 18.1	9 32.3	3.5	3 1.8	1 0.9	9 19.0	9 28.7		11 6.6	5 6.0	1 3.3		5 8.5	2.5	1 2.1		5 6.8
Not Important at All	1 0.3			1 1.4	1 2.4				1 1.4	1 2.2				1 3.4	1 10.5					
No Opinion																				

Table 4

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.

T3C. Street and roadway maintenance

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Very Important	131 62.0	65 65.7	17 62.7	38 59.8	6 62.9	6 39.2	68 72.3	43 69.3	15 35.4
Somewhat Important	68 32.1	25 25.7	8 27.6	23 36.1	3 37.1	2 12.0	23 25.1	18 29.3	24 59.3
Not Too Important	12 5.7	8 8.0	2 7.2	3 4.1		7 48.8	2 2.0	1 1.4	2 5.2
Not Important at All	1 0.3	1 0.7	1 2.4				1 0.7		
No Opinion									

Table 5

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3D. Supporting the local economy, businesses, and jobs

		Gen	nder						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very Important	144	60	84	35	25	60	27	32	24	21	46	18	28	39	16	23	52	40	41
	68.4	64.3	71.8	78.1	70.1	74.5	64.9	85.2	72.4	59.1	65.6	56.5	73.1	63.7	74.9	57.9	87.9	56.5	63.5
Somewhat Important	59	32	27	10	10	19	13	6	9	13	22	14	9	18	5	12	6	27	22
	28.2	34.5	23.1	21.9	26.7	24.1	32.4	14.8	27.6	36.8	32.3	43.5	23.1	28.9	25.1	30.9	9.9	38.4	34.3
Not Too Important	7 3.4	1 1.2	6 5.1		1 3.2	1 1.4	1 2.8			1 4.1	1 2.1		1 3.8	5 7.4		5 11.3	1 2.2	4 5.1	1 2.2

Not Important at All

Table 5

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3D. Supporting the local economy, businesses, and jobs

				Democra	t Voters				ι	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				ſ	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Very Important	144 68.4	57 70.9	19 67.2	38 72.8	27 82.2	12 50.8	17 75.1	54 70.5	21 66.9	33 73.5	24 75.1	16 72.4	13 61.7	31 60.6	19 59.5	11 61.1	8 55.1	15 71.7	7 50.1	2 100.0
Somewhat Important	59 28.2	22 27.2	8 30.4	13 25.6	5 15.8	11 45.6	6 24.9	20 25.9	10 31.5	10 21.4	7 23.4	6 24.9	7 30.6	17 33.7	13 40.5	4 22.5	7 44.9	6 28.3	4 30.0	
Not Too Important	7 3.4	2 1.9	1 2.4	1 1.6	1 2.0	1 3.6		3 3.6	1.5	2 5.1	1.5	1 2.7	2 7.6	3 5.7		3 16.4			3 19.8	

Not Important at All

Table 5

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3D. Supporting the local economy, businesses, and jobs

		C	condition (of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for		Town is ensible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211	7	46	83	74	134	42	73	34	87	16	87	14	128	36	36	7	152	106	53	23
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very Important	144	4	30	54	55	89	31	52	23	62	10	57	10	86	26	24	4	107	74	32	15
	68.4	53.5	66.4	64.9	74.5	66.9	72.7	70.6	67.3	71.6	66.1	65.7	74.6	67.0	72.5	67.2	55.6	70.6	70.3	60.2	65.9
Somewhat Important	59	3	13	28	16	38	10	21	10	21	4	30	4	37	9	12	3	41	29	17	5
	28.2	36.8	27.6	34.1	21.7	28.3	23.7	28.6	30.9	24.6	28.6	34.3	25.4	28.6	25.1	32.8	44.4	27.0	27.6	33.1	22.3
Not Too Important	7 3.4	1 9.6	3 6.1	1 1.0	3 3.9	7 4.9	2 3.6	1 0.8	1 1.8	3 3.9	1 5.3			6 4.4	1 2.4			4 2.3	2 2.1	4 6.8	3 11.8

Not Important at All

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.

T3D. Supporting the local economy, businesses, and jobs

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Very Important	144 68.4	108 71.5	78 73.5	26 52.5	14 51.9	11 96.5	107 70.7	69 72.8	28 56.9	16 51.0	9 89.1	108 66.6	62 75.1	13 66.9	3 53.5	41 70.2	12 79.9	15 49.6	2 36.7	50 65.0
Somewhat Important	59 28.2	39 26.1	26 24.9	20 40.2	10 35.1	3.5	42 27.5	25 26.3	17 34.0	11 34.7	1 10.9	48 29.9	17 20.4	6 29.7	2 36.0	14 23.4	3 20.1	16 50.4	3 63.3	24 31.4
Not Too Important	7 3.4	4 2.4	2 1.6	4 7.3	4 13.0		3 1.8	1 0.9	4 9.1	4 14.3		6 3.5	4 4.5	1 3.4	1 10.5	4 6.4				3 3.6

Not Important at All

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3D. Supporting the local economy, businesses, and jobs

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very Important	144	62	16	47	6	12	63	42	27
	68.4	62.3	59.4	74.8	66.5	83.5	67.2	68.2	66.3
Somewhat Important	59	32	10	14	3	2	27	18	12
	28.2	32.8	36.0	22.9	33.5	16.5	29.1	28.5	29.6
Not Too Important	7 3.4	5 4.9	1 4.6	1 2.3			3 3.6	2 3.3	2 4.1

Not Important at All

Table 6

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3E. Residential and commercial planning, land planning and development

		Gen	ider						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very Important	102	39	63	19	17	35	16	18	18	17	35	13	22	32	10	22	31	28	36
	48.5	41.5	53.7	42.1	46.0	43.8	38.9	47.7	53.7	47.4	50.4	41.8	57.6	52.4	46.1	55.7	52.2	39.3	55.3
Somewhat Important	76	35	42	13	14	27	15	13	10	14	25	11	13	24	9	16	18	30	20
	36.1	37.1	35.6	30.3	38.2	33.8	35.4	33.0	31.0	39.3	35.3	35.6	35.0	40.0	42.7	38.6	30.7	43.0	31.5
Not Too Important	23	14	8	12	3	15	10	6	2	4	6	4	2	2	1	1	6	10	6
	10.8	15.5	7.2	27.7	8.6	19.1	23.7	14.7	4.9	11.5	8.3	12.3	5.0	2.7	4.1	2.0	10.6	14.5	8.6
Not Important at All	5 2.4	4 4.4	1 0.9		2 5.4	2 2.4	1 2.0	1 2.9	3 7.7	1 1.8	3 4.6	3 10.3						2 2.2	3 4.6
No Opinion	4 2.1	1 1.6	3 2.6		1 1.8	1 0.8		1 1.7	1 2.7		1 1.3		1 2.4	3 4.8	1 7.1	1 3.6	4 6.5	1 0.9	

Table 6

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3E. Residential and commercial planning, land planning and development

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Very Important	102 48.5	46 57.9	17 59.8	30 56.9	21 62.9	12 48.9	14 60.1	35 45.8	13 40.9	22 48.8	11 35.0	15 64.5	9 42.2	18 35.6	8 24.2	10 54.1	3 21.4	8 35.8	7 49.9	1 66.3
Somewhat Important	76 36.1	26 33.0	11 40.2	15 29.2	6 17.8	11 47.5	9 39.9	31 41.2	11 35.9	20 45.2	15 47.1	6 24.5	11 50.1	17 34.1	12 36.2	6 31.6	6 39.3	7 33.3	4 30.0	1 33.7
Not Too Important	23 10.8	6 7.5		6 11.5	5 15.5	1 3.6		7 9.1	6 18.1	1 2.9	5 16.5		2 7.7	10 19.6	9 27.3	1 6.1	5 33.7	5 23.2		
Not Important at All	5 2.4	1 0.8		1 1.2	1 1.9			2 2.7	2 5.1	1.0	1.5	2 7.0		2 4.9	2 7.7		1 5.6	2 7.8		
No Opinion	4 2.1	1 0.8		1 1.2	1 1.9			1 1.2		1 2.1		1 4.1		3 5.8	1 4.6	1 8.2			3 20.1	

Table 6

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3E. Residential and commercial planning, land planning and development

		Condition of Streets Streets~Roads Job Approval									n Has Re Adequate	esources Roads	for		Town is I ensible~S Wise	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proje		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Very Important	102 48.5	1 16.5	29 63.4	38 45.5	35 46.5	68 50.8	22 51.9	32 43.7	14 42.5	33 38.5	6 37.5	50 57.9	8 58.1	59 46.0	15 42.5	17 46.9	4 62.2	73 48.1	46 43.4	26 48.5	10 41.4
Somewhat Important	76 36.1		14 30.9	29 35.1	32 42.7	47 35.2	13 30.5	27 37.5	14 40.6	28 31.9	6 37.1	30 34.3	3 23.5	50 38.7	15 40.5	9 25.3	1 19.9	58 37.9	46 43.7	16 31.2	7 30.8
Not Too Important	23 10.8	4 64.8	3 5.7	11 13.8	4 5.8	14 10.7	7 17.6	8 11.6	3 10.4	17 19.2	1 7.1	6 7.1	3 18.4	15 11.9	6 16.9	6 15.8	1 11.5	14 9.4	7 6.8	9 16.1	6 25.0
Not Important at All	5 2.4	1 9.3		3 3.9	1 1.7	2 1.7		3 3.9	1 3.8	5 5.2	2 12.5	1 0.7		2 1.8		3 8.0	6.4	3 2.1	2 2.4	2 3.0	
No Opinion	4 2.1	1 9.3		1 1.7	2 3.2	2 1.6		2 3.3	1 2.7	4 5.2	1 5.9			2 1.6		1 4.1		4 2.5	4 3.6	1 1.2	1 2.8

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community.

T3E. Residential and commercial planning, land planning and development

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Тах	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Yes Yes No No Und Yes 151 106 49 27 11						Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0					11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Very Important	102 48.5	73 48.0	54 50.8	22 44.3	11 41.0	8 73.6	73 48.3	45 47.1	21 43.3	14 47.0	8 75.3	72 44.2	32 38.6	10 50.0	4 64.0	32 55.6	7 45.6	9 28.8		29 37.8
Somewhat Important	76 36.1	57 37.8	39 36.5	16 33.0	7 24.6	3 26.4	56 37.1	41 42.8	17 35.5	7 24.0	3 24.7	65 40.1	30 36.3	5 25.7	1 22.8	14 23.7	5 31.0	16 50.5	2 45.3	37 47.9
Not Too Important	23 10.8	13 8.9	9 8.2	9 19.1	8 28.0		14 9.4	6 6.1	9 17.5	8 24.9		20 12.2	16 19.9	2 8.4		8 13.7	3 17.5	5 17.6	2 36.7	7 8.8
Not Important at All	5 2.4	4 2.7	2 2.2	1 2.3	1 4.0		4 2.7	2 2.4	1 2.3	1 2.1		2 1.3	1 1.7	3 15.9	1 13.2	3 5.4		1 3.0	1 18.0	1 0.8
No Opinion	4 2.1	4 2.5	2 2.2	1 1.3	1 2.4		4 2.5	1 1.5	1 1.3	1 2.1		4 2.2	3 3.6			1 1.6	1 5.9			4 4.7

From the following list of town services and programs, please indicate the importance of each one to the overall Mancos community. T3E. Residential and commercial planning, land planning and development

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very Important	102	41	13	29	2	7	42	33	21
	48.5	41.4	46.4	46.8	24.6	47.7	44.8	52.5	51.3
Somewhat Important	76	38	10	23	5	1	39	20	17
	36.1	38.3	35.0	37.0	57.3	5.7	41.4	32.3	40.6
Not Too Important	23 10.8	14 13.7	3 10.9	7 11.3		4 30.8	10 10.3	7 11.9	1 3.2
Not Important at All	5	4	1	2	2	2	2	1	1
	2.4	3.6	5.3	2.6	18.1	11.4	1.9	1.0	2.7
No Opinion	4 2.1	3 3.1	1 2.3	1 2.3		1 4.4	1 1.6	1 2.3	1 2.2

T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	7 3.3	3 3.3	4 3.3	4 10.1	2 6.8	7 8.6	3 7.4	4 10.1									3 4.4	3 4.6	0.7
Good	46	15	30	4	8	13	6	6	8	10	18	8	11	15	2	13	15	15	12
	21.7	16.3	25.9	10.1	22.9	15.9	14.3	16.9	24.6	28.3	26.5	24.4	28.2	24.0	8.1	32.2	24.5	20.8	18.3
Fair	83	44	38	23	13	35	22	14	17	9	27	15	12	21	8	13	22	28	29
	39.2	47.3	33.0	51.2	35.3	44.1	52.6	36.1	51.4	25.8	38.2	47.9	30.3	33.8	36.3	32.5	36.8	39.6	44.4
Poor	74	30	43	13	12	25	10	14	8	16	25	9	16	25	12	13	20	24	24
	35.2	32.6	37.1	28.6	33.7	30.9	24.6	36.8	24.1	45.9	35.3	27.7	41.5	40.9	55.6	33.2	33.0	34.3	36.6
No Opinion	1 0.6	0.5	1 0.7		1.3	0.6	1.2							1 1.3		1 2.0	1 1.4	0.7	

T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:

				Democra					ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters					·	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Excellent	7 3.3	5 5.6	1 2.4	4 7.4	5 13.6			2 3.1	2 7.7		2 7.5									
Good	46 21.7	21 26.4	7 23.8	15 27.8	7 21.6	8 34.6	6 24.8	17 22.4	5 16.7	11 25.6	6 17.4	4 17.8	7 34.5	6 12.5	3 8.5	4 20.4		5 23.0	1 9.9	1 66.3
Fair	83 39.2	30 37.0	11 40.7	18 35.0	14 43.2	10 40.1	6 24.9	22 29.6	10 31.2	13 28.7	9 28.0	8 34.3	6 27.0	28 55.8	22 69.0	6 33.7	12 78.6	9 43.8	7 50.1	
Poor	74 35.2	25 31.0	9 33.2	16 29.8	7 21.6	6 25.3	12 50.3	33 43.2	13 42.8	20 43.8	14 45.6	11 47.9	8 34.8	16 31.7	7 22.5	8 45.9	3 21.4	7 33.2	6 39.9	1 33.7
No Opinion	1 0.6							1 1.7	1.5	1 1.8	1.5		1 3.8							

T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:

			Condition			Streets~Roads Job Approval					n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	,	Wis	pends M	·		ric Suppo Proj	ect	J
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Excellent	7 3.3	7 100.0				6 4.3	1 1.6	1 1.5		6 6.6	1 4.1			6 4.4		1 1.8		0.3	0.5	6 12.2	4 16.9
Good	46 21.7		46 100.0			42 31.3	22 51.7	1 1.8		19 21.7	2 12.4	19 22.0	1 6.6	30 23.2	6 16.4	3 7.3		20 12.9	8 7.4	22 41.3	13 55.1
Fair	83 39.2			83 100.0		62 46.5	13 31.5	20 27.4	3 9.3	29 33.0	2 13.4	35 39.9	5 32.6	56 43.9	21 59.2	12 33.1	6.4	64 42.0	37 34.7	18 34.8	3 14.3
Poor	74 35.2				74 100.0	23 17.3	6 13.3	51 69.3	31 90.7	34 38.6	11 70.0	33 38.1	9 60.8	36 27.9	8 22.2	21 57.8	7 93.6	68 44.8	61 57.4	6 10.8	3 11.7
No Opinion	1 0.6					1 0.6	1 2.0							1 0.6	1 2.3					0.9	2.1

T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension		Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Excellent	7 3.3	0.3	0.4	6 13.1	6 23.5		0.3		6 13.2	6 20.9		6 3.4	3 3.1	1 6.6	1 10.5	8.0		2 6.1		4 5.1
Good	46 21.7	23 15.0	13 12.6	20 40.4	13 46.5	3 30.4	23 15.2	12 12.1	21 43.1	15 49.2	2 17.5	38 23.4	17 20.9			15 25.0	2 16.0	4 13.9		10 13.6
Fair	83 39.2	61 40.2	41 38.4	16 33.0	4 14.3	6 51.6	60 39.8	32 33.9	16 33.5	5 17.5	6 56.5	63 39.0	31 37.9	9 46.6		25 43.8	6 40.5	14 45.4	5 100.0	30 39.6
Poor	74 35.2	67 44.4	51 48.5	5 10.8	4 13.9	2 18.0	68 44.8	51 54.0	4 9.1	3 10.9	2 18.2	54 33.3	31 37.1	9 46.7	6 89.5	18 30.3	7 43.5	10 31.9		31 41.2
No Opinion	1 0.6	1 1 1.7 0.6 2.7							1.0	1.5	1 7.8	1 0.8	1 1.0					1 2.6		0.6

T4. How would you rate the condition of the streets and roads in your neighborhood? Do you consider them to be:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	7 3.3	6 6.5	2 7.0	0.8		3 22.2	1 0.7	2 3.8	1 1.6
Good	46	14	3	13	2	3	10	14	19
	21.7	14.4	10.0	20.7	24.4	23.6	10.6	22.1	45.8
Fair	83	40	11	30	4	7	32	28	16
	39.2	40.8	39.7	47.2	41.2	49.6	34.3	44.5	38.3
Poor	74	37	11	19	2	1	50	18	5
	35.2	37.9	41.5	30.0	25.4	4.6	53.5	29.6	13.1
No Opinion	1 0.6	0.5	1.7	1 1.3	1 9.0		1 0.9		1.2

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Approve	134	62	72	32	23	55	30	25	20	21	40	18	22	38	13	25	38	46	37
	63.3	65.9	61.5	72.4	64.4	68.8	72.8	65.4	58.0	57.4	57.7	58.3	57.2	62.5	63.8	61.9	64.4	65.1	57.5
Total Disapprove	73	31	41	10	11	22	11	10	14	15	29	13	16	22	8	15	20	24	24
	34.7	33.6	35.3	23.5	31.2	26.9	26.0	26.9	42.0	42.6	42.3	41.7	42.8	36.1	36.2	36.1	34.2	34.2	38.0
Unsure No Opinion	4 2.0	0.5	4 3.2	2 4.2	2 4.4	3 4.3	1.2	3 7.7						1 1.3		1 2.0	1 1.4	0.7	3 4.6
Strongly Approve	42	17	25	11	7	18	10	8	10	4	15	5	10	10	3	7	13	15	11
	20.0	18.6	21.3	24.4	19.0	22.0	23.9	20.6	30.1	12.5	21.0	14.8	26.2	16.2	13.8	17.5	21.8	21.3	17.1
Somewhat Approve	91	44	47	21	16	38	20	17	9	16	26	14	12	28	10	18	25	31	26
	43.3	47.4	40.1	48.0	45.4	46.8	49.0	44.8	27.9	44.9	36.7	43.5	31.0	46.3	50.0	44.4	42.7	43.8	40.4
Strongly Disapprove	34	16	18	3	6	9	7	2	6	7	13	5	8	12	4	8	10	10	12
	15.9	17.1	15.1	6.0	17.4	11.1	16.8	5.3	18.6	18.6	18.6	16.1	20.7	19.2	19.4	19.1	16.4	14.7	18.5
Somewhat Disapprove	40	15	23	8	5	13	4	8	8	9	16	8	8	10	4	7	11	14	13
	18.7	16.4	20.2	17.5	13.7	15.8	9.2	21.5	23.4	24.0	23.7	25.6	22.1	16.9	16.8	17.0	17.8	19.5	19.4

				Democra							ed Voters					·	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211	80	28	52	33	24	23	76	31	45	32	23	22	51	32	18	15	21	15	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Approve	134	56	21	35	24	19	13	47	20	26	21	10	15	28	20	8	9	10	9	1
	63.3	70.3	75.3	67.6	72.6	82.0	55.0	61.4	64.2	59.2	67.7	45.2	69.2	54.8	61.3	45.0	61.8	46.3	60.1	32.7
Total Disapprove	73	23	7	16	8	4	10	26	11	15	7	12	6	23	13	10	6	11	6	1
	34.7	28.9	24.7	31.2	25.5	18.0	45.0	33.8	34.3	33.8	23.5	54.8	27.0	45.2	38.7	55.0	38.2	53.7	39.9	67.3
Unsure No Opinion	4 2.0	1 0.8		1 1.2	1 1.9			4 4.7	1.5	3 7.0	3 8.8		1 3.8							
Strongly Approve	42 20.0	16 20.3	5 18.5	11 21.2	7 21.6	6 23.5	3 15.0	18 23.9	7 22.4	11 25.3	8 25.1	5 23.2	5 23.0	8 15.3	5 16.2	3 14.3	2 16.9	4 18.0	1 9.9	
Somewhat Approve	91	40	16	24	17	14	9	28	13	15	13	5	10	20	15	5	7	6	7	1
	43.3	50.0	56.8	46.4	51.0	58.5	39.9	37.5	41.8	33.9	42.6	22.0	46.2	39.5	45.0	30.7	44.9	28.3	50.1	32.7
Strongly Disapprove	34 15.9	13 15.8	6 20.4	7 13.3	4 11.9	2 7.2	7 30.0	12 15.7	6 20.8	5 12.3	3 10.4	5 23.4	3 15.4	9 17.9	4 12.0	5 29.5	2 11.2	6 28.0	1 9.9	
Somewhat Disapprove	40	11	1	9	5	3	3	14	4	10	4	7	2	14	9	4	4	5	4	1
	18.7	13.2	4.3	17.9	13.6	10.8	15.0	18.2	13.5	21.6	13.2	31.5	11.5	27.3	26.8	25.5	27.0	25.7	30.0	67.3

		C	Condition	of Streets	s	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Ro Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	Spends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj	ort for Pa ect	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Approve	134 63.3	6 83.8	42 91.3	62 75.2	23 31.0	134 100.0	42 100.0			48 55.6	4 23.8	59 68.0	7 47.6	98 76.8	29 80.4	7 19.9		90 59.1	52 49.0	40 75.5	18 79.4
Total Disapprove	73 34.7	1 16.2	1 2.8	20 24.3	51 68.1			73 100.0	34 100.0	37 42.3	12 76.2	27 31.4	7 49.1	30 23.2	7 19.6	29 78.9	7 100.0	61 40.1	53 50.4	12 22.1	4 18.6
Unsure No Opinion	4 2.0		3 5.8	0.6	1 0.9					2 2.1		0.5	3.3			1.3		1 0.7	1 0.6	1 2.5	2.1
Strongly Approve	42 20.0	1 9.6	22 47.6	13 16.1	6 7.6	42 31.6	42 100.0			14 15.6	1 7.2	24 27.1	5 33.4	33 25.4	15 42.7	1.3		25 16.6	14 13.7	15 28.0	12 51.3
Somewhat Approve	91 43.3	5 74.2	20 43.7	49 59.1	17 23.4	91 68.4				35 40.0	3 16.6	36 41.0	2 14.2	66 51.3	14 37.7	7 18.6		65 42.5	37 35.3	25 47.5	6 28.1
Strongly Disapprove	34 15.9			3 3.8	31 41.0			34 46.0	34 100.0	18 20.6	9 57.4	11 12.7	4 26.3	12 9.0	5 13.0	14 37.8	7 90.7	31 20.5	28 26.9	2 3.9	2 8.9
Somewhat Disapprove	40 18.7	1 16.2	1 2.8	17 20.5	20 27.1			40 54.0		19 21.7	3 18.8	16 18.7	3 22.8	18 14.2	2 6.7	15 41.0	1 9.3	30 19.7	25 23.5	10 18.2	2 9.6

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	5% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Approve	134 63.3	89 58.7	58 55.1	38 78.6	20 73.2	7 59.0	87 57.4	52 54.4	38 78.9	24 77.6	8 76.4	108 66.6	55 67.1	7 36.0	1 17.8	35 60.4	8 49.1	21 68.9	3 61.1	48 62.7
Total Disapprove	73 34.7	61 40.6	47 44.3	9 18.7	6 22.1	3 24.4	64 41.8	43 44.9	9 18.4	6 18.1	1 6.1	52 32.4	27 32.9	12 60.7	5 72.0	23 39.6	8 50.9	10 31.1	2 38.9	28 36.1
Unsure No Opinion	4 2.0	1 0.7	1 0.6	1 2.7	1 4.7	2 16.6	1 0.7	1 0.7	1 2.7	1 4.2	2 17.5	2 1.1		1 3.3	1 10.2					1 1.2
Strongly Approve	42 20.0	26 17.0	19 17.6	16 33.0	10 38.2	4.3	26 16.9	18 18.4	16 32.4	12 39.6	1 7.8	37 22.9	28 33.4	1 3.4	1 10.5	12 20.3	4 25.4	7 23.5	2 40.1	10 12.7
Somewhat Approve	91 43.3	63 41.7	40 37.5	22 45.6	10 35.0	6 54.7	62 40.6	34 36.0	23 46.5	12 38.0	7 68.6	71 43.7	28 33.7	6 32.7	7.3	23 40.1	4 23.8	14 45.4	1 20.9	38 50.0
Strongly Disapprove	34 15.9	29 19.3	27 25.3	3 6.8	3 9.2	1 10.4	31 20.5	26 27.6	3 5.2	2 6.7		23 14.4	12 14.2	5 23.1	4 58.5	7 11.9	2 15.8	6 18.8		15 19.3
Somewhat Disapprove	40 18.7	32 21.3	20 19.0	6 11.9	4 12.9	2 14.1	32 21.4	16 17.3	6 13.2	4 11.5	1 6.1	29 17.9	15 18.7	7 37.6	1 13.5	16 27.7	5 35.0	4 12.3	2 38.9	13 16.8

T5. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Public Works Department does maintaining local streets and roads?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Total Approve	134 63.3	64 64.3	15 53.2	40 62.9	9 94.8	13 90.8	53 56.2	36 58.3	32 77.6
Total Disapprove	73 34.7	35 35.2	12 45.1	23 36.4	5.2	1 9.2	40 42.6	26 41.7	6 14.8
Unsure No Opinion	4 2.0	0.5	1.7	0.7			1 1.2		3 7.7
Strongly Approve	42 20.0	15 14.7	3 10.1	15 23.7	6 67.6	6 42.0	13 13.9	11 17.3	12 30.3
Somewhat Approve	91 43.3	49 49.6	12 43.1	25 39.2	2 27.2	7 48.8	40 42.3	25 41.0	19 47.3
Strongly Disapprove	34 15.9	15 15.0	5 17.5	12 19.6	5.2		20 21.1	11 17.9	3 6.9
Somewhat Disapprove	40 18.7	20 20.2	8 27.6	11 16.8		1 9.2	20 21.6	15 23.8	3 7.9

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 9

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes	87	39	47	19	17	35	15	19	12	16	28	15	13	23	8	15	31	25	23
	41.1	41.8	40.4	41.8	46.5	43.9	37.1	50.8	35.8	45.4	40.7	49.2	33.7	38.0	40.1	36.9	52.4	35.6	35.2
Total No	87	35	52	19	10	29	14	14	19	14	33	12	20	26	8	17	20	29	32
	41.3	37.3	44.9	41.9	28.3	35.8	34.7	38.1	56.5	38.6	47.3	39.6	53.6	41.7	39.1	43.1	34.0	41.6	50.3
Unsure	37	19	17	7	9	16	12	4	3	6	8	3	5	12	4	8	8	16	9
No Opinion	17.5	20.9	14.7	16.3	25.2	20.3	28.3	11.1	7.7	16.0	12.0	11.1	12.7	20.3	20.9	20.0	13.6	22.7	14.4
Yes, Definitely	16 7.4	4 4.7	11 9.0		5 15.3	5 6.8	1 3.2	4 9.2	3 7.5	3 9.1	6 8.3	2 7.0	4 9.4	4 7.0	1 4.1	3 8.5	4 6.7	2 2.8	6 9.9
Yes, Probably	71	35	37	19	11	30	14	16	10	13	23	13	9	19	8	11	27	23	16
	33.8	37.2	31.4	41.8	31.3	37.1	33.9	41.6	28.3	36.2	32.4	42.2	24.3	31.0	36.0	28.4	45.6	32.8	25.4
No, Definitely Not	14	5	9	3	3	5	1	4	3	4	7	3	4	2	1	1	2	3	9
	6.6	5.2	7.9	5.8	7.0	6.4	2.8	10.4	8.3	11.3	9.9	8.0	11.3	3.4	5.7	2.2	3.0	4.7	13.8
No, Probably Not	73	30	43	16	8	24	13	11	16	10	26	10	16	23	7	17	18	26	23
	34.7	32.1	37.0	36.1	21.2	29.5	31.9	27.7	48.2	27.3	37.4	31.6	42.2	38.4	33.4	41.0	30.9	37.0	36.5

				Democra	t Voters				I	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				ļ	Republica	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total Yes	87 41.1	28 34.7	5 18.5	23 43.3	14 42.7	4 18.4	9 39.8	29 38.5	15 47.0	15 33.0	15 45.9	8 35.4	7 31.0	29 57.4	19 57.8	10 55.0	6 43.8	15 71.8	7 50.1	1 33.7
Total No	87 41.3	37 46.7	13 45.5	25 47.3	10 31.5	14 59.7	13 55.1	31 40.2	11 34.8	20 44.3	11 35.1	13 56.4	7 30.8	17 33.5	11 32.5	6 36.8	7 44.9	6 28.2	4 29.7	
Unsure No Opinion	37 17.5	15 18.6	10 36.0	5 9.4	9 25.7	5 21.9	1 5.1	16 21.3	6 18.3	10 22.7	6 19.0	2 8.2	8 38.3	5 9.1	3 9.7	1 8.2	2 11.2		3 20.1	1 66.3
Yes, Definitely	16 7.4	4 4.6		4 7.1	3 7.7		1 5.0	4 5.3	1 4.3	3 6.0	1 4.4	1 4.1	2 7.7	8 15.5	3 9.4	4 23.4	2 10.2	5 22.9	1 9.9	
Yes, Probably	71 33.8	24 30.0	5 18.5	19 36.2	12 35.0	4 18.4	8 34.8	25 33.3	13 42.7	12 27.1	13 41.5	7 31.4	5 23.3	21 41.9	16 48.4	6 31.6	5 33.7	10 48.9	6 40.2	1 33.7
No, Definitely Not	14 6.6	8 9.8	2 6.6	6 11.5	3 9.7	3 14.4	1 5.1	5 7.0	3 9.7	2 5.2	2 5.9	3 15.2								
No, Probably Not	73 34.7	30 36.9	11 38.9	19 35.8	7 21.8	11 45.3	12 50.0	25 33.2	8 25.1	17 39.1	9 29.2	9 41.2	7 30.8	17 33.5	11 32.5	6 36.8	7 44.9	6 28.2	4 29.7	

		C	Condition	of Streets	5	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj	ort for Pa ect	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Yes	87 41.1	6 83.5	19 41.1	29 34.7	34 45.1	48 36.1	14 32.1	37 50.2	18 53.1	87 100.0	16 100.0			50 38.7	15 41.8	23 63.8	5 69.0	57 37.2	42 39.6	28 52.8	15 63.6
Total No	87 41.3		19 41.9	35 42.1	33 44.7	59 44.4	24 55.9	27 37.5	11 33.0			87 100.0	14 100.0	60 46.9	15 40.7	12 34.0	1 19.7	67 44.0	47 44.1	18 33.6	6 27.8
Unsure No Opinion	37 17.5	1 16.5	8 17.0	19 23.2	8 10.2	26 19.5	5 12.0	9 12.3	5 13.9					18 14.4	6 17.5	1 2.3	1 11.4	29 18.7	17 16.3	7 13.6	2 8.5
Yes, Definitely	16 7.4	1 9.3	2 4.2	2 2.5	11 14.6	4 2.8	1 2.7	12 16.2	9 26.6	16 17.9	16 100.0			5 3.7	2 6.1	7 19.6	3 35.6	11 7.4	11 10.0	4 7.3	3 11.1
Yes, Probably	71 33.8	5 74.2	17 36.9	27 32.2	23 30.5	45 33.3	12 29.4	25 34.0	9 26.6	71 82.1				45 35.0	13 35.7	16 44.2	2 33.4	45 29.9	31 29.5	24 45.5	12 52.5
No, Definitely Not	14 6.6		1 2.0	5 5.5	9 11.5	7 5.0	5 11.1	7 9.4	4 11.0			14 16.1	14 100.0	9 7.0	6 15.4	5 12.5	1 19.7	13 8.5	9 8.5	1 2.1	1 2.7
No, Probably Not	73 34.7		18 39.9	30 36.5	25 33.2	53 39.4	19 44.8	21 28.1	7 22.1			73 83.9		51 39.8	9 25.3	8 21.4		54 35.5	38 35.5	17 31.5	6 25.1

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	Frime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Yes	87 41.1	54 36.0	38 36.2	26 52.4	18 67.5	7 62.0	57 37.4	35 37.0	23 47.7	19 61.6	7 64.6	65 39.8	39 47.3	12 63.0	3 43.3	27 46.4	7 44.6	14 46.2	2 38.9	32 41.4
Total No	87 41.3	68 44.9	48 45.5	17 35.4	5 19.2	2 18.6	69 45.6	46 48.7	17 34.5	6 19.1	1 10.9	72 44.1	34 41.8	5 24.7	2 36.0	23 40.4	6 39.6	16 51.2	3 61.1	28 37.0
Unsure No Opinion	37 17.5	29 19.1	19 18.3	6 12.2	4 13.3	2 19.4	26 17.0	14 14.3	9 17.8	6 19.3	3 24.6	26 16.1	9 10.9	2 12.2	1 20.7	8 13.2	2 15.8	1 2.6		17 21.6
Yes, Definitely	16 7.4	11 7.4	9 8.6	4 7.9	4 14.1	4.3	11 7.1	8 8.1	4 8.9	3 11.1	4.4	10 6.4	6 6.9	3 17.1	3 43.3	4 7.7	3 19.2	2 6.2		7 9.0
Yes, Probably	71 33.8	43 28.5	29 27.6	22 44.5	15 53.4	6 57.7	46 30.3	28 28.9	19 38.8	16 50.5	6 60.2	54 33.4	33 40.4	9 45.9		22 38.7	4 25.4	12 40.0	2 38.9	25 32.4
No, Definitely Not	14 6.6	13 8.9	12 11.8	1 1.3	1 2.3		13 8.8	12 12.2	1 1.3	1 2.0		9 5.5	5 6.2	3 15.9	2 36.0	3 4.9	1 7.1	2 5.9		6 8.2
No, Probably Not	73 34.7	54 36.0	36 33.7	17 34.1	5 16.9	2 18.6	56 36.8	35 36.6	16 33.2	5 17.1	1 10.9	63 38.6	29 35.6	2 8.8		21 35.6	5 32.5	14 45.2	3 61.1	22 28.8

T6. Do you think the Town of Mancos has the financial resources needed to adequately maintain, repair, and improve the town's streets and roads?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes	87	50	17	24	3	12	32	30	12
	41.1	50.6	62.7	37.7	38.3	84.4	34.6	48.6	29.5
Total No	87	40	8	31	5	1	44	25	17
	41.3	40.2	27.7	49.6	52.7	9.9	46.8	39.9	42.2
Unsure	37	9	3	8	1	1	17	7	12
No Opinion	17.5	9.2	9.6	12.8	9.0	5.7	18.6	11.6	28.3
Yes, Definitely	16 7.4	9 8.9	5 19.3	3 5.3		1 9.1	4 4.5	6 9.0	4 10.7
Yes, Probably	71	41	12	20	3	11	28	25	8
	33.8	41.6	43.5	32.4	38.3	75.3	30.1	39.6	18.7
No, Definitely Not	14 6.6	6 6.5	1 2.3	4 6.6	5.2		10 10.6	3 5.1	1 2.2
No, Probably Not	73	33	7	27	4	1	34	22	16
	34.7	33.7	25.4	42.9	47.5	9.9	36.2	34.7	40.0

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	128	55	73	31	18	49	24	25	21	24	45	20	25	34	11	23	36	39	44
	60.7	58.7	62.7	70.7	49.1	61.0	58.3	65.6	62.6	67.1	64.9	62.9	66.5	55.3	53.2	56.5	61.3	55.0	68.7
Total Disagree	36	18	18	3	8	12	6	5	6	6	12	8	4	12	3	9	10	15	7
	17.2	19.3	15.1	7.5	23.6	14.7	15.6	12.3	17.8	18.0	17.9	26.7	10.7	19.6	15.2	21.9	16.6	21.5	11.3
Unsure	47	21	26	10	10	19	11	8	7	5	12	3	9	15	7	9	13	17	13
No Opinion	22.2	22.0	22.2	21.8	27.3	24.3	26.0	22.0	19.6	14.9	17.2	10.3	22.8	25.1	31.6	21.7	22.1	23.6	19.9
Strongly Agree	36	15	21	9	5	14	6	8	4	7	11	4	7	11	4	6	12	10	10
	17.1	16.0	18.2	20.1	14.6	17.6	15.8	20.1	13.1	19.5	16.4	12.9	19.3	17.4	21.1	15.4	20.8	14.9	15.3
Somewhat Agree	92	40	52	22	12	35	17	17	17	17	34	16	18	23	7	17	24	28	34
	43.5	42.8	44.5	50.6	34.5	43.4	42.6	45.6	49.5	47.5	48.5	50.1	47.2	38.0	32.2	41.0	40.5	40.0	53.4
Strongly Disagree	7 3.4	3 2.9	4 3.3		4 11.2	4 5.0	2 4.3	2 4.1	1 2.8		1 1.4	1 3.0		2 3.7		2 5.6	3 4.6	2 3.5	1 2.2
Somewhat Disagree	29	15	14	3	4	8	5	3	5	6	12	7	4	10	3	7	7	13	6
	13.8	16.3	11.8	7.5	12.4	9.7	11.3	8.2	15.0	18.0	16.6	23.7	10.7	15.9	15.2	16.3	11.9	18.0	9.2

				Democra					l	Unaffiliate	ed Voters					Republica				Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total Agree	128 60.7	53 66.7	17 62.2	36 69.0	25 74.4	16 67.1	13 55.1	40 52.1	16 51.7	24 52.9	19 59.1	12 51.9	9 42.2	33 64.5	21 63.8	12 68.4	5 33.7	18 82.2	10 70.0	
Total Disagree	36 17.2	10 12.7	2 7.9	8 15.2	2 5.8	5 20.1	3 14.9	14 18.8	8 24.4	7 15.2	4 13.3	6 26.3	4 19.2	11 22.0	8 23.4	3 16.4	6 38.2	1 5.2	4 30.0	1 33.7
Unsure No Opinion	47 22.2	17 20.7	8 29.9	8 15.8	7 19.8	3 12.8	7 30.0	22 29.0	7 23.9	14 31.9	9 27.6	5 21.8	8 38.6	7 13.5	4 12.8	3 15.2	4 28.1	3 12.6		1 66.3
Strongly Agree	36 17.1	13 16.7	3 9.8	11 20.4	6 19.4	3 14.5	3 15.0	14 18.1	7 23.7	6 14.5	5 16.3	5 23.4	3 15.3	8 16.0	4 12.3	4 23.4	2 16.9	3 12.6	3 20.1	
Somewhat Agree	92 43.5	40 50.0	15 52.4	25 48.7	18 55.0	12 52.6	9 40.1	26 34.0	9 28.0	17 38.4	14 42.8	6 28.6	6 26.8	25 48.5	17 51.5	8 45.0	2 16.9	15 69.7	7 49.9	
Strongly Disagree	7 3.4	1 0.8		1 1.2	1 1.9			4 4.8	2 6.1	2 3.9	2 5.9	1 4.2	1 3.8	3 5.8	1 2.6	1 8.2	2 10.2		1 9.9	
Somewhat Disagree	29 13.8	10 11.9	2 7.9	7 14.0	1 3.9	5 20.1	3 14.9	11 14.0	6 18.2	5 11.3	2 7.3	5 22.1	3 15.4	8 16.2	7 20.8	1 8.2	4 28.1	1 5.2	3 20.1	1 33.7

		C	Condition	of Streets	S	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Ro Adequato	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	Spends M	oney	Gene	eric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Agree	128 60.7	6 81.1	30 64.7	56 68.0	36 48.1	98 73.5	33 77.1	30 40.7	12 34.3	50 57.1	5 30.8	60 68.8	9 64.3	128 100.0	36 100.0			94 61.9	58 55.0	31 57.8	15 63.5
Total Disagree	36 17.2	1 9.3	3 5.8	12 14.5	21 28.2	7 5.4	1.1	29 39.1	14 40.8	23 26.6	7 45.7	12 14.1	5 32.4			36 100.0	7 100.0	29 19.1	25 23.8	7 12.8	3 11.2
Unsure No Opinion	47 22.2	1 9.6	14 29.5	14 17.5	18 23.7	28 21.1	9 21.8	15 20.3	8 24.9	14 16.3	4 23.5	15 17.1	3.3					29 19.0	22 21.2	16 29.4	6 25.3
Strongly Agree	36 17.1		6 12.9	21 25.9	8 10.8	29 21.8	15 36.6	7 9.7	5 13.9	15 17.4	2 14.3	15 16.9	6 39.8	36 28.3	36 100.0			26 17.2	18 16.6	9 17.4	6 24.9
Somewhat Agree	92 43.5	6 81.1	24 51.8	35 42.1	28 37.3	69 51.8	17 40.5	23 31.0	7 20.4	34 39.7	3 16.5	45 51.9	3 24.5	92 71.7				68 44.7	41 38.4	21 40.4	9 38.6
Strongly Disagree	7 3.4			0.6	7 9.1			7 9.9	7 19.5	5 5.7	3 16.6	1 1.6	1 10.1			7 19.9	7 100.0	5 3.5	4 4.3	1 2.7	1 6.3
Somewhat Disagree	29 13.8	1 9.3	3 5.8	12 14.0	14 19.1	7 5.4	1.1	21 29.2	7 21.3	18 20.9	5 29.2	11 12.5	3 22.3			29 80.1		24 15.6	21 19.6	5 10.1	1 4.9

				and Broa	dband		Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Agree	128 60.7	98 64.6	67 63.6	27 55.2	17 61.9	3 31.4	96 63.0	61 64.0	28 58.5	18 59.9	4 36.7	109 67.3	61 74.3	7 34.5		33 56.5	9 55.4	26 83.5	4 82.0	48 63.0
Total Disagree	36 17.2	26 17.1	20 18.7	8 17.1	4 15.9	2 18.0	27 18.0	16 16.8	6 11.9	4 12.6	3 29.1	26 15.7	14 17.4	9 46.2	5 79.3	12 20.5	1 6.0	3 10.6	1 18.0	18 23.1
Unsure No Opinion	47 22.2	28 18.3	19 17.6	14 27.7	6 22.2	6 50.6	29 19.0	18 19.2	14 29.5	8 27.5	4 34.2	27 16.9	7 8.3	4 19.2	1 20.7	13 23.0	6 38.6	2 5.9		11 13.9
Strongly Agree	36 17.1	26 16.9	21 19.6	8 16.4	6 21.1	3 23.2	23 15.0	21 21.5	10 19.6	7 21.4	4 36.7	31 18.8	22 27.3	3 17.7		12 20.0	4 25.1	9 30.1	1 15.8	14 18.8
Somewhat Agree	92 43.5	72 47.6	47 44.0	19 38.8	11 40.9	1 8.3	73 48.0	40 42.5	19 39.0	12 38.4		79 48.5	39 47.1	3 16.8		21 36.5	5 30.3	17 53.4	3 66.3	34 44.2
Strongly Disagree	7 3.4	4 2.5	4 3.6	3 7.0	3 9.4		5 3.1	4 4.0	3 5.3	2 6.9		5 3.3	3 3.1	2 9.7	2 30.3	0.8	3.0	1 2.7		5 6.3
Somewhat Disagree	29 13.8	22 14.6	16 15.1	5 10.2	2 6.5	2 18.0	23 15.0	12 12.8	3 6.6	2 5.8	3 29.1	20 12.5	12 14.3	7 36.5	3 49.0	11 19.7	3.0	2 7.9	1 18.0	13 16.9

T7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Town of Mancos is fiscally responsible and spends taxpayer money wisely."

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	128	66	15	46	9	13	58	41	16
	60.7	66.9	53.2	73.3	94.8	86.4	62.6	65.9	39.3
Total Disagree	36 17.2	20 20.4	7 25.7	12 19.1	5.2	1 9.2	18 18.9	11 18.0	6 14.8
Unsure	47	13	6	5		1	17	10	19
No Opinion	22.2	12.7	21.1	7.7		4.4	18.6	16.1	45.9
Strongly Agree	36	18	7	17	4	4	15	15	3
	17.1	17.7	24.0	26.9	39.5	28.7	15.5	23.5	7.1
Somewhat Agree	92	49	8	29	5	8	44	26	13
	43.5	49.1	29.2	46.4	55.3	57.7	47.0	42.4	32.2
Strongly Disagree	7 3.4	4 4.2	1 5.2	2 2.5	5.2	1 4.6	3 3.0	2 3.0	2 4.6
Somewhat Disagree	29 13.8	16 16.2	6 20.5	10 16.6		1 4.6	15 15.9	9 15.0	4 10.2

		Gen	der						Αģ	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	152	75	77	25	28	53	29	24	25	30	55	27	27	44	18	26	33	52	54
	72.1	79.8	65.9	56.4	78.9	66.5	70.0	62.8	73.8	83.3	78.7	87.3	71.6	71.8	87.8	63.5	55.7	73.6	83.7
Total Oppose	53	18	34	17	7	24	12	12	6	6	12	4	8	16	3	14	24	18	7
	25.0	19.7	29.2	39.5	18.4	30.1	28.9	31.2	18.6	16.7	17.6	12.7	21.7	26.8	12.2	34.5	40.7	26.4	10.7
Unsure No Opinion	6 2.9	0.5	6 4.9	2 4.2	1 2.6	3 3.5	1.2	2 6.1	3 7.6		3 3.7		3 6.7	1 1.3		1 2.0	2 3.6		4 5.6
Strongly Support	106	49	56	13	18	31	18	13	20	18	38	17	21	36	14	22	20	34	41
	50.0	52.3	48.1	30.3	49.9	39.0	43.5	33.5	59.6	50.8	55.1	53.9	56.0	58.7	67.0	54.4	33.0	48.7	64.2
Somewhat Support	46	26	21	12	10	22	11	11	5	12	16	10	6	8	4	4	13	18	13
	22.0	27.5	17.8	26.1	29.1	27.4	26.4	29.3	14.2	32.5	23.6	33.4	15.6	13.1	20.9	9.1	22.8	25.0	19.5
Strongly Oppose	23 10.9	8 8.5	15 12.9	7 16.1	4 12.5	12 14.5	7 16.2	5 13.1	2 5.4	2 5.3	4 5.4	1 4.1	2 6.4	8 12.5		8 19.0	12 20.8	7 9.3	2 3.0
Somewhat Oppose	30	10	19	10	2	13	5	7	4	4	9	3	6	9	3	6	12	12	5
	14.1	11.2	16.3	23.4	5.9	15.6	12.7	18.1	13.1	11.4	12.2	8.5	15.3	14.3	12.2	15.4	19.9	17.1	7.7

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				į	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total Support	152 72.1	54 67.9	25 88.1	30 57.2	19 57.1	17 71.1	19 80.2	53 69.5	20 66.2	32 72.3	22 70.6	17 75.4	13 61.6	42 82.7	28 86.3	13 75.4	11 77.5	20 94.8	10 70.3	1 33.7
Total Oppose	53 25.0	23 28.9	3 11.9	20 37.9	14 42.9	4 18.0	5 19.8	20 25.8	10 32.3	9 20.7	7 20.6	6 24.6	7 34.6	9 17.3	4 13.7	4 24.6	3 22.5	1 5.2	4 29.7	1 66.3
Unsure No Opinion	6 2.9	3 3.2		3 4.9		3 10.8		4 4.7	1.5	3 7.0	3 8.8		1 3.8							
Strongly Support	106 50.0	35 43.5	13 48.2	21 41.1	7 19.7	12 51.0	16 70.1	37 48.1	15 47.4	22 49.0	14 45.6	12 53.5	10 46.2	33 64.6	20 61.3	12 69.3	10 66.3	14 66.5	9 60.1	
Somewhat Support	46 22.0	20 24.4	11 39.9	8 16.1	12 37.4	5 20.2	2 10.1	16 21.3	6 18.8	10 23.3	8 25.0	5 21.9	3 15.4	9 18.1	8 25.1	1 6.1	2 11.2	6 28.3	1 10.2	1 33.7
Strongly Oppose	23 10.9	10 12.6	3 11.9	7 13.0	8 23.5		2 9.9	9 12.3	4 12.8	5 12.1	4 12.0	3 13.6	2 11.4	3 5.7		3 16.4			3 19.8	1 33.7
Somewhat Oppose	30 14.1	13 16.2		13 24.9	6 19.4	4 18.0	2 9.9	10 13.5	6 19.5	4 8.6	3 8.7	2 11.0	5 23.2	6 11.6	4 13.7	1 8.2	3 22.5	1 5.2	1 9.9	1 32.7

		C	condition	of Streets	5	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	eric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Support	152 72.1	6.9	20 42.8	64 77.2	68 91.7	90 67.3	25 59.9	61 83.4	31 92.5	57 65.2	11 72.3	67 76.7	13 92.2	94 73.5	26 72.4	29 80.1	5 73.7	152 100.0	106 100.0		
Total Oppose	53 25.0	6 93.1	22 47.6	18 22.2	6 7.7	40 29.8	15 35.1	12 15.9	2 6.1	28 32.1	4 24.8	18 20.3	1 7.8	31 23.8	9 25.4	7 18.6	1 19.9			53 100.0	23 100.0
Unsure No Opinion	6 2.9		4 9.6	0.6	0.6	4 2.9	2 5.0	0.6	1.4	2 2.7	3.0	3 2.9		3 2.6	1 2.3	1.3	6.4				
Strongly Support	106 50.0	6.9	8 17.1	37 44.3	61 81.5	52 38.7	14 34.3	53 72.7	28 84.5	42 48.1	11 68.1	47 53.4	9 64.3	58 45.3	18 48.4	25 69.3	4 62.2	106 69.4	106 100.0		
Somewhat Support	46 22.0		12 25.7	27 32.9	8 10.2	38 28.6	11 25.6	8 10.8	3 8.0	15 17.1	1 4.1	20 23.4	4 27.9	36 28.2	9 24.0	4 10.8	1 11.5	46 30.6			
Strongly Oppose	23 10.9	4 56.3	13 27.7	3 4.0	3 3.6	18 13.7	12 28.0	4 5.8	2 6.1	15 16.9	3 16.5	6 7.3	1 4.5	15 11.4	6 15.9	3 7.1	1 19.9			23 43.6	23 100.0
Somewhat Oppose	30 14.1	3 36.8	9 19.9	15 18.2	3 4.1	22 16.2	3 7.1	7 10.1		13 15.2	1 8.3	11 13.0	3.3	16 12.4	3 9.5	4 11.5				30 56.4	

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension		Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Support	152 72.1	141 93.3	104 98.8	7 15.2	2 8.2	4 33.9	141 93.0	95 99.5	7 13.5	3 9.9	4 39.5	120 73.8	58 70.4	13 68.3	4 66.7	42 72.6	12 75.9	23 75.2	4 82.0	58 75.7
Total Oppose	53 25.0	7 4.7	1 0.8	40 82.2	25 90.1	6 49.5	8 5.0		42 85.5	28 90.1	4 35.3	39 23.8	22 26.5	6 31.7	2 33.3	13 22.9	2 15.9	7 22.2	1 18.0	19 24.3
Unsure No Opinion	6 2.9	3 2.0	0.4	1 2.6	1.7	2 16.6	3 2.0	0.5	1.0		3 25.3	4 2.4	3 3.1			3 4.4	1 8.2	1 2.6		
Strongly Support	106 50.0	103 68.1	82 78.0	1 1.4	1 2.5	2 18.0	101 66.5	79 82.9	3 5.7	1 2.2	2 16.9	82 50.4	43 52.2	11 56.7	4 66.7	31 53.8	10 61.1	17 55.0	3 61.1	40 52.1
Somewhat Support	46 22.0	38 25.1	22 20.7	7 13.8	2 5.7	2 15.9	40 26.5	16 16.6	4 7.8	2 7.8	2 22.6	38 23.4	15 18.1	2 11.7		11 18.8	2 14.8	6 20.1	1 20.9	18 23.6
Strongly Oppose	23 10.9	1 0.5	1 0.8	22 44.4	20 71.7	4.3			23 47.4	21 69.5		16 9.6	10 12.2	3 15.3	2 33.3	7 11.3	1 9.3	1 4.8		7 9.6
Somewhat Oppose	30 14.1	6 4.2		18 37.7	5 18.4	5 45.2	8 5.0		19 38.1	6 20.5	4 35.3	23 14.2	12 14.3	3 16.3		7 11.7	1 6.5	5 17.4	1 18.0	11 14.8

T8. As you may be aware, some members of the Mancos community have discussed a local street and road paving project. This project would also include creating paved sidewalks, curbs, and gutters. Knowing this, do you support or oppose this paving project?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Total Support	152 72.1	67 68.0	13 46.3	49 77.9	7 76.9	5 33.5	85 91.3	48 76.6	14 34.7
Total Oppose	53 25.0	31 31.5	15 53.7	11 16.7		10 66.5	6 6.4	14 23.4	23 55.3
Unsure No Opinion	6 2.9	0.5		3 5.4	2 23.1		2 2.3		4 9.9
Strongly Support	106 50.0	39 39.2	9 33.8	40 62.8	7 76.9	4 25.9	59 63.5	37 59.5	6 13.5
Somewhat Support	46 22.0	29 28.8	3 12.5	9 15.1		1 7.6	26 27.8	11 17.2	9 21.2
Strongly Oppose	23 10.9	11 10.8	6 20.8	8 13.3		9 58.9	1 0.7	5 8.6	8 20.6
Somewhat Oppose	30 14.1	20 20.7	9 32.9	2 3.3		1 7.6	5 5.7	9 14.7	14 34.7

Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

		Gen							•	ge~Gende								Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	151	70	81	28	26	53	27	26	27	28	56	26	29	42	16	26	35	48	56
	71.6	74.5	69.8	62.2	71.6	66.4	66.3	68.3	81.4	78.5	79.9	83.8	76.7	68.8	76.7	64.7	58.5	68.6	87.6
Total No, Reject	49	22	26	12	8	20	13	7	5	8	13	5	8	16	4	12	19	21	5
	23.2	23.3	22.7	27.9	21.1	24.8	30.9	17.2	15.9	21.5	18.8	16.2	20.9	26.0	19.2	29.6	31.3	29.8	8.1
Undecided	11 5.3	2 2.1	9 7.5	4 10.0	3 7.3	7 8.8	1 2.8	6 14.5	1 2.7		1 1.3		1 2.4	3 5.1	1 4.1	2 5.7	6 10.2	1 1.6	3 4.3
Definitely Yes, Approve	106	52	53	19	14	34	22	12	20	19	39	18	20	33	12	21	24	32	43
	50.1	56.1	45.7	43.9	40.3	42.3	52.7	32.2	59.6	52.1	55.8	58.9	53.2	53.8	58.5	51.4	40.0	45.6	66.5
Probably Yes, Approve	45	17	28	8	11	19	6	14	7	9	17	8	9	9	4	5	11	16	14
	21.5	18.5	24.1	18.3	31.3	24.1	13.6	36.1	21.8	26.4	24.2	25.0	23.5	15.0	18.2	13.3	18.5	23.0	21.1
Definitely No, Reject	27 13.0	10 11.2	16 13.9	9 20.4	6 17.4	15 19.0	8 19.6	7 17.2	1 2.7	4 10.8	5 6.9	2 7.6	2 6.2	7 12.0		7 18.2	11 17.9	10 13.9	3 5.4
Probably No, Reject	22 10.2	11 12.1	10 8.7	3 7.5	1 3.6	5 5.8	5 11.3		4 13.1	4 10.7	8 11.9	3 8.5	6 14.6	9 14.0	4 19.2	5 11.3	8 13.5	11 16.0	2 2.7

Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				1	Republica	n Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211	80	28	52	33	24	23	76	31	45	32	23	22	51	32	18	15	21	15	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	151	58	24	34	21	19	17	52	20	33	22	16	14	38	25	13	10	19	9	1
	71.6	72.1	85.7	64.8	62.9	82.0	75.2	69.1	63.5	73.6	69.1	72.7	65.3	74.6	75.8	75.4	67.4	89.6	60.1	33.7
Total No, Reject	49	16	3	13	8	4	3	19	10	9	7	5	7	13	8	4	5	2	6	1
	23.2	20.2	11.9	24.6	25.5	18.0	14.9	24.4	32.3	19.2	20.9	23.3	30.8	25.4	24.2	24.6	32.6	10.4	39.9	66.3
Undecided	11 5.3	6 7.7	1 2.4	6 10.6	4 11.7		9.9	5 6.5	1 4.3	3 7.2	3 10.0	1 4.1	1 3.9							
Definitely Yes, Approve	106	47	22	25	16	13	17	35	15	19	12	12	11	22	14	8	6	13	3	1
	50.1	58.4	79.4	47.2	49.3	54.7	75.2	45.6	49.0	43.6	36.9	53.5	50.0	43.0	41.8	46.8	39.3	61.4	19.8	33.7
Probably Yes, Approve	45 21.5	11 13.7	2 6.3	9 17.6	5 13.6	6 27.3		18 23.5	4 14.5	13 30.0	10 32.2	4 19.2	3 15.3	16 31.6	11 33.9	5 28.6	4 28.1	6 28.2	6 40.2	
Definitely No, Reject	27	10	3	7	8	1	1	12	5	6	6	2	3	5	1	3	1	1	3	1
	13.0	13.1	11.9	13.7	25.5	3.6	5.0	15.3	17.4	13.9	19.4	9.5	15.2	9.2	3.4	16.4	4.5	5.2	19.8	33.7
Probably No, Reject	22 10.2	6 7.1		6 10.9		3 14.4	2 9.9	7 9.2	5 14.9	2 5.3	1.5	3 13.7	3 15.5	8 16.2	7 20.8	1 8.2	4 28.1	1 5.2	3 20.1	1 32.7

Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

		C	condition	of Streets	5	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj	ort for Pa ect	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Yes, Approve	151 71.6	6.9	23 49.5	61 73.5	67 90.2	89 66.3	26 60.7	61 83.8	29 86.6	54 62.6	11 72.1	68 77.8	13 95.5	98 76.2	26 70.7	26 71.4	4 52.9	141 92.6	103 97.5	7 13.5	1 3.6
Total No, Reject	49 23.2	6 93.1	20 43.2	16 19.5	5 7.1	38 28.8	16 38.2	9 12.5	3 10.0	26 29.5	4 24.8	17 19.9	1 4.5	27 21.1	8 22.2	8 23.1	3 47.1	7 4.9	1 0.6	40 76.1	22 94.4
Undecided	11 5.3		3 7.4	6 6.9	2 2.7	7 4.9	1.1	3 3.7	1 3.4	7 7.9	3.1	2 2.4		3 2.7	3 7.1	2 5.5		4 2.5	2 1.9	6 10.4	2.1
Definitely Yes, Approve	106 50.1	6.9	13 29.2	41 49.1	51 68.9	58 43.5	19 44.0	47 64.0	27 79.6	38 44.0	9 58.5	48 55.2	12 88.9	67 52.5	21 57.3	20 54.6	4 52.9	104 68.6	82 78.1	1 1.6	1 3.6
Probably Yes, Approve	45 21.5		9 20.3	20 24.4	16 21.3	30 22.8	7 16.7	14 19.7	2 7.1	16 18.5	2 13.6	20 22.6	1 6.6	30 23.6	5 13.4	6 16.7		36 24.0	20 19.3	6 11.9	
Definitely No, Reject	27 13.0	6 93.1	13 27.8	4 4.7	4 5.1	20 15.0	10 24.8	6 8.3	3 7.5	18 21.3	4 24.8	5 6.0	1 4.5	17 13.3	6 16.0	4 12.0	3 35.6	2 1.5	1 0.6	25 46.8	20 85.4
Probably No, Reject	22 10.2		7 15.3	12 14.8	1 1.9	18 13.8	6 13.5	3 4.3	1 2.5	7 8.2		12 13.8		10 7.8	2 6.2	4 11.1	1 11.5	5 3.4		15 29.3	2 9.0

Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Yes, Approve	151 71.6	151 100.0	106 100.0				145 95.8	95 100.0	5 9.4	2 5.4	1 8.9	120 73.8	61 74.6	13 65.1	4 66.7	42 72.6	13 85.3	22 70.5	5 100.0	56 73.7
Total No, Reject	49 23.2			49 100.0	27 100.0		3 2.1		43 88.8	29 94.6	3 24.5	40 24.8	20 23.8	4 18.6	2 33.3	10 18.1	1 8.1	9 29.5		19 24.5
Undecided	11 5.3					11 100.0	3 2.1		1 1.8		7 66.6	2 1.5	1 1.6	3 16.3		5 9.3	1 6.6			1 1.7
Definitely Yes, Approve	106 50.1	106 70.0	106 100.0				103 67.8	91 95.6	2 4.7	2 5.4	4.4	84 51.8	42 51.4	8 43.1	3 53.5	29 50.3	7 46.8	15 49.4	2 36.7	41 53.8
Probably Yes, Approve	45 21.5	45 30.0					43 28.0	4 4.4	2 4.8		4.5	36 21.9	19 23.3	4 22.0	1 13.2	13 22.3	6 38.5	7 21.0	3 63.3	15 19.9
Definitely No, Reject	27 13.0			27 56.0	27 100.0				27 56.4	27 87.5		19 11.9	10 12.1	4 18.6	2 33.3	5 8.3	1 4.0	4 14.4		10 12.6
Probably No, Reject	22 10.2			22 44.0			3 2.1		16 32.4	2 7.1	3 24.5	21 12.9	10 11.7			6 9.8	1 4.0	5 15.1		9 11.9

Before we continue, you should know that the Town of Mancos has not decided to place a ballot measure before voters to fund a project that would include paving every local street and road and the creation of sidewalks and curbs and would also include broadband infrastructure. However, your participation in this survey will strongly influence that decision. Let's continue the survey now.

T9. The Town of Mancos is considering a ballot measure to create a 0.3% sales tax to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. A 0.3% tax will generate approximately \$100,000 annually. If an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, annual, ongoing road maintenance and repair costs and broadband infrastructure?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	151	66	15	51	8	4	87	47	14
	71.6	66.3	55.6	81.4	91.0	27.0	93.0	75.2	33.0
Total No, Reject	49	29	9	10	1	11	4	11	23
	23.2	29.7	33.4	15.4	9.0	73.0	4.6	18.5	55.0
Undecided	11 5.3	4 4.0	3 11.0	2 3.2			2 2.5	4 6.3	5 12.0
Definitely Yes, Approve	106	42	9	39	5	4	57	37	8
	50.1	42.5	33.7	62.3	53.8	27.0	61.0	59.4	19.3
Probably Yes, Approve	45 21.5	24 23.8	6 21.9	12 19.1	3 37.2		30 32.0	10 15.8	6 13.7
Definitely No, Reject	27 13.0	13 13.6	6 21.4	8 12.0		10 65.4	1 0.7	6 10.2	11 26.6
Probably No, Reject	22	16	3	2	1	1	4	5	12
	10.2	16.1	12.0	3.3	9.0	7.6	3.9	8.3	28.4

We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get

T10. If the ballot measure is approved, the project's long-term plan includes paving most of the town's roads along with sidewalks and curbs. Menefee Street, Sunset, Bauer Ave. and Monte St. would be completed first. It is important to know that the Town of Mancos is not responsible for maintaining Main Street and Grand Avenue. Those roads are managed by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). Knowing this information, are you:

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	124	61	64	18	22	39	22	18	18	27	45	25	20	40	14	26	29	44	43
	59.0	65.1	54.6	40.2	60.2	49.2	53.1	46.2	54.1	73.9	64.3	78.3	52.9	65.7	68.6	64.2	49.3	62.9	66.2
Total More Likely to Reject	54	19	35	17	7	24	11	12	8	6	15	4	11	16	4	12	22	18	9
	25.7	20.3	30.0	37.8	19.7	29.7	27.3	32.2	25.1	17.1	21.0	12.0	28.4	25.9	19.2	29.4	37.1	26.3	14.2
Makes No Difference in My	32	14	18	10	7	17	8	8	7	3	10	3	7	5	3	3	8	8	13
Opinion	15.3	14.6	15.4	21.9	20.1	21.1	19.6	21.5	20.8	8.9	14.7	9.7	18.7	8.4	12.2	6.4	13.7	10.9	19.6
Much More Likely to	80	37	43	10	15	24	13	11	14	15	30	15	14	26	8	17	17	25	32
Approve	37.7	39.3	36.8	21.6	40.5	30.1	31.6	29.3	42.6	43.2	42.9	49.1	37.8	41.8	39.4	43.0	28.3	35.6	49.6
Somewhat More Likely to	45	24	21	8	7	15	9	6	4	11	15	9	6	15	6	9	12	19	11
Approve	21.2	25.8	17.8	18.6	19.6	19.1	21.5	17.0	11.5	30.7	21.4	29.2	15.0	23.9	29.2	21.2	21.0	27.2	16.6
Much More Likely to Reject	26	6	20	8	3	11	4	8	3	3	6	1	5	9	1	7	13	6	3
	12.4	6.8	17.0	17.6	9.3	13.9	8.8	19.8	9.2	8.7	9.0	4.0	13.1	14.4	7.1	18.2	22.7	8.3	4.0
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	28	13	15	9	4	13	8	5	5	3	8	2	6	7	3	4	8	13	7
	13.3	13.5	12.9	20.2	10.4	15.8	18.5	12.4	15.9	8.4	12.0	7.9	15.4	11.5	12.2	11.2	14.4	18.0	10.3

Table 13

We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T10. If the ballot measure is approved, the project's long-term plan includes paving most of the town's roads along with sidewalks and curbs. Menefee Street, Sunset, Bauer Ave. and Monte St. would be completed first. It is important to know that the Town of Mancos is not responsible for maintaining Main Street and Grand Avenue. Those roads are managed by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). Knowing this information, are you:

				Democra	t Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	124 59.0	42 52.8	16 58.8	26 49.6	10 31.4	12 51.0	20 85.1	41 53.7	16 52.9	24 54.8	18 57.4	12 52.3	11 49.9	40 78.5	26 81.7	13 75.4	11 73.0	20 94.8	9 60.1	1 33.7
Total More Likely to Reject	54 25.7	20 24.4	4 12.7	16 30.6	12 35.1	6 23.6	2 9.9	24 32.1	10 32.3	14 31.5	8 26.5	8 34.1	8 38.4	8 15.2	5 14.8	3 16.4	3 22.5		4 30.0	1 66.3
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	32 15.3	18 22.8	8 28.5	10 19.8	11 33.5	6 25.4	1 5.0	11 14.1	5 14.9	6 13.8	5 16.2	3 13.6	3 11.7	3 6.4	1 3.4	1 8.2	1 4.5	1 5.2	1 9.9	
Much More Likely to Approve	80 37.7	30 37.4	12 41.9	18 35.0	8 23.5	8 34.7	14 60.2	24 31.2	9 28.3	15 33.4	9 28.0	9 39.9	6 26.7	25 49.9	16 48.1	10 55.0	7 50.5	12 56.3	6 39.9	1 33.7
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	45 21.2	12 15.4	5 16.9	8 14.5	3 8.0	4 16.3	6 24.9	17 22.6	8 24.6	10 21.4	9 29.4	3 12.4	5 23.2	14 28.6	11 33.6	4 20.4	3 22.5	8 38.6	3 20.1	
Much More Likely to Reject	26 12.4	12 15.2	3 9.5	10 18.2	10 29.3	1 5.4	1 5.0	9 11.9	2 7.2	7 15.3	1 4.5	4 19.1	3 15.2	4 8.6	1 4.6	3 16.4			4 30.0	1 32.7
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	28 13.3	7 9.2	1 3.2	7 12.4	2 5.8	4 18.2	1 5.0	15 20.2	8 25.1	7 16.2	7 22.0	3 15.0	5 23.2	3 6.6	3 10.3		3 22.5			1 33.7

We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T10. If the ballot measure is approved, the project's long-term plan includes paving most of the town's roads along with sidewalks and curbs. Menefee Street, Sunset, Bauer Ave. and Monte St. would be completed first. It is important to know that the Town of Mancos is not responsible for maintaining Main Street and Grand Avenue. Those roads are managed by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). Knowing this information, are you:

Town is Fiscally

		C	Condition	of Streets	S	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is nsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	124 59.0		17 36.6	47 56.3	60 81.1	69 51.8	24 56.0	55 74.6	27 79.4	53 61.3	9 57.9	58 66.9	11 79.5	75 59.0	23 62.5	28 77.7	5 64.4	118 77.9	88 83.8	5 9.7	
Total More Likely to Reject	54 25.7	5 74.2	24 52.4	18 21.7	7 9.1	40 30.0	16 37.2	11 14.5	2 6.1	24 27.9	4 24.8	20 22.5	2 14.0	32 25.0	10 27.3	5 14.3	1 19.9	12 8.0	4 3.9	40 76.4	22 94.3
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	32 15.3	2 25.8	5 11.1	18 22.0	7 9.8	24 18.2	3 6.8	8 10.9	5 14.5	9 10.8	3 17.3	9 10.6	1 6.6	20 16.0	4 10.2	3 8.0	1 15.7	21 14.1	13 12.3	7 14.0	1 5.7
Much More Likely to Approve	80 37.7		9 19.6	27 33.0	43 58.3	40 29.7	15 36.4	39 53.7	20 59.6	38 43.4	7 45.3	35 40.1	10 72.9	47 36.9	19 52.5	21 57.9	4 52.9	80 52.4	67 63.2		
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	45 21.2		8 17.0	19 23.3	17 22.8	29 22.1	8 19.7	15 21.0	7 19.8	16 17.9	2 12.6	23 26.8	1 6.6	28 22.1	4 10.1	7 19.8	1 11.5	39 25.6	22 20.5	5 9.7	
Much More Likely to Reject	26 12.4	3 46.7	12 26.9	4 5.2	6 7.9	17 13.1	8 18.8	7 10.2	2 6.1	14 16.3	3 17.6	8 8.9	1 4.5	17 13.0	5 12.4	3 7.0	1 19.9	2 1.4	2 2.0	24 45.7	17 72.5
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	28 13.3	2 27.5	12 25.5	14 16.5	1 1.2	23 17.0	8 18.3	3 4.3		10 11.5	1 7.2	12 13.6	1 9.5	15 12.0	5 14.9	3 7.3		10 6.6	2 1.9	16 30.7	5 21.8

We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T10. If the ballot measure is approved, the project's long-term plan includes paving most of the town's roads along with sidewalks and curbs. Menefee Street, Sunset, Bauer Ave. and Monte St. would be completed first. It is important to know that the Town of Mancos is not responsible for maintaining Main Street and Grand Avenue. Those roads are managed by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). Knowing this information, are you:

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Тах	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	124 59.0	115 76.0	87 82.3	7 13.4		3 28.3	119 78.5	86 89.9	3 5.2		3 24.7	98 60.3	52 63.7	12 60.4	4 66.7	36 62.7	9 60.0	21 68.9	5 100.0	47 62.1
Total More Likely to Reject	54 25.7	15 9.8	7 6.2	35 72.1	23 85.4	4 38.4	11 7.3	1 1.6	41 83.8	27 88.5	2 23.6	41 25.1	24 29.6	5 23.2	1 22.8	12 21.0	4 25.9	7 21.9		18 23.6
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	32 15.3	21 14.2	12 11.5	7 14.5	4 14.6	4 33.3	21 14.1	8 8.5	5 11.0	4 11.5	5 51.7	24 14.6	5 6.6	3 16.4	1 10.5	9 16.3	2 14.1	3 9.2		11 14.3
Much More Likely to Approve	80 37.7	80 52.7	70 66.4				79 52.1	69 72.1			4.4	61 37.4	32 38.5	10 52.0	4 66.7	25 42.9	7 42.5	11 37.0	2 36.7	32 42.1
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	45 21.2	35 23.3	17 15.9	7 13.4		3 28.3	40 26.4	17 17.8	3 5.2		2 20.3	37 23.0	21 25.2	2 8.4		11 19.8	3 17.5	10 32.0	3 63.3	15 19.9
Much More Likely to Reject	26 12.4	5 3.1	1 0.6	22 44.1	18 66.0		3 1.7		24 48.7	20 65.8		18 11.2	14 16.6	3 15.2	1 22.8	5 8.8	1 8.1	2 6.8		10 13.4
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	28 13.3	10 6.7	6 5.6	14 28.1	5 19.4	4 38.4	9 5.6	1 1.6	17 35.1	7 22.6	2 23.6	22 13.9	11 13.1	2 7.9		7 12.2	3 17.8	5 15.1		8 10.3

We will now share information on why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project including sidewalks and curbs, annual, ongoing road maintenance costs, and broadband infrastructure. After reading the information about this project, indicate if you are more likely to vote yes and approve the ballot measure or if you are more likely to vote no and reject it. Let's get started:

T10. If the ballot measure is approved, the project's long-term plan includes paving most of the town's roads along with sidewalks and curbs. Menefee Street, Sunset, Bauer Ave. and Monte St. would be completed first. It is important to know that the Town of Mancos is not responsible for maintaining Main Street and Grand Avenue. Those roads are managed by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). Knowing this information, are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	124	57	10	41	8	4	72	43	6
	59.0	58.1	37.6	65.3	86.0	28.9	76.6	69.3	13.7
Total More Likely to Reject	54 25.7	29 29.6	13 46.1	12 18.4		8 54.4	10 10.5	13 21.2	23 57.2
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	32	12	5	10	1	2	12	6	12
	15.3	12.3	16.3	16.3	14.0	16.7	12.9	9.4	29.1
Much More Likely to Approve	80	32	8	29	5	3	43	32	1
	37.7	32.9	27.3	46.3	53.8	21.3	45.9	52.1	3.1
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	45	25	3	12	3	1	29	11	4
	21.2	25.3	10.3	19.0	32.1	7.6	30.7	17.3	10.5
Much More Likely to Reject	26 12.4	14 14.4	7 26.0	7 11.0		5 31.1	4 4.3	8 12.7	10 23.8
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	28 13.3	15 15.1	6 20.1	5 7.4		3 23.3	6 6.1	5 8.5	14 33.4

T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	158	72	85	28	28	56	29	27	28	29	57	25	32	44	19	26	42	51	55
	74.7	77.4	72.7	63.8	77.7	70.0	70.0	70.2	84.0	81.0	82.5	79.6	84.8	72.2	88.9	63.5	70.7	72.4	85.5
Total More Likely to Reject	33	12	21	7	4	11	5	6	3	5	8	4	4	13	2	11	13	12	4
	15.6	12.6	17.7	16.1	12.2	14.3	13.4	14.7	9.3	14.2	11.9	12.7	11.2	21.4	11.1	26.7	21.7	17.6	5.7
Makes No Difference in My	20	9	11	9	4	13	7	6	2	2	4	2	2	4		4	5	7	6
Opinion	9.7	9.9	9.6	20.1	10.2	15.7	16.6	15.0	6.6	4.8	5.7	7.7	4.0	6.5		9.8	7.6	10.0	8.9
Much More Likely to	93	45	48	16	15	31	17	14	15	19	34	19	15	29	10	19	22	32	34
Approve	44.2	48.5	41.2	36.1	40.8	38.2	40.2	37.0	45.4	52.3	48.9	61.0	39.0	46.9	46.1	47.2	36.8	45.8	53.1
Somewhat More Likely to	64	27	37	12	13	26	12	13	13	10	23	6	17	15	9	7	20	19	21
Approve	30.5	28.9	31.5	27.8	36.9	31.8	29.7	33.2	38.7	28.7	33.5	18.6	45.7	25.3	42.7	16.3	33.9	26.6	32.3
Much More Likely to Reject	22	7	14	5	3	9	4	5	2	2	4	2	2	9	1	7	9	8	3
	10.3	7.8	12.4	11.8	9.3	10.7	8.8	13.1	5.5	6.9	6.3	7.0	5.6	14.4	7.1	18.2	14.9	11.7	4.0
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	11	5	6	2	1	3	2	1	1	3	4	2	2	4	1	3	4	4	1
	5.3	4.8	5.3	4.3	2.9	3.6	4.6	1.7	3.8	7.3	5.6	5.6	5.6	7.0	4.1	8.5	6.8	5.9	1.7

T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	158 74.7	63 78.6	23 83.4	40 76.0	24 72.6	20 85.4	19 80.2	52 68.3	22 71.7	30 66.5	20 63.3	17 74.0	15 69.5	39 77.6	25 78.3	13 75.4	11 77.5	19 89.6	9 60.1	1 66.3
Total More Likely to Reject	33 15.6	12 15.3	3 9.5	10 18.3	8 23.5	2 9.0	2 9.9	13 17.1	6 19.1	7 15.1	4 11.7	4 19.2	5 22.9	7 13.7	3 8.0	4 24.6		1 5.2	6 39.9	1 33.7
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20 9.7	5 6.1	2 7.1	3 5.6	1 3.9	1 5.6	9.9	11 14.6	3 9.2	8 18.5	8 25.0	2 6.8	2 7.6	4 8.7	4 13.7		3 22.5	1 5.2		
Much More Likely to Approve	93 44.2	35 43.2	13 47.9	21 40.7	10 31.4	9 38.4	15 65.0	33 43.5	13 43.5	20 43.9	14 42.7	11 49.4	8 38.4	24 47.7	17 52.4	7 40.8	7 44.9	13 61.6	4 30.0	1 33.7
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	64 30.5	28 35.4	10 35.5	19 35.4	14 41.2	11 47.0	4 15.2	19 24.8	9 28.2	10 22.6	6 20.5	6 24.6	7 31.1	15 30.0	8 25.9	6 34.7	5 32.6	6 28.1	4 30.0	1 32.7
Much More Likely to Reject	22 10.3	8 10.4	3 9.5	6 10.8	7 21.5		1 5.0	9 11.9	3 10.2	6 13.2	1 4.5	4 19.2	3 15.2	4 8.6	1 4.6	3 16.4			4 30.0	
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	11 5.3	4 4.9		4 7.5	1 1.9	2 9.0	1 5.0	4 5.2	3 8.9	1 1.8	2 7.2		2 7.7	3 5.0	1 3.4	1 8.2		1 5.2	1 9.9	1 33.7

T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:

		C	Condition	of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Ro Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is ensible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj	ort for Pa ect	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	158 74.7	6.9	28 61.2	64 77.5	65 87.6	96 71.7	28 67.2	61 83.0	29 85.0	62 71.2	11 67.5	69 79.0	13 92.2	102 79.9	27 74.1	27 74.0	5 73.7	140 92.2	97 91.7	15 27.6	1 4.0
Total More Likely to Reject	33 15.6	4 56.0	14 30.5	11 13.6	3 4.4	23 17.0	11 26.2	9 12.0	2 6.1	17 19.3	3 17.6	10 11.0	1 4.5	19 15.2	7 20.1	7 18.6	1 19.9	3 1.9	2 2.0	30 56.7	19 82.5
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20 9.7	3 37.1	4 8.3	7 8.9	6 7.9	15 11.2	3 6.6	4 5.0	3 8.9	8 9.5	2 14.9	9 10.0	3.3	6 4.9	2 5.9	3 7.4	6.4	9 5.9	7 6.3	8 15.8	3 13.5
Much More Likely to Approve	93 44.2		14 30.8	33 39.9	46 62.3	52 38.6	18 43.7	41 55.6	20 60.5	39 45.5	6 35.5	43 49.2	12 82.7	57 44.3	18 50.5	22 60.9	4 52.9	93 61.1	72 68.4		
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	64 30.5	6.9	14 30.4	31 37.6	19 25.4	44 33.1	10 23.5	20 27.5	8 24.5	22 25.7	5 32.1	26 29.8	1 9.5	45 35.5	9 23.6	5 13.0	2 20.8	47 31.1	25 23.4	15 27.6	1 4.0
Much More Likely to Reject	22 10.3	3 46.7	10 21.7	5 5.8	3 4.4	15 11.4	6 14.7	5 7.1	2 6.1	11 12.4	2 13.4	6 6.5	1 4.5	13 9.9	5 12.4	3 7.9	1 19.9	3 1.9	2 2.0	19 35.6	16 67.8
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	11 5.3	1 9.3	4 8.8	6 7.8		7 5.6	5 11.5	4 4.9		6 6.9	1 4.1	4 4.4		7 5.3	3 7.6	4 10.8				11 21.0	3 14.7

T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:

		Uninformed Opinion 0.3% Sales Tax for Roads and Broadband Tot Def Tot Def					Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	158 74.7	141 93.3	100 94.9	10 21.0	1 4.1	7 59.5	145 95.6	93 98.1	8 16.3	3 8.8	5 43.1	122 75.5	61 73.7	15 75.8	4 59.4	45 77.3	11 71.1	23 74.2	4 82.0	57 75.2
Total More Likely to Reject	33 15.6	5 3.1	2 2.1	28 56.8	21 77.8	3.5	1 0.6		31 63.3	24 76.6	1 10.9	25 15.7	16 19.6	3 15.2	1 22.8	10 17.2	2 15.9	3 9.3	1 18.0	14 18.0
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20 9.7	5 3.6	3 3.0	11 22.2	5 18.1	4 37.0	6 3.8	2 1.9	10 20.4	4 14.6	5 46.0	14 8.8	6 6.7	9.0	1 17.8	3 5.5	2 13.0	5 16.5		5 6.9
Much More Likely to Approve	93 44.2	93 61.8	82 77.3				93 61.5	80 83.6				73 45.3	39 47.0	10 49.6	4 59.4	28 47.5	6 39.5	13 40.5	3 57.7	38 50.0
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	64 30.5	47 31.4	19 17.5	10 21.0	1 4.1	7 59.5	52 34.2	14 14.6	8 16.3	3 8.8	5 43.1	49 30.2	22 26.7	5 26.1		17 29.8	5 31.7	10 33.7	1 24.4	19 25.2
Much More Likely to Reject	22 10.3	5 3.1	2 2.1	17 34.9	16 59.9		1 0.6		21 42.8	19 60.8		15 9.2	11 12.9	2 12.0	1 22.8	4 7.5	2 13.4	1 3.0	1 18.0	10 13.4
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	11 5.3			11 21.9	5 17.8	3.5			10 20.5	5 15.9	1 10.9	10 6.4	5 6.7	1 3.3		6 9.7	2.5	2 6.3		3 4.6

T11. The Town of Mancos has not increased the local sales or property tax since the 1990's. Since then, there has been an increased need for street and road maintenance. Knowing this information, are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	158	70	18	52	8	4	85	53	16
	74.7	70.7	64.9	82.6	91.0	25.9	90.7	85.4	39.5
Total More Likely to Reject	33 15.6	18 18.4	4 16.1	9 14.3		10 66.5	2 2.6	6 9.1	15 36.8
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20	11	5	2	1	1	6	3	10
	9.7	10.9	19.1	3.1	9.0	7.6	6.7	5.4	23.7
Much More Likely to Approve	93	41	11	31	5	3	53	33	4
	44.2	41.5	39.9	49.3	53.8	21.3	57.0	54.0	8.5
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	64	29	7	21	3	1	32	19	13
	30.5	29.3	25.0	33.3	37.2	4.6	33.7	31.4	30.9
Much More Likely to Reject	22 10.3	11 11.0	4 13.7	6 10.2		5 35.5	2 2.6	4 6.8	10 24.2
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	11 5.3	7 7.4	1 2.3	3 4.1		4 30.9		1 2.3	5 12.6

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	143	63	79	30	25	55	27	28	24	22	46	20	26	41	16	25	35	47	53
	67.6	67.6	67.7	67.8	70.8	69.2	65.6	73.2	71.5	60.7	65.9	64.0	67.5	67.5	76.7	62.8	58.6	67.5	82.4
Total More Likely to Reject	44	19	25	10	5	14	6	8	6	9	15	9	6	15	3	12	17	15	6
	21.0	20.3	21.8	21.9	13.3	18.0	15.7	21.0	17.0	26.4	21.8	29.8	15.3	24.1	15.2	28.7	29.5	22.0	9.9
Makes No Difference in My	24	11	12	5	6	10	8	2	4	5	9	2	7	5	2	3	7	7	5
Opinion	11.3	12.1	10.5	10.3	15.9	12.8	18.7	5.8	11.5	12.9	12.2	6.2	17.2	8.4	8.1	8.5	11.9	10.5	7.7
Much More Likely to	87	41	47	20	13	33	17	16	16	12	28	14	14	26	10	17	20	28	34
Approve	41.5	43.7	40.0	46.2	35.3	41.3	41.9	41.9	46.4	34.8	40.4	44.6	37.0	42.8	46.1	41.1	34.4	40.5	53.3
Somewhat More Likely to	55	22	32	10	13	22	10	12	9	9	18	6	12	15	6	9	14	19	19
Approve	26.2	23.8	27.7	21.6	35.6	27.9	23.8	31.3	25.2	25.8	25.5	19.4	30.6	24.7	30.6	21.7	24.2	27.0	29.0
Much More Likely to Reject	24 11.4	9 9.5	15 13.0	5 11.8	4 10.6	9 11.3	4 8.8	5 14.3	3 8.2	5 13.8	8 11.1	5 16.9	2 6.4	7 12.0		7 18.2	10 16.5	7 10.0	4 5.7
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	20	10	10	4	1	5	3	3	3	5	7	4	3	7	3	4	8	8	3
	9.6	10.8	8.8	10.1	2.6	6.8	6.9	6.8	8.7	12.6	10.7	12.9	8.9	12.1	15.2	10.5	13.0	12.0	4.3

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	143 67.6	53 66.2	19 68.3	34 65.1	18 54.9	15 63.6	20 85.1	50 66.0	17 56.3	33 73.3	23 71.9	15 65.8	13 57.7	37 72.1	25 77.5	11 61.1	14 94.4	15 71.7	7 50.1	1 33.7
Total More Likely to Reject	44 21.0	15 18.8	4 12.7	12 22.0	10 29.3	3 12.7	2 9.9	17 22.7	8 27.0	9 20.0	5 14.9	6 26.1	7 30.6	11 21.3	6 19.9	4 24.6		5 23.3	6 39.9	1 66.3
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24 11.3	12 15.0	5 19.0	7 12.8	5 15.8	6 23.6	1 5.0	9 11.2	5 16.7	3 6.7	4 13.1	2 8.2	3 11.6	3 6.6	1 2.6	3 14.3	1 5.6	1 5.0	1 9.9	
Much More Likely to Approve	87 41.5	36 45.1	14 51.9	22 41.5	12 37.4	9 36.5	15 65.0	30 39.2	12 38.9	18 39.7	15 47.1	9 39.8	6 27.0	20 39.5	13 39.6	7 40.8	6 39.3	10 46.0	4 30.0	1 33.7
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	55 26.2	17 21.1	5 16.4	12 23.6	6 17.5	6 27.2	5 20.1	20 26.9	5 17.4	15 33.6	8 24.9	6 25.9	7 30.8	17 32.6	12 37.9	4 20.4	8 55.1	5 25.6	3 20.1	
Much More Likely to Reject	24 11.4	9 11.5	4 12.7	6 10.8	7 21.5	1 3.7	1 5.0	10 12.9	3 10.2	7 14.9	2 5.9	5 20.6	3 15.2	5 10.1	2 6.8	3 16.4		2 10.4	3 19.8	
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	20 9.6	6 7.3		6 11.2	3 7.7	2 9.0	1 5.0	7 9.8	5 16.7	2 5.1	3 9.0	1 5.5	3 15.4	6 11.2	4 13.1	1 8.2		3 13.0	3 20.1	1 66.3

		Condition of Streets				Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj	ort for Pa ect	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	143 67.6	6.9	23 50.4	58 70.6	61 81.8	85 63.6	25 59.3	55 74.8	27 81.5	51 59.0	10 61.2	73 83.3	13 95.5	89 69.2	27 73.5	24 65.1	5 67.3	129 84.7	96 91.3	11 20.4	
Total More Likely to Reject	44 21.0	3 46.7	19 42.0	14 17.0	7 10.0	30 22.2	14 33.0	13 18.4	3 7.5	27 31.6	3 20.5	11 12.4	1 4.5	27 20.7	7 19.5	9 23.6	1 19.9	10 6.4	2 1.6	35 65.7	20 87.3
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24 11.3	3 46.5	3 7.5	10 12.5	6 8.2	19 14.2	3 7.7	5 6.8	4 10.9	8 9.4	3 18.3	4 4.3		13 10.0	3 6.9	4 11.2	1 12.8	13 8.9	7 7.1	7 13.9	3 12.7
Much More Likely to Approve	87 41.5	6.9	9 19.6	33 40.0	45 60.5	48 35.8	17 40.5	39 53.2	22 64.7	34 38.8	6 40.2	40 46.0	10 74.7	55 43.1	18 48.5	17 47.8	3 46.5	87 57.2	68 64.6	0.9	
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	55 26.2		14 30.8	25 30.6	16 21.3	37 27.8	8 18.8	16 21.6	6 16.9	18 20.2	3 21.0	33 37.3	3 20.8	33 26.1	9 25.1	6 17.3	2 20.8	42 27.5	28 26.8	10 19.5	
Much More Likely to Reject	24 11.4	3 46.7	13 28.0	4 5.4	3 4.2	18 13.2	8 19.5	5 7.1	2 6.1	16 18.5	3 20.5	5 5.8	1 4.5	12 9.5	2 6.1	5 13.3	1 19.9	4 2.9	0.4	20 37.4	16 69.0
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	20 9.6		6 14.0	10 11.6	4 5.8	12 9.0	6 13.5	8 11.3	1.4	11 13.1		6 6.6		14 11.3	5 13.5	4 10.3		5 3.5	1 1.2	15 28.3	4 18.3

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Тах	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	143 67.6	127 83.9	96 90.5	8 16.2	1 4.1	8 72.9	129 84.8	91 95.1	9 17.6	1 3.7	5 50.7	111 68.5	56 68.1	12 58.8	3 46.2	38 66.2	8 54.2	21 66.6	4 82.0	56 73.0
Total More Likely to Reject	44 21.0	11 7.3	3 3.0	33 68.3	23 82.5		10 6.4	0.5	33 68.0	26 85.9	2 15.3	34 21.1	19 23.0	5 26.0	2 30.1	14 23.7	4 27.0	5 17.0	1 18.0	16 20.8
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24 11.3	13 8.8	7 6.5	8 15.4	4 13.4	3 27.1	13 8.8	4 4.4	7 14.3	3 10.4	4 34.0	17 10.4	7 8.9	3 15.2	1 23.6	6 10.1	3 18.8	5 16.3		5 6.2
Much More Likely to Approve	87 41.5	87 57.9	78 73.5				86 56.6	75 78.4	1 3.1			68 42.1	34 40.9	7 36.9	3 46.2	28 47.8	6 41.5	9 29.2	1 15.8	37 48.6
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	55 26.2	39 26.0	18 17.0	8 16.2	1 4.1	8 72.9	43 28.2	16 16.7	7 14.6	1 3.7	5 50.7	43 26.3	22 27.2	4 21.9		11 18.3	2 12.8	12 37.4	3 66.3	19 24.3
Much More Likely to Reject	24 11.4	4 2.8	1 1.2	20 40.7	18 67.0		3 1.9		21 42.7	21 67.3	4.4	16 10.0	9 11.2	3 17.6	2 30.1	6 9.9	2 11.1	2 6.6	1 18.0	10 13.2
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	20 9.6	7 4.5	2 1.8	14 27.7	4 15.5		7 4.5	0.5	12 25.4	6 18.6	1 10.9	18 11.1	10 11.8	2 8.4		8 13.9	2 15.9	3 10.4		6 7.6

T12. Some Mancos residents want to have more street-related events in and around town. If more streets were paved, organizing and hosting these events would be much easier. Knowing this information, are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	143	63	18	44	7	4	79	46	14
	67.6	64.1	64.6	70.3	76.9	25.9	84.6	73.5	34.9
Total More Likely to Reject	44 21.0	28 27.9	7 26.0	10 15.3		10 69.6	8 9.1	9 14.8	17 40.6
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24	8	3	9	2	1	6	7	10
	11.3	8.0	9.4	14.4	23.1	4.4	6.4	11.7	24.5
Much More Likely to Approve	87	40	8	28	4	3	56	27	1
	41.5	40.1	30.6	44.9	43.5	21.3	60.4	43.5	2.2
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	55	24	9	16	3	1	23	19	13
	26.2	24.1	34.0	25.5	33.5	4.6	24.2	30.0	32.6
Much More Likely to Reject	24 11.4	14 14.4	4 13.7	5 8.0		6 38.7	2 2.0	6 9.1	11 26.9
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	20 9.6	13 13.5	3 12.3	5 7.4		4 30.9	7 7.1	4 5.7	6 13.7

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	125	59	66	15	25	40	22	19	23	24	47	24	23	38	13	24	29	42	46
	59.4	63.2	56.8	34.5	69.5	50.2	52.6	49.0	68.3	67.9	68.1	77.6	60.3	61.4	62.5	60.8	48.3	60.0	70.9
Total More Likely to Reject	65	27	37	19	9	28	14	13	8	10	18	6	11	20	7	13	25	24	11
	31.0	29.3	32.1	42.7	24.2	34.4	34.0	34.1	22.5	28.6	25.6	20.4	29.9	32.6	33.4	32.2	42.1	33.5	16.4
Makes No Difference in My	20	7	13	10	2	12	5	6	3	1	4	1	4	4	1	3	6	5	8
Opinion	9.6	7.5	11.1	22.8	6.3	15.4	13.4	17.0	9.2	3.5	6.3	2.0	9.8	6.0	4.1	7.0	9.6	6.5	12.7
Much More Likely to	74	36	38	6	15	20	11	9	14	17	31	16	14	23	8	15	19	23	28
Approve	35.0	38.2	32.7	12.6	40.5	25.1	27.0	23.7	42.6	46.2	44.5	52.5	37.8	37.1	38.8	36.2	32.9	33.0	44.0
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	51	23	28	10	10	20	11	10	9	8	16	8	9	15	5	10	9	19	17
	24.4	25.0	24.1	21.9	29.0	25.1	25.6	25.2	25.6	21.8	23.6	25.1	22.5	24.3	23.7	24.7	15.4	27.0	26.9
Much More Likely to Reject	31	10	21	8	4	12	4	8	4	6	10	4	6	9	1	7	13	10	4
	14.6	10.4	18.2	17.6	12.5	15.3	10.4	21.0	12.0	16.0	14.1	12.7	15.3	14.4	7.1	18.2	22.4	14.5	5.7
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	34	18	16	11	4	15	10	5	4	4	8	2	6	11	6	6	12	13	7
	16.4	18.9	13.9	25.1	11.7	19.1	23.6	13.1	10.4	12.5	11.5	7.7	14.6	18.2	26.3	14.0	19.7	19.0	10.7

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211	80	28	52	33	24	23	76	31	45	32	23	22	51	32	18	15	21	15	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	125	50	20	30	15	16	19	41	19	22	17	13	11	33	19	14	7	18	7	1
	59.4	61.9	71.5	56.8	45.2	67.4	80.2	53.8	59.9	50.1	54.5	56.4	50.1	64.6	58.9	77.5	50.6	84.6	49.6	33.7
Total More Likely to Reject	65	25	5	20	16	6	3	20	8	12	5	7	8	18	13	4	7	3	7	1
	31.0	31.8	19.0	38.6	46.8	27.2	14.9	26.1	26.1	26.3	14.9	30.0	38.4	35.4	41.1	22.5	49.4	15.4	50.4	66.3
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20 9.6	5 6.3	3 9.5	2 4.7	3 8.0	1 5.4	1 5.0	15 20.1	4 14.0	11 23.6	10 30.6	3 13.6	2 11.5							
Much More Likely to	74	27	10	17	5	8	14	26	13	13	11	10	6	20	12	8	4	13	3	
Approve	35.0	34.2	37.6	32.3	15.7	34.7	60.1	34.6	43.2	28.9	33.9	42.6	27.1	39.7	36.7	46.8	28.1	61.4	19.8	
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	51	22	9	13	10	8	5	15	5	9	7	3	5	13	7	5	3	5	4	1
	24.4	27.7	33.9	24.5	29.5	32.7	20.1	19.2	16.7	21.1	20.6	13.7	23.0	24.9	22.2	30.7	22.5	23.2	29.7	33.7
Much More Likely to	31	14	3	10	10	2	1	10	3	7	2	5	3	5	3	3		1	4	1
Reject	14.6	17.1	11.9	19.8	31.3	9.0	5.0	13.7	10.2	16.3	5.9	23.3	15.2	10.8	8.0	16.4		5.2	30.0	66.3
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	34 16.4	12 14.7	2 7.1	10 18.7	5 15.5	4 18.2	2 9.9	9 12.4	5 15.9	4 10.0	3 9.0	2 6.8	5 23.2	12 24.6	11 33.1	1 6.1	7 49.4	2 10.2	3 20.4	

		C	Condition	of Streets	S	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for		Town is ensible~S Wis	Spends M	oney	Gene	eric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	125 59.4	6.9	16 34.6	47 56.9	62 83.3	69 51.7	18 43.4	55 75.3	29 87.3	49 56.6	9 59.1	59 67.2	9 64.4	81 63.0	21 57.2	24 66.4	4 53.0	119 78.0	90 85.1	5 10.3	
Total More Likely to Reject	65 31.0	5 65.6	25 54.3	30 36.4	5 7.3	51 37.9	20 48.3	13 18.3	2 6.1	31 35.7	4 26.0	22 24.8	4 29.1	38 29.6	15 40.5	9 24.0	2 29.2	22 14.4	9 8.4	43 82.3	23 97.9
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20 9.6	2 27.5	5 11.0	6 6.8	7 9.4	14 10.3	4 8.3	5 6.4	2 6.6	7 7.7	2 14.9	7 8.1	1 6.6	9 7.4	1 2.3	4 9.7	1 17.8	12 7.6	7 6.5	4 7.4	2.1
Much More Likely to Approve	74 35.0		9 19.6	24 29.3	41 54.6	39 29.2	13 30.3	34 45.9	22 65.7	33 37.8	7 42.5	35 40.2	9 61.1	45 35.3	16 43.9	15 42.4	3 41.5	74 48.5	62 58.8		
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	51 24.4	6.9	7 15.0	23 27.5	21 28.7	30 22.5	6 13.1	21 29.3	7 21.7	16 18.8	3 16.5	23 26.9	3.3	35 27.7	5 13.3	9 23.9	1 11.5	45 29.4	28 26.3	5 10.3	
Much More Likely to Reject	31 14.6	4 56.3	14 31.6	9 11.4	3 3.6	26 19.6	12 28.1	4 5.8	2 6.1	16 18.4	2 13.4	8 9.3	1 4.5	21 16.5	10 26.8	3 7.1	1 19.9	5 3.0	1 1.4	26 49.8	19 84.7
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	34 16.4	1 9.3	10 22.8	21 25.0	3 3.7	25 18.4	9 20.2	9 12.5		15 17.3	2 12.6	13 15.5	3 24.6	17 13.2	5 13.7	6 16.9	1 9.3	17 11.4	7 7.0	17 32.5	3 13.3

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	125 59.4	120 79.7	87 82.0	2 4.6		3 23.8	122 80.2	87 91.6	1 3.0		2 18.6	97 60.1	45 54.7	13 66.0	4 59.4	38 65.0	10 67.0	22 69.7	5 100.0	44 57.7
Total More Likely to Reject	65 31.0	18 11.9	11 10.4	42 85.2	25 91.4	6 51.9	18 11.7	4 4.1	44 90.4	29 93.8	4 35.0	51 31.3	29 35.4	6 31.7	2 33.3	17 29.7	2 13.4	7 21.6		28 36.5
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20 9.6	13 8.4	8 7.7	5 10.1	2 8.6	3 24.3	12 8.1	4 4.3	3 6.6	2 6.2	5 46.3	14 8.6	8 9.9	2.3	7.3	3 5.3	3 19.6	3 8.8		4 5.8
Much More Likely to Approve	74 35.0	74 48.9	65 62.0				74 48.6	69 72.1				56 34.5	29 35.4	9 45.5	4 59.4	22 37.6	6 35.6	14 46.0	3 57.7	26 34.0
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	51 24.4	47 30.8	21 20.0	2 4.6		3 23.8	48 31.6	19 19.5	1 3.0		2 18.6	41 25.6	16 19.3	4 20.5		16 27.4	5 31.5	7 23.7	2 42.3	18 23.7
Much More Likely to Reject	31 14.6	3 1.9	1 1.4	25 51.0	21 75.0	3 27.3	1 0.4		27 56.1	24 76.5	3 28.8	20 12.2	14 17.4	6 28.4	2 33.3	9 16.3	2 13.4	4 12.5		11 14.3
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	34 16.4	15 10.0	10 9.0	17 34.2	4 16.4	3 24.6	17 11.3	4 4.1	17 34.3	5 17.3	1 6.3	31 19.1	15 18.0	1 3.3		8 13.5		3 9.1		17 22.2

T13. The cost of paving one street block with sidewalks, curbs, and gutters is estimated at \$125,000. Knowing this information, are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	125 59.4	57 57.2	15 53.3	44 69.5	7 76.9	3 21.3	75 80.6	43 68.9	4 9.9
Total More Likely to Reject	65 31.0	35 35.4	12 45.0	14 22.9		11 78.7	13 13.7	17 27.0	24 59.6
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	20 9.6	7 7.4	1.7	5 7.6	2 23.1		5 5.7	3 4.1	12 30.5
Much More Likely to Approve	74 35.0	27 27.2	9 33.4	31 48.8	6 62.9	3 21.3	41 44.1	29 46.2	1 2.0
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	51 24.4	30 30.0	5 19.8	13 20.7	1 14.0		34 36.5	14 22.7	3 7.9
Much More Likely to Reject	31 14.6	17 17.2	8 28.4	8 12.4		8 53.4	2 2.3	10 16.9	11 25.7
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	34 16.4	18 18.2	5 16.6	7 10.6		4 25.3	11 11.4	6 10.1	14 33.9

T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project. That is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	r							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	137	64	73	18	25	43	23	20	25	26	51	24	27	43	17	26	30	47	49
	65.1	68.4	63.0	40.2	70.9	53.9	55.9	53.3	73.6	72.1	72.8	76.6	69.8	70.9	80.8	65.7	51.4	66.7	76.5
Total More Likely to Reject	50	19	31	14	6	20	9	11	6	9	15	6	9	16	4	11	25	17	6
	23.8	20.4	26.7	32.0	15.6	24.7	22.0	28.3	18.7	23.8	21.3	19.2	23.0	25.4	19.2	28.6	42.2	23.7	8.7
Makes No Difference in My	24	10	12	12	5	17	9	7	3	1	4	1	3	2		2	4	7	10
Opinion	11.2	11.2	10.3	27.8	13.5	21.4	22.2	18.4	7.7	4.1	5.8	4.2	7.2	3.8		5.7	6.4	9.6	14.9
Much More Likely to	84	38	45	13	13	26	13	13	14	14	29	15	13	29	10	19	20	25	35
Approve	39.6	41.2	38.6	28.6	36.4	32.1	31.4	33.6	42.6	40.1	41.3	49.0	35.0	47.4	48.8	46.7	33.5	35.8	53.7
Somewhat More Likely to	54	25	28	5	12	18	10	7	10	11	22	9	13	14	7	8	11	22	15
Approve	25.5	27.2	24.4	11.7	34.5	21.9	24.5	19.7	31.0	32.0	31.5	27.6	34.7	23.5	32.0	19.0	17.9	30.9	22.8
Much More Likely to Reject	25 11.8	7 7.6	18 15.2	5 11.8	4 10.6	9 11.3	4 9.9	5 13.1	3 9.2	5 14.3	8 11.9	3 9.7	5 13.7	8 12.3		8 18.7	13 21.7	8 10.7	2 3.4
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	25	12	13	9	2	11	5	6	3	3	7	3	4	8	4	4	12	9	3
	12.0	12.8	11.4	20.2	5.0	13.4	12.0	15.2	9.4	9.5	9.4	9.5	9.4	13.1	19.2	9.8	20.5	13.0	5.2

T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project. That is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	137 65.1	49 61.1	18 64.3	31 59.4	12 37.3	17 71.0	20 85.1	47 62.3	19 61.6	28 63.2	20 61.8	15 67.1	13 57.8	39 77.0	25 78.3	14 77.5	11 73.0	18 84.6	10 70.0	1 33.7
Total More Likely to Reject	50 23.8	18 22.7	3 11.9	15 28.4	14 40.9	3 14.4	1 5.0	22 29.1	11 36.9	11 24.0	6 19.5	7 30.2	9 42.2	8 15.1	4 11.4	4 22.5		3 15.4	4 30.0	1 66.3
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24 11.2	13 16.2	7 23.8	6 12.2	7 21.8	3 14.6	2 9.9	7 8.6	1.5	6 12.7	6 18.7	1 2.7		4 7.9	3 10.3		4 27.0			
Much More Likely to Approve	84 39.6	34 42.8	14 51.4	20 38.2	10 29.5	8 34.7	16 70.1	30 39.2	13 43.2	16 36.7	13 39.7	10 42.6	8 34.7	19 36.7	10 30.7	9 49.0	3 22.5	11 51.2	4 29.7	
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	54 25.5	15 18.3	4 13.0	11 21.2	3 7.8	9 36.3	3 15.0	18 23.1	6 18.5	12 26.5	7 22.0	6 24.5	5 23.2	20 40.4	15 47.6	5 28.6	7 50.5	7 33.4	6 40.2	1 33.7
Much More Likely to Reject	25 11.8	10 13.0	3 9.5	8 14.9	7 21.5	2 9.0	1 5.0	11 13.9	3 8.7	8 17.6	2 6.0	4 16.4	5 22.8	3 5.0	1 3.4	1 8.2		1 5.2	1 9.9	1 66.3
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	25 12.0	8 9.7	1 2.4	7 13.5	6 19.4	1 5.4		12 15.2	9 28.2	3 6.4	4 13.5	3 13.8	4 19.4	5 10.1	3 8.0	3 14.3		2 10.2	3 20.1	

T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project. That is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:

		C	Condition	of Streets	8	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	loney	Gene	eric Suppo Proj	ort for Par ect	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	137 65.1	6.9	19 42.4	54 65.9	63 84.7	80 59.5	23 53.7	57 77.5	28 84.6	52 59.9	10 65.0	66 75.3	13 89.3	84 65.8	24 67.1	27 75.2	5 64.4	132 86.6	96 91.0	4 7.5	
Total More Likely to Reject	50 23.8	6 93.1	21 45.0	14 17.5	7 9.9	37 28.0	18 43.3	11 15.6	2 6.1	29 33.6	3 20.6	13 14.7	1 10.7	34 26.5	11 31.6	6 15.6	1 19.9	8 5.3	2 2.0	41 78.3	22 95.0
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24 11.2		6 12.6	14 16.6	4 5.4	17 12.4	1 3.0	5 6.9	3 9.3	6 6.5	2 14.4	9 10.0		10 7.8	1.3	9.3	1 15.7	12 8.2	7 7.0	8 14.2	1 5.0
Much More Likely to Approve	84 39.6	6.9	10 21.7	28 33.8	45 60.7	48 36.2	17 39.5	35 48.1	21 61.0	31 35.6	5 30.2	43 49.7	11 76.2	54 42.0	19 53.8	15 41.5	3 46.3	84 54.9	68 64.0		
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	54 25.5		9 20.7	27 32.1	18 23.9	31 23.4	6 14.2	22 29.4	8 23.6	21 24.3	5 34.8	22 25.7	2 13.1	30 23.8	5 13.4	12 33.7	1 18.1	48 31.6	28 26.9	4 7.5	
Much More Likely to Reject	25 11.8	3 46.7	13 28.9	5 6.3	3 3.6	19 14.4	10 23.9	4 5.8	2 6.1	12 14.3	3 16.5	7 8.2	1 4.5	14 10.9	5 13.0	3 7.1	1 19.9	2 1.3		23 43.4	18 77.6
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	25 12.0	3 46.5	7 16.2	9 11.1	5 6.3	18 13.6	8 19.3	7 9.7		17 19.3	1 4.1	6 6.4	1 6.2	20 15.5	7 18.6	3 8.5		6 4.0	2 2.0	18 34.8	4 17.4

T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project. That is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	137 65.1	128 84.5	95 89.8	5 9.4		5 46.7	132 87.2	91 95.8	3 6.0		2 18.7	106 65.5	51 62.1	12 62.7	4 59.4	42 72.4	11 68.8	20 65.1	4 82.0	51 66.7
Total More Likely to Reject	50 23.8	11 7.0	4 3.5	36 74.5	26 94.2	3 27.4	8 5.0		39 80.7	30 96.3	3 32.2	39 24.0	26 31.5	6 31.7	2 33.3	13 22.9	2 13.4	10 33.4	1 18.0	14 18.7
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24 11.2	13 8.5	7 6.7	8 16.0	2 5.8	3 25.8	12 7.8	4 4.2	6 13.3	1 3.7	5 49.1	17 10.5	5 6.5	1 5.6	7.3	3 4.8	3 17.8	1.5		11 14.7
Much More Likely to Approve	84 39.6	84 55.3	75 71.2				84 55.0	79 82.8				66 40.6	33 40.1	8 42.2	3 49.2	24 42.1	6 35.6	12 39.1	2 36.7	33 43.5
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	54 25.5	44 29.1	20 18.6	5 9.4		5 46.7	49 32.2	12 13.0	3 6.0		2 18.7	40 24.9	18 22.0	4 20.5	1 10.2	18 30.3	5 33.2	8 26.0	2 45.3	18 23.1
Much More Likely to Reject	25 11.8	2 1.1	2 1.6	23 46.5	19 70.3	4.3			25 51.2	23 75.0		17 10.8	11 13.5	2 12.0	1 22.8	5 9.1	2 13.4	4 12.5		9 11.8
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	25 12.0	9 6.0	2 2.0	14 28.1	7 23.9	3 23.2	8 5.0		14 29.5	7 21.3	3 32.2	21 13.2	15 18.0	4 19.7	1 10.5	8 13.7		6 20.9	1 18.0	5 6.9

T14. The Town of Mancos understands that residents do not want to raise their local sales tax. However, unfortunately, there is not enough money in the general fund to pay for the local street and road paving project. That is why the town must consider a sales tax ballot measure. Knowing this information, are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	137	63	12	44	7	3	79	48	8
	65.1	63.3	44.0	70.6	76.9	21.3	84.0	76.7	19.8
Total More Likely to Reject	50	29	12	12	1	11	8	14	18
	23.8	29.3	41.7	19.0	9.0	74.1	8.4	22.3	43.3
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	24	7	4	7	1	1	7	1	15
	11.2	7.4	14.3	10.5	14.0	4.6	7.6	1.0	36.9
Much More Likely to Approve	84	37	9	28	5	3	51	27	2
	39.6	37.7	33.6	44.0	53.8	21.3	54.6	43.9	5.1
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	54 25.5	25 25.6	3 10.4	17 26.5	2 23.1		27 29.4	20 32.8	6 14.7
Much More Likely to Reject	25 11.8	13 12.8	5 18.4	6 10.1		8 53.4		6 9.4	11 27.5
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	25	16	6	6	1	3	8	8	6
	12.0	16.5	23.3	8.9	9.0	20.7	8.4	12.8	15.8

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 18

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	141	67	74	20	25	45	25	20	27	26	54	25	29	42	17	25	37	45	49
	66.6	71.9	63.1	45.4	68.8	55.9	60.8	52.1	81.4	73.8	77.5	80.4	75.1	68.4	80.8	62.0	63.2	63.7	75.6
Total More Likely to Reject	43	16	26	13	6	19	8	11	4	7	11	6	5	12	2	10	16	14	8
	20.2	17.4	22.6	30.3	16.5	24.2	20.5	28.8	11.0	20.3	15.8	17.6	14.3	20.0	11.1	24.7	26.9	20.3	12.6
Makes No Difference in My	28	10	17	11	5	16	8	7	3	2	5	1	4	7	2	5	6	11	8
Opinion	13.2	10.7	14.3	24.3	14.6	20.0	18.7	19.1	7.6	5.9	6.7	2.0	10.5	11.6	8.1	13.4	9.9	16.0	11.9
Much More Likely to	77	33	45	15	15	30	16	14	16	13	29	10	18	18	6	12	19	25	32
Approve	36.6	34.9	38.3	33.6	43.2	37.9	39.6	37.1	48.0	35.2	41.4	33.2	48.2	29.5	28.3	30.2	31.3	36.3	49.1
Somewhat More Likely to	63	35	29	5	9	14	9	6	11	14	25	15	10	24	11	13	19	19	17
Approve	30.0	37.0	24.7	11.8	25.7	18.0	21.3	15.0	33.5	38.6	36.1	47.2	27.0	38.9	52.4	31.8	31.9	27.4	26.5
Much More Likely to Reject	33	12	20	12	4	16	8	8	3	4	7	3	4	10	1	8	13	11	6
	15.5	13.3	17.4	26.2	11.1	19.4	18.5	21.0	8.2	12.5	10.4	10.6	10.3	15.9	7.1	20.6	21.4	15.4	9.6
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	10	4	6	2	2	4	1	3	1	3	4	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	2
	4.7	4.2	5.3	4.2	5.4	4.7	2.0	7.7	2.7	7.9	5.4	7.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	5.4	4.8	3.0

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211	80	28	52	33	24	23	76	31	45	32	23	22	51	32	18	15	21	15	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	141	58	24	34	20	19	19	44	18	26	15	15	14	34	23	12	9	18	7	1
	66.6	72.7	85.7	65.8	60.9	82.0	80.2	58.3	59.6	58.0	47.1	67.2	65.4	68.0	69.8	67.2	61.8	84.5	50.1	67.3
Total More Likely to Reject	43	14	3	11	11	1	2	18	7	11	8	6	4	10	6	4	1	3	6	1
	20.2	17.7	11.9	20.8	33.2	3.6	9.9	23.5	23.7	23.5	23.7	27.3	19.1	19.7	17.4	24.6	5.6	15.5	39.9	32.7
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	28 13.2	8 9.6	1 2.4	7 13.4	2 5.9	3 14.4	2 9.9	14 18.2	5 16.7	8 18.5	9 29.2	1 5.5	3 15.4	6 12.4	4 12.8	1 8.2	5 32.6		1 9.9	
Much More Likely to	77	33	13	19	12	11	9	26	7	19	12	10	5	17	11	6	6	8	3	
Approve	36.6	40.6	47.6	36.8	37.4	45.5	40.1	34.9	23.5	43.0	36.8	43.7	22.8	33.4	34.5	32.6	39.3	38.3	20.1	
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	63	26	11	15	8	9	9	18	11	7	3	5	9	18	11	6	3	10	4	1
	30.0	32.2	38.1	29.0	23.6	36.5	40.1	23.5	36.1	14.9	10.3	23.5	42.6	34.6	35.3	34.7	22.5	46.2	30.0	67.3
Much More Likely to	33	14	3	10	10	1	2	12	6	5	5	5	2	7	3	4		1	6	1
Reject	15.5	16.9	11.9	19.6	31.3	3.6	9.9	15.1	21.0	11.2	16.4	20.6	7.6	13.7	8.0	24.6		5.2	39.9	32.7
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	10 4.7	1 0.8		1 1.2	1 1.9			6 8.3	1 2.7	5 12.3	2 7.3	2 6.8	2 11.5	3 6.0	3 9.4		1 5.6	2 10.4		

		C	Condition	of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	141 66.6	6.9	28 62.0	56 67.9	55 74.2	83 62.3	25 59.8	56 77.0	28 84.7	55 63.7	10 66.8	62 70.7	13 92.2	86 67.5	22 60.9	25 69.3	5 64.4	126 82.7	87 82.0	14 25.9	2 8.9
Total More Likely to Reject	43 20.2	6 83.5	12 26.8	16 19.3	9 11.6	32 23.7	12 29.5	10 13.2	3 9.5	26 29.7	3 20.5	11 12.4	1 7.8	31 24.0	13 36.9	6 15.4	2 26.3	10 6.9	8 7.4	32 60.0	19 81.1
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	28 13.2	1 9.6	5 11.2	11 12.8	11 14.2	19 14.0	5 10.7	7 9.9	2 5.9	6 6.6	2 12.7	15 17.0		11 8.5	1 2.3	6 15.3	1 9.3	16 10.5	11 10.6	7 14.1	2 9.9
Much More Likely to Approve	77 36.6	6.9	14 29.8	30 36.0	33 44.9	42 31.2	17 39.4	35 47.8	21 61.3	29 33.2	6 37.6	36 41.7	11 78.0	44 34.5	12 34.3	19 52.6	4 52.9	74 48.9	53 49.8	3 5.6	
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	63 30.0		15 32.3	26 31.8	22 29.3	42 31.1	9 20.3	21 29.2	8 23.4	26 30.5	5 29.2	25 28.9	2 14.2	42 33.0	10 26.6	6 16.7	1 11.5	51 33.8	34 32.2	11 20.3	2 8.9
Much More Likely to Reject	33 15.5	5 74.2	10 22.2	12 14.6	5 7.1	25 18.5	8 20.1	7 9.0	3 9.5	20 22.8	3 16.4	8 8.7	1 7.8	23 17.8	9 24.9	4 12.3	2 26.3	5 3.4	4 3.4	27 51.1	17 71.9
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	10 4.7	1 9.3	2 4.6	4 4.7	3 4.5	7 5.2	4 9.4	3 4.1		6 6.9	1 4.1	3 3.7		8 6.2	4 12.0	1 3.0		5 3.5	4 4.0	5 8.9	2 9.2

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broa	6 Sales Ta dband	ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211	151	106	49	27	11	152	95	49	31	11	162	82	20	6	58	16	31	5	76
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	141	127	95	12	2	2	129	87	9	3	2	111	53	11	3	36	8	22	2	54
	66.6	83.8	90.1	24.0	7.2	20.5	85.0	91.4	19.3	9.4	19.5	68.3	64.9	56.2	53.5	62.2	54.0	69.5	36.7	71.1
Total More Likely to Reject	43	11	4	28	24	3	9	3	30	25	4	30	16	6	1	15	5	7	2	13
	20.2	7.4	3.7	57.3	86.3	31.4	6.0	2.6	61.3	82.1	35.3	18.6	19.1	33.0	22.8	25.5	31.1	23.7	38.9	16.9
Makes No Difference in My	28	13	7	9	2	5	14	6	9	3	5	21	13	2	1	7	2	2	1	9
Opinion	13.2	8.8	6.2	18.7	6.6	48.1	8.9	6.0	19.3	8.5	45.2	13.1	16.0	10.9	23.6	12.4	14.8	6.8	24.4	12.0
Much More Likely to Approve	77 36.6	74 48.9	63 59.9	3 7.0	1 3.0		74 48.9	58 61.2	3 5.3	1 2.7	4.4	61 37.3	30 36.3	7 35.0	3 53.5	22 38.0	4 25.2	8 26.9	1 15.8	32 41.7
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	63 30.0	53 34.9	32 30.2	8 17.0	1 4.2	2 20.5	55 36.2	29 30.2	7 14.0	2 6.7	2 15.1	50 30.9	24 28.6	4 21.1		14 24.2	4 28.8	13 42.7	1 20.9	23 29.5
Much More Likely to Reject	33	5	1	24	22	3	4	1	24	23	4	22	11	5	1	10	1	5	1	11
	15.5	3.3	0.6	49.3	79.2	31.4	2.9	0.7	50.4	73.2	35.3	13.7	13.8	25.0	22.8	17.4	8.1	14.8	18.0	14.1
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	10 4.7	6 4.1	3 3.1	4 7.9	2 7.0		5 3.1	2 1.9	5 11.0	3 9.0		8 4.9	4 5.2	2 7.9		5 8.1	4 23.0	3 8.9	1 20.9	2 2.8

T15. Broadband infrastructure is essential to bringing fast, reliable and affordable high-speed internet to Mancos. Knowing this information, are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total More Likely to Approve	141	59	12	47	4	4	75	46	16
	66.6	59.4	44.7	74.5	42.7	25.9	80.3	74.2	38.5
Total More Likely to Reject	43	27	9	11	2	10	8	12	13
	20.2	27.2	33.9	16.9	20.2	69.5	8.4	19.4	30.9
Makes No Difference in My Opinion	28	13	6	5	3	1	11	4	13
	13.2	13.3	21.4	8.6	37.1	4.6	11.3	6.5	30.6
Much More Likely to Approve	77 36.6	31 31.5	6 23.4	25 40.1	5.2		48 50.9	23 36.7	7 16.9
Somewhat More Likely to Approve	63	28	6	22	3	4	27	23	9
	30.0	27.9	21.3	34.4	37.5	25.9	29.4	37.5	21.6
Much More Likely to Reject	33 15.5	21 20.9	6 21.3	7 11.7		8 56.2	5 5.3	9 14.1	11 26.2
Somewhat More Likely to Reject	10	6	3	3	2	2	3	3	2
	4.7	6.3	12.6	5.2	20.2	13.3	3.1	5.3	4.8

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	152	70	82	28	26	53	28	26	28	28	57	26	31	42	16	26	37	49	57
	72.0	74.9	70.3	62.2	71.3	66.3	67.2	67.1	84.1	79.2	81.6	83.8	79.8	68.5	76.7	64.3	62.4	69.2	87.8
Total No, Reject	49	21	26	12	8	20	12	7	5	7	12	5	7	16	4	12	18	19	6
	23.0	23.0	22.4	27.9	21.1	24.9	30.0	17.2	15.9	19.1	17.5	16.2	18.6	26.8	19.2	30.8	30.7	26.9	9.4
Undecided	11 5.0	2 2.1	9 7.4	4 10.0	3 7.5	7 8.9	1 2.8	6 15.7		1 1.7	1 0.9		1 1.6	3 4.6	1 4.1	2 4.9	4 6.9	3 3.9	2 2.9
Definitely Yes, Approve	95	46	49	13	15	29	16	13	18	18	36	18	18	30	12	18	18	27	44
	45.2	49.7	41.9	30.4	42.9	36.0	38.2	34.6	53.1	50.4	51.7	58.9	45.8	49.7	58.5	45.2	31.1	38.0	67.6
Probably Yes, Approve	57	24	33	14	10	24	12	12	10	10	21	8	13	12	4	8	19	22	13
	26.8	25.2	28.3	31.8	28.4	30.3	29.0	32.5	31.1	28.7	29.9	25.0	33.9	18.8	18.2	19.1	31.3	31.2	20.2
Definitely No, Reject	31 14.6	11 11.9	19 16.3	9 20.4	6 16.1	15 18.5	8 19.6	6 16.0	2 5.4	5 14.3	7 10.0	3 9.7	4 10.3	9 14.7		9 22.3	13 21.9	11 15.0	4 5.7
Probably No, Reject	18 8.4	10 11.1	7 6.1	3 7.5	2 5.0	5 6.4	4 10.4	1.2	4 10.4	2 4.8	5 7.5	2 6.5	3 8.3	7 12.2	4 19.2	3 8.5	5 8.8	8 11.9	2 3.7

				Democra	t Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211	80	28	52	33	24	23	76	31	45	32	23	22	51	32	18	15	21	15	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes, Approve	152	61	24	37	21	20	20	49	19	30	21	17	12	39	25	13	11	19	9	1
	72.0	76.0	85.7	70.9	62.9	85.6	85.1	65.0	61.9	67.7	66.2	74.0	53.9	76.3	78.3	75.4	73.0	89.6	60.1	33.7
Total No, Reject	49	14	3	11	8	3	2	21	10	10	7	5	8	12	7	4	4	2	6	1
	23.0	17.7	11.9	20.8	25.5	14.4	9.9	27.7	33.8	22.9	23.6	23.3	38.4	23.7	21.7	24.6	27.0	10.4	39.9	66.3
Undecided	11 5.0	5 6.3	1 2.4	4 8.4	4 11.7		1 5.0	6 7.3	1 4.3	4 9.4	3 10.2	1 2.7	2 7.7							
Definitely Yes, Approve	95	43	19	23	14	12	17	32	15	17	13	11	9	18	10	8	2	13	3	1
	45.2	53.5	69.9	44.8	41.3	49.3	75.2	42.6	49.0	38.6	39.8	46.7	42.4	36.5	31.6	46.8	16.9	61.4	19.8	33.7
Probably Yes, Approve	57 26.8	18 22.5	4 15.8	14 26.1	7 21.5	9 36.3	2 9.9	17 22.4	4 13.0	13 29.1	8 26.3	6 27.3	2 11.5	20 39.8	15 46.8	5 28.6	8 56.2	6 28.2	6 40.2	
Definitely No, Reject	31	10	3	7	8	1	1	14	6	8	6	4	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	1
	14.6	13.1	11.9	13.7	25.5	3.6	5.0	18.9	19.4	18.6	17.9	16.4	22.8	9.2	3.4	16.4	4.5	5.2	19.8	66.3
Probably No, Reject	18 8.4	4 4.6		4 7.1		3 10.8	1 5.0	7 8.9	4 14.4	2 4.3	2 5.7	2 6.9	3 15.5	7 14.5	6 18.3	1 8.2	3 22.5	1 5.2	3 20.1	

		C	Condition	of Streets	5	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	eric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Yes, Approve	152 72.0	6.9	23 50.3	60 73.1	68 91.5	87 65.3	26 60.7	64 86.9	31 92.5	57 65.5	11 69.1	69 79.5	13 95.5	96 74.8	23 63.0	27 75.6	5 64.4	141 92.9	101 95.7	8 14.3	
Total No, Reject	49 23.0	6 93.1	21 45.7	16 19.7	4 6.0	38 28.7	16 37.3	9 12.2	3 7.5	23 26.7	4 27.9	17 19.2	1 4.5	28 22.2	10 26.3	6 16.0	3 35.6	7 4.3	3 2.6	42 78.6	23 100.0
Undecided	11 5.0		2 4.0	6 7.2	2 2.6	8 6.0	1 2.0	1 0.9		7 7.9	3.0	1 1.3		4 3.0	4 10.7	3 8.5		4 2.7	2 1.7	4 7.1	
Definitely Yes, Approve	95 45.2		12 25.1	32 39.1	51 69.2	52 38.8	18 41.7	43 58.5	26 78.2	35 40.6	8 49.6	46 53.2	12 82.7	61 47.7	21 56.7	16 44.2	4 52.9	95 62.4	79 74.8		
Probably Yes, Approve	57 26.8	6.9	12 25.1	28 34.0	17 22.2	35 26.5	8 19.1	21 28.4	5 14.3	22 24.8	3 19.5	23 26.2	2 12.8	35 27.1	2 6.3	11 31.4	1 11.5	46 30.6	22 20.9	8 14.3	
Definitely No, Reject	31 14.6	6 93.1	15 33.0	5 6.5	3 4.5	24 17.9	12 28.9	6 7.6	2 6.1	19 21.8	3 21.9	6 6.8	1 4.5	18 14.4	7 18.2	4 10.7	2 29.2	3 2.0	1 0.6	28 52.5	21 92.9
Probably No, Reject	18 8.4		6 12.7	11 13.2	1 1.4	14 10.8	4 8.4	3 4.6	1.4	4 4.9	1 6.0	11 12.5		10 7.8	3 8.0	2 5.2	6.4	4 2.3	2 2.0	14 26.2	2 7.1

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor		nion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Yes, Approve	152 72.0	145 96.4	103 97.4	3 6.5		3 29.0	152 100.0	95 100.0				118 72.6	59 71.2	12 62.7	4 59.4	41 71.0	12 77.1	22 71.6	5 100.0	56 73.0
Total No, Reject	49 23.0	5 3.0	2 2.1	43 88.2	27 100.0	1 7.7			49 100.0	31 100.0		40 24.6	21 25.4	4 18.6	2 33.3	13 21.6	2 15.9	8 24.2		18 23.0
Undecided	11 5.0	1 0.6	0.4	3 5.3		7 63.3					11 100.0	5 2.8	3 3.4	4 18.7	7.3	4 7.5	1 7.1	1 4.2		3 4.0
Definitely Yes, Approve	95 45.2	95 63.1	91 86.2				95 62.7	95 100.0				78 48.4	36 43.8	8 41.2	3 46.2	23 40.1	6 35.6	15 49.4	2 36.7	41 53.8
Probably Yes, Approve	57 26.8	50 33.3	12 11.2	3 6.5		3 29.0	57 37.3					39 24.3	23 27.5	4 21.5	1 13.2	18 30.9	6 41.5	7 22.2	3 63.3	15 19.1
Definitely No, Reject	31 14.6	2 1.1	2 1.6	29 59.6	27 98.3				31 63.4	31 100.0		22 13.6	13 15.4	4 18.6	2 33.3	9 14.9	2 13.4	4 14.4		10 12.6
Probably No, Reject	18 8.4	3 1.9	1 0.6	14 28.7	1.7	1 7.7			18 36.6			18 11.0	8 10.0			4 6.7	2.5	3 9.8		8 10.4

T16. Thank you for learning the reasons why the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% ballot measure to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure. As a reminder, the 0.3% sales tax will raise \$100,000 annually. We now ask you again, if an election were being held today, would you vote yes and approve or vote no and reject a 0.3% sales tax increase to fund a street and road paving project, the annual, ongoing cost of road maintenance and repair, and broadband infrastructure?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Total Yes, Approve	152 72.0	67 67.6	15 55.6	50 79.7	8 91.0	3 21.3	90 96.3	46 74.3	13 30.9
Total No, Reject	49 23.0	27 27.5	10 35.1	11 17.7		11 78.7	3 2.8	12 19.4	22 54.8
Undecided	11 5.0	5 4.9	3 9.3	2 2.7	1 9.0		1 0.9	4 6.3	6 14.3
Definitely Yes, Approve	95 45.2	40 40.8	9 33.7	32 50.8	5 53.8	3 21.3	57 61.3	31 50.7	4 8.5
Probably Yes, Approve	57 26.8	27 26.9	6 21.9	18 28.9	3 37.2		33 35.1	15 23.6	9 22.4
Definitely No, Reject	31 14.6	15 15.5	6 21.4	8 13.3		10 71.1	1 0.7	8 12.7	12 29.0
Probably No, Reject	18 8.4	12 12.0	4 13.7	3 4.3		1 7.6	2 2.1	4 6.7	11 25.8

We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community. T19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Marshal's Office is doing?

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Approve	162	78	83	33	26	59	32	26	24	29	53	26	27	50	20	30	41	61	48
	76.9	83.8	71.1	73.9	73.4	73.7	77.9	68.3	70.0	82.1	76.2	84.4	69.5	81.8	94.3	75.3	68.4	86.7	75.1
Total Disapprove	20 9.3	6 6.7	13 11.6	3 5.8	5 14.7	8 9.8	2 4.8	6 15.5	7 20.8	3 7.2	10 13.8	4 13.6	5 13.9	2 3.7		2 5.6	7 12.2	3 4.4	7 11.6
Unsure	29	9	20	9	4	13	7	6	3	4	7	1	6	9	1	8	11	6	9
No Opinion	13.8	9.5	17.3	20.3	11.9	16.5	17.3	16.2	9.2	10.7	10.0	2.0	16.6	14.5	5.7	19.1	19.3	9.0	13.4
Strongly Approve	82	37	45	20	12	33	16	17	13	14	27	11	16	23	10	12	26	27	25
	39.0	40.0	38.6	46.0	34.3	40.8	38.5	44.3	38.7	38.2	38.4	35.2	41.1	37.4	50.0	30.9	44.3	38.4	39.4
Somewhat Approve	80	41	38	12	14	26	16	9	11	16	26	15	11	27	9	18	14	34	23
	37.8	43.8	32.5	27.9	39.0	32.9	39.4	24.0	31.2	44.0	37.8	49.2	28.4	44.3	44.3	44.4	24.1	48.3	35.6
Strongly Disapprove	6 3.0	3 3.1	3 2.9		3 8.6	3 3.8	2 4.8	1 2.9	1 2.8	1 2.4	2 2.6	1 3.0	1 2.2	1 2.4		1 3.6	2 3.2	1 0.9	3 5.1
Somewhat Disapprove	13 6.3	3 3.5	10 8.6	3 5.8	2 6.2	5 6.0		5 12.6	6 18.0	2 4.8	8 11.2	3 10.6	4 11.7	1 1.3		1 2.0	5 9.0	2 3.4	4 6.5

We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community. T19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Marshal's Office is doing?

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	an Voters			Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total Approve	162 76.9	57 70.9	21 74.3	36 69.1	22 66.6	17 72.9	17 75.1	59 77.6	28 90.3	31 68.7	23 73.6	16 72.8	19 88.6	43 84.5	27 84.6	15 83.6	13 88.8	18 84.5	12 80.2	1 67.3
Total Disapprove	20 9.3	8 9.7	1 2.4	7 13.5	5 15.5	3 10.8		6 8.4	1 4.6	5 11.1	2 5.9	4 16.3	1 3.8	6 11.0	4 12.8	1 8.2	1 5.6	3 15.5	1 9.9	
Unsure No Opinion	29 13.8	16 19.4	6 23.3	9 17.4	6 17.9	4 16.2	6 24.9	11 14.0	2 5.1	9 20.2	6 20.5	2 10.9	2 7.6	2 4.5	1 2.6	1 8.2	1 5.6		1 9.9	1 32.7
Strongly Approve	82 39.0	22 27.3	5 16.4	17 33.1	10 31.1	7 29.0	5 20.1	32 41.8	15 46.9	17 38.6	14 42.8	9 39.7	9 42.4	26 52.3	17 53.6	9 52.0	8 56.2	11 51.1	7 50.1	
Somewhat Approve	80 37.8	35 43.6	16 57.9	19 36.0	12 35.5	10 43.9	13 55.0	27 35.9	13 43.4	13 30.1	10 30.8	7 33.0	10 46.2	16 32.2	10 31.0	6 31.6	5 32.6	7 33.3	4 30.0	1 67.3
Strongly Disapprove	6 3.0	2 2.7	1 2.4	1 2.9	1 3.9	1 3.6		2 2.5	1 4.6	1.0	1 3.0	1 4.2		2 4.5	1 2.6	1 8.2	1 5.6		1 9.9	
Somewhat Disapprove	13 6.3	6 7.0		6 10.7	4 11.6	2 7.2		5 5.9		5 10.1	1 2.9	3 12.2	1 3.8	3 6.5	3 10.2			3 15.5		

Other

We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community. T19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Marshal's Office is doing?

		Condition of Streets Streets~Roads Job Approval									n Has Re Adequate		for		Town is onsible~S Wis	Spends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Approve	162 76.9	6 81.1	38 82.8	63 76.6	54 72.7	108 80.8	37 88.0	52 71.8	23 69.6	65 74.4	10 67.1	72 82.0	9 63.8	109 85.3	31 84.4	26 70.4	5 73.5	120 78.8	82 77.5	39 73.1	16 67.8
Total Disapprove	20 9.3	1 18.9		9 11.1	9 12.4	7 5.3	1 1.6	12 16.4	5 13.5	12 14.3	3 21.7	5 5.6	3 22.4	7 5.3	3 9.7	9 25.1	2 26.5	13 8.9	11 10.6	6 11.8	3 13.1
Unsure No Opinion	29 13.8		8 17.2	10 12.3	11 14.9	19 13.9	4 10.4	9 11.9	6 16.9	10 11.3	2 11.2	11 12.4	2 13.9	12 9.3	2 5.9	2 4.4		19 12.4	13 11.9	8 15.1	4 19.1
Strongly Approve	82 39.0	3 37.3	17 37.5	31 37.8	31 41.1	55 41.4	28 65.2	27 37.0	12 34.7	39 44.9	6 36.7	34 39.5	5 36.3	61 47.8	22 62.1	14 39.5	3 35.6	58 38.1	43 40.8	22 41.4	10 43.7
Somewhat Approve	80 37.8	3 43.7	21 45.3	32 38.8	23 31.6	53 39.4	10 22.8	25 34.7	12 34.9	26 29.5	5 30.3	37 42.5	4 27.4	48 37.5	8 22.4	11 30.9	3 37.8	62 40.6	39 36.7	17 31.7	6 24.1
Strongly Disapprove	6 3.0	1 9.6			6 7.6	1 0.8	1 1.6	5 6.2	4 11.0	3 3.1	3 17.6	2 2.6	2 16.2			5 13.8	2 26.5	4 2.8	4 4.0	2 4.0	2 9.1
Somewhat Disapprove	13 6.3	1 9.3		9 11.1	4 4.8	6 4.5		7 10.1	1 2.5	10 11.2	1 4.1	3 3.0	1 6.1	7 5.3	3 9.7	4 11.3		9 6.1	7 6.6	4 7.8	1 4.0

We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community. T19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Marshal's Office is doing?

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Approve	162 76.9	120 79.2	84 79.5	40 82.2	19 70.6	2 21.3	118 77.5	78 82.3	40 82.1	22 71.7	5 43.3	162 100.0	82 100.0			42 71.7	9 60.4	30 96.2	5 100.0	66 86.5
Total Disapprove	20 9.3	13 8.5	8 8.0	4 7.5	4 13.4	3 29.0	12 8.1	8 8.5	4 7.6	4 11.9	4 34.9			20 100.0	6 100.0	12 20.5	5 29.6			6 7.4
Unsure No Opinion	29 13.8	19 12.3	13 12.4	5 10.3	4 16.0	6 49.7	22 14.3	9 9.2	5 10.4	5 16.4	2 21.8					4 7.7	2 9.9	1 3.8		5 6.2
Strongly Approve	82 39.0	61 40.7	42 40.0	20 40.1	10 36.4	1 11.9	59 38.6	36 37.8	21 43.1	13 41.3	3 26.1	82 50.8	82 100.0			19 32.7	6 36.3	21 68.3	4 79.1	31 40.2
Somewhat Approve	80 37.8	58 38.5	42 39.5	21 42.1	9 34.2	1 9.4	59 38.9	42 44.5	19 39.0	9 30.4	2 17.2	80 49.2				23 39.0	4 24.1	9 27.9	1 20.9	35 46.3
Strongly Disapprove	6 3.0	4 2.8	3 3.2	2 4.3	2 7.7		4 2.5	3 3.1	2 4.3	2 6.8	4.4			6 32.1	6 100.0	3 4.5	1 6.0			2 3.1
Somewhat Disapprove	13 6.3	9 5.7	5 4.8	2 3.2	2 5.7	3 29.0	9 5.7	5 5.5	2 3.2	2 5.1	3 30.5			13 67.9		9 16.0	4 23.6			3 4.2

We will change topics now and ask you a few questions about the Marshal's Office and public safety in the Mancos community. T19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Mancos Marshal's Office is doing?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

Preference for New Sales Tax Revenue

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Approve	162	82	20	49	7	13	71	52	26
	76.9	82.8	72.2	78.5	76.7	88.6	75.8	84.5	63.7
Total Disapprove	20	12	7	5	2	2	9	6	3
	9.3	12.0	25.5	7.6	23.3	11.4	9.8	10.3	6.2
Unsure No Opinion	29 13.8	5 5.2	1 2.3	9 13.9			14 14.4	3 5.2	12 30.1
Strongly Approve	82	39	11	32	7	6	35	30	11
	39.0	39.4	38.9	50.7	76.7	39.7	37.9	48.0	27.9
Somewhat Approve	80 37.8	43 43.4	9 33.3	18 27.8		7 48.9	35 37.9	23 36.5	15 35.8
Strongly Disapprove	6 3.0	4 3.9	3 10.6	1 2.1	5.2		4 4.2	0.8	2 4.6
Somewhat Disapprove	13	8	4	3	2	2	5	6	1
	6.3	8.1	14.9	5.4	18.1	11.4	5.6	9.5	1.6

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 21

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Increased	58	20	37	11	8	20	9	10	14	9	23	10	13	15	1	15	23	17	15
	27.5	21.8	32.0	25.9	22.4	24.3	22.6	25.9	42.6	24.5	33.2	32.5	33.9	25.1	4.1	36.1	38.5	23.8	23.1
Total Decreased	31	18	13	2	6	8	7	1	8	8	16	6	9	7	5	2	5	13	13
	14.7	19.8	10.8	4.3	17.4	10.2	18.3	1.7	22.8	21.8	22.3	19.2	24.8	12.1	23.7	6.1	7.9	18.6	19.5
Stayed About the Same	76	38	39	18	12	30	15	15	5	15	20	11	9	27	11	15	16	29	22
	36.2	40.3	33.3	39.5	34.3	37.2	36.3	39.2	15.0	41.3	28.5	36.0	22.4	43.7	54.4	38.0	27.0	41.2	34.2
Unsure	45	17	28	13	9	23	9	13	7	4	11	4	7	12	4	8	16	12	15
No Opinion	21.5	18.2	23.9	30.3	25.8	28.3	22.8	33.2	19.7	12.4	15.9	12.3	18.9	19.1	17.8	19.7	26.6	16.4	23.1
Definitely Increased	16 7.4	3 3.6	12 10.1	2 4.2	2 6.8	4 5.3	1.2	3 9.0	6 18.9	3 8.2	9 13.4	3 9.3	6 16.8	2 3.2		2 4.9	5 9.0	4 6.1	5 8.0
Probably Increased	42	17	26	10	6	15	9	6	8	6	14	7	7	13	1	13	17	12	10
	20.1	18.1	21.9	21.8	15.6	19.0	21.4	16.9	23.7	16.2	19.8	23.2	17.1	21.9	4.1	31.2	29.5	17.7	15.1
Definitely Decreased	5 2.5	4 4.3	1 1.1		1 2.3	1 1.0	1 2.0		2 6.6	2 6.2	4 6.4	3 10.0	1 3.4					3 4.8	2 3.0
Probably Decreased	26	14	11	2	5	7	7	1	5	6	11	3	8	7	5	2	5	10	11
	12.2	15.5	9.7	4.3	15.1	9.1	16.2	1.7	16.2	15.7	15.9	9.1	21.5	12.1	23.7	6.1	7.9	13.8	16.5

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 21

				Democra	t Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	d Voters				I	Republica	n Voters			Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total Increased	58 27.5	18 22.1	3 9.5	15 28.8	5 15.6	6 23.6	7 29.7	22 29.5	8 27.3	14 30.4	10 32.2	8 35.6	4 19.1	17 32.9	9 26.5	8 45.9	4 28.1	8 38.3	4 29.7	1 66.3
Total Decreased	31 14.7	9 11.8	4 13.3	6 11.0	2 5.9	5 21.7	2 10.2	9 11.3	5 17.8	3 6.9	3 9.0	2 6.9	4 19.2	11 22.7	8 23.9	4 21.3	3 22.5	8 38.3		1 33.7
Stayed About the Same	76 36.2	33 41.0	14 49.2	19 36.7	16 46.9	9 38.5	8 35.1	24 31.8	10 33.5	14 31.0	8 26.6	7 32.9	8 38.5	19 38.2	14 41.9	6 32.8	6 39.3	3 15.5	10 70.3	
Unsure No Opinion	45 21.5	20 25.1	8 28.0	12 23.5	10 31.5	4 16.2	6 24.9	21 27.4	7 21.5	14 31.7	10 32.2	6 24.6	5 23.2	3 6.2	2 7.7		2 10.2	2 7.8		
Definitely Increased	16 7.4	3 3.8		3 5.9	1 1.9	1 5.4	1 5.0	8 10.7	2 5.6	6 13.5	4 11.5	4 16.4	1 3.8	4 8.6	2 5.1	3 15.2		4 20.4		
Probably Increased	42 20.1	15 18.2	3 9.5	12 22.9	5 13.7	4 18.2	6 24.8	14 18.7	7 21.7	8 16.9	7 20.7	4 19.3	3 15.3	12 24.3	7 21.4	5 30.7	4 28.1	4 18.0	4 29.7	1 66.3
Definitely Decreased	5 2.5	1 1.6		1 2.5		1 5.4		1 1.2	1 3.1			1 4.2		3 6.0	3 9.4		1 5.6	2 10.4		
Probably Decreased	26 12.2	8 10.2	4 13.3	4 8.6	2 5.9	4 16.2	2 10.2	8 10.0	5 14.7	3 6.9	3 9.0	1 2.7	4 19.2	8 16.7	5 14.5	4 21.3	2 16.9	6 28.0		1 33.7

Other

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 21

		C	Condition	of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Total Increased	58 27.5	6.9	15 31.7	25 30.8	18 23.7	35 26.2	12 28.0	23 31.4	7 20.6	27 31.0	4 28.7	23 26.9	3 20.1	33 25.6	12 32.1	12 32.8	6.6	42 27.7	31 29.6	13 25.2	7 28.4
Total Decreased	31 14.7	2 27.5	4 9.4	14 17.1	10 13.4	21 16.0	7 17.3	10 13.2	6 17.4	14 16.5	2 12.3	16 18.2	2 13.2	26 20.3	9 25.8	3 9.1	1 11.5	23 15.4	17 16.2	7 13.1	1 6.5
Stayed About the Same	76 36.2	4 56.0	10 22.6	30 36.6	31 42.3	48 35.9	10 23.1	28 37.7	15 43.8	32 36.5	7 44.5	28 32.4	6 44.6	48 37.6	14 39.7	18 48.7	5 66.3	58 38.0	40 37.7	19 35.2	7 31.8
Unsure No Opinion	45 21.5	1 9.6	17 36.2	13 15.5	15 20.7	29 21.8	13 31.7	13 17.7	6 18.2	14 15.9	2 14.5	20 22.4	3 22.1	21 16.5	1 2.4	3 9.4	1 15.7	29 18.9	17 16.5	14 26.5	8 33.3
Definitely Increased	16 7.4		2 5.4	6 7.6	7 9.1	8 5.7	4 9.4	8 10.8	2 7.3	7 8.0	3 19.2	6 7.1	1 7.9	9 6.7	4 10.8	1 2.6	6.6	12 7.8	10 9.0	2 4.7	1 6.3
Probably Increased	42 20.1	6.9	12 26.3	19 23.2	11 14.5	27 20.5	8 18.6	15 20.6	4 13.3	20 23.0	1 9.5	17 19.9	2 12.2	24 18.9	8 21.3	11 30.2		30 20.0	22 20.6	11 20.5	5 22.1
Definitely Decreased	5 2.5			5 6.4		3 2.4	2 5.0	2 2.8		2 2.4		3 3.7		4 3.4	1 2.3	1 2.6		4 2.8	3 3.0	1 1.8	
Probably Decreased	26 12.2	2 27.5	4 9.4	9 10.7	10 13.4	18 13.6	5 12.3	8 10.4	6 17.4	12 14.2	2 12.3	13 14.6	2 13.2	22 16.9	9 23.5	2 6.5	1 11.5	19 12.5	14 13.2	6 11.3	1 6.5

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 21

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	151 106 49 27 11						Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0		152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Total Increased	58 27.5	42 27.9	29 27.6	10 21.4	5 17.5	5 48.7	41 27.1	23 24.4	13 25.8	9 28.1	4 41.1	42 25.7	19 23.1	12 60.5	3 41.5	58 100.0	16 100.0			
Total Decreased	31 14.7	22 14.5	15 14.5	9 18.8	4 16.4		22 14.7	15 16.1	8 15.5	4 14.6	1 12.3	30 18.4	21 25.8					31 100.0	5 100.0	
Stayed About the Same	76 36.2	56 37.3	41 38.9	19 38.4	10 35.2	1 11.8	56 36.7	41 43.2	18 36.2	10 31.3	3 29.1	66 40.7	31 37.3	6 28.6	2 37.8					76 100.0
Unsure No Opinion	45 21.5	31 20.3	20 18.9	10 21.4	8 30.9	4 39.5	33 21.5	15 16.3	11 22.5	8 26.0	2 17.5	25 15.1	11 13.9	2 11.0	1 20.7					
Definitely Increased	16 7.4	13 8.8	7 6.9	1 2.6	1 2.3	1 9.2	12 7.9	6 5.8	2 5.1	2 6.8	1 10.5	9 5.8	6 6.9	5 23.4	1 14.8	16 26.8	16 100.0			
Probably Increased	42 20.1	29 19.1	22 20.7	9 18.9	4 15.2	4 39.5	29 19.2	18 18.6	10 20.7	7 21.3	3 30.7	32 19.9	13 16.2	7 37.0	2 26.7	42 73.2				
Definitely Decreased	5 2.5	5 3.5	2 1.8				5 3.5	2 2.0				5 3.2	4 5.1					5 16.9	5 100.0	
Probably Decreased	26 12.2	17 11.0	13 12.7	9 18.8	4 16.4		17 11.2	13 14.1	8 15.5	4 14.6	1 12.3	25 15.2	17 20.7					26 83.1		

T20. Do you think crime in the Town of Mancos has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past few years?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Increased	58	24	6	25	6	6	19	26	6
	27.5	24.3	21.8	40.3	67.9	43.4	20.8	42.0	15.3
Total Decreased	31	17	5	11	2	2	13	13	3
	14.7	16.9	18.5	18.2	23.1	12.2	14.1	21.5	6.9
Stayed About the Same	76	48	13	17	1	5	40	18	14
	36.2	48.8	46.3	27.7	9.1	32.1	42.5	29.4	33.7
Unsure	45	10	4	9		2	21	4	18
No Opinion	21.5	10.0	13.4	13.9		12.3	22.7	7.1	44.0
Definitely Increased	16	6	2	6	4	1	5	6	4
	7.4	6.4	5.6	9.7	39.4	5.7	5.6	9.4	9.0
Probably Increased	42	18	4	19	3	5	14	20	3
	20.1	17.8	16.2	30.6	28.5	37.7	15.2	32.6	6.3
Definitely Decreased	5 2.5	2 2.1	1 4.0	3 5.1	1 14.0		2 2.2	3 5.2	
Probably Decreased	26	15	4	8	1	2	11	10	3
	12.2	14.9	14.5	13.1	9.0	12.2	11.9	16.3	6.9

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211	93	117	44	36	80	41	38	34	36	70	31	38	61	21	40	59	70	64
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes	99	50	49	24	17	41	19	21	12	18	30	18	12	28	13	15	26	39	25
	46.9	53.3	42.1	53.6	46.7	50.5	46.4	56.4	36.3	50.6	43.6	57.7	32.1	45.7	60.3	38.2	44.7	55.4	39.1
Total No	63	30	33	17	8	25	19	6	15	11	25	9	16	12	2	11	21	18	21
	29.8	31.7	28.6	38.1	22.9	31.3	46.0	16.2	43.6	29.8	36.5	28.7	42.9	20.4	8.1	26.8	35.1	25.8	33.3
Unsure	49	14	34	4	11	15	3	10	7	7	14	4	10	21	7	14	12	13	18
No Opinion	23.3	15.0	29.2	8.3	30.4	18.2	7.6	27.4	20.1	19.6	19.9	13.6	25.0	33.9	31.6	35.1	20.1	18.8	27.6
Yes, Definitely	28	14	14	8	6	14	7	8	1	7	8	4	3	6	3	3	10	14	2
	13.1	14.5	12.2	19.1	15.8	17.6	16.1	19.8	2.7	18.5	10.9	13.9	8.4	9.8	12.4	8.5	17.5	20.2	2.7
Yes, Probably	71	36	35	15	11	26	12	14	11	11	23	14	9	22	10	12	16	25	23
	33.7	38.8	30.0	34.5	30.9	32.9	30.3	36.6	33.5	32.1	32.8	43.8	23.7	35.9	48.0	29.7	27.2	35.3	36.4
No, Definitely Not	9 4.3	4 4.2	5 4.5	2 4.2	1 3.6	3 3.9	1 3.2	2 4.8	5 15.3		5 7.4	3 8.3	3 6.7	1 1.3		1 2.0	3 5.3	2 3.0	4 6.0
No, Probably Not	54	26	28	15	7	22	18	4	10	11	20	6	14	12	2	10	18	16	18
	25.5	27.5	24.1	33.9	19.2	27.3	42.8	11.4	28.3	29.8	29.1	20.4	36.2	19.0	8.1	24.7	29.8	22.8	27.3

				Democra	t Voters				I	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				ļ	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Total Yes	99 46.9	36 45.1	12 43.9	24 45.7	19 58.4	7 31.1	9 40.2	33 44.0	16 53.2	17 38.1	13 41.5	14 60.3	7 30.8	27 53.4	20 62.4	7 38.9	7 50.5	9 43.9	10 70.3	
Total No	63 29.8	25 31.0	11 38.1	14 27.2	10 29.8	10 43.5	5 19.8	21 27.9	9 28.0	13 28.0	9 29.5	7 30.2	5 23.0	16 32.2	10 29.9	7 37.7	6 39.3	8 35.8	3 19.8	1 33.7
Unsure No Opinion	49 23.3	19 23.9	5 18.0	14 27.1	4 11.7	6 25.4	9 39.9	21 28.1	6 18.8	15 33.9	9 29.0	9.5	10 46.2	7 14.4	2 7.7	4 23.4	2 10.2	4 20.4	1 9.9	1 66.3
Yes, Definitely	28 13.1	10 12.2	2 5.5	8 15.7	8 23.3	1 3.7	1 5.0	7 9.7	4 12.6	3 7.7	1 4.5	3 15.0	3 11.6	10 19.2	7 22.2	3 14.3	5 33.7	3 15.4	1 9.9	
Yes, Probably	71 33.7	26 32.9	11 38.4	16 30.0	12 35.1	6 27.4	8 35.3	26 34.4	13 40.6	14 30.4	12 37.0	10 45.3	4 19.1	17 34.2	13 40.2	4 24.6	2 16.9	6 28.5	9 60.3	
No, Definitely Not	9 4.3	3 3.2		3 4.9		3 10.8		4 5.4	1 4.6	3 6.0	2 7.3	1 4.2	1 3.8	2 4.9	2 7.7		1 5.6	2 7.8		
No, Probably Not	54 25.5	22 27.8	11 38.1	12 22.3	10 29.8	8 32.6	5 19.8	17 22.5	7 23.4	10 22.0	7 22.2	6 26.0	4 19.2	14 27.3	7 22.2	7 37.7	5 33.7	6 28.0	3 19.8	1 33.7

		C	Condition	of Streets	5	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Ro Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211	7	46	83	74	134	42	73	34	87	16	87	14	128	36	36	7	152	106	53	23
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes	99	6	14	40	37	64	15	35	15	50	9	40	6	66	18	20	4	67	39	31	11
	46.9	93.1	31.0	48.8	50.4	47.6	34.6	47.6	44.1	57.6	56.8	45.6	45.9	51.7	48.5	55.7	57.5	44.2	36.7	59.0	46.6
Total No	63 29.8	6.9	13 28.4	30 36.0	19 25.4	40 29.6	15 35.4	23 31.3	12 36.7	24 27.3	3 21.4	31 35.8	4 29.7	46 36.0	17 46.8	12 33.1	2 21.9	49 32.3	40 37.5	11 19.9	8 36.5
Unsure	49		19	13	18	30	13	15	6	13	3	16	3	16	2	4	1	36	27	11	4
No Opinion	23.3		40.6	15.2	24.2	22.8	30.1	21.1	19.3	15.0	21.8	18.7	24.4	12.3	4.7	11.2	20.7	23.5	25.8	21.1	16.9
Yes, Definitely	28	2	3	11	11	15	3	12	5	17	5	8	1	15	7	7	1	13	9	15	6
	13.1	28.3	6.1	13.3	15.5	11.0	6.6	17.1	14.4	20.0	34.4	8.8	4.5	11.5	18.4	19.6	19.9	8.4	8.9	28.2	25.1
Yes, Probably	71	4	11	29	26	49	12	22	10	33	3	32	6	51	11	13	3	54	29	16	5
	33.7	64.8	24.9	35.5	34.9	36.6	27.9	30.5	29.7	37.6	22.5	36.8	41.4	40.1	30.1	36.1	37.5	35.8	27.8	30.9	21.6
No, Definitely Not	9 4.3		2 4.9	4 4.6	2 3.1	9 6.5	6 14.6	0.6	1.4	3 4.0		5 5.5	3.4	9 6.8	4 10.0	1.3	6.6	7 4.6	7 6.7		
No, Probably Not	54 25.5	6.9	11 23.6	26 31.4	17 22.3	31 23.1	9 20.7	22 30.7	12 35.3	20 23.3	3 21.4	26 30.3	4 26.3	37 29.3	13 36.8	12 31.8	1 15.3	42 27.6	33 30.8	11 19.9	8 36.5

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broa		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	Frime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211	151	106	49	27	11	152	95	49	31	11	162	82	20	6	58	16	31	5	76
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Yes	99	66	42	29	13	4	67	40	27	15	5	82	39	12	4	24	6	17	2	48
	46.9	43.4	39.8	60.0	49.1	35.7	44.0	42.3	56.0	49.9	45.6	50.5	47.3	60.4	61.4	41.4	40.9	53.9	38.9	63.1
Total No	63	51	39	10	8	2	50	32	11	8	2	49	32	5	1	25	6	11	3	17
	29.8	34.0	37.1	19.8	27.7	18.0	33.0	33.6	22.9	27.3	15.8	30.5	38.8	24.2	21.1	43.7	39.2	36.8	61.1	22.8
Unsure	49	34	24	10	6	5	35	23	10	7	4	31	12	3	1	9	3	3		11
No Opinion	23.3	22.6	23.1	20.2	23.3	46.3	22.9	24.1	21.1	22.8	38.6	19.0	14.0	15.4	17.5	15.0	19.9	9.3		14.0
Yes, Definitely	28	15	9	9	6	3	15	9	10	6	3	20	11	7	3	6	2	5	1	13
	13.1	10.2	8.8	18.9	21.7	27.4	10.1	9.8	20.0	19.3	24.4	12.3	13.1	35.9	46.5	10.4	9.9	16.5	20.9	16.8
Yes, Probably	71	50	33	20	8	1	51	31	17	9	2	62	28	5	1	18	5	12	1	35
	33.7	33.2	30.9	41.1	27.4	8.3	33.9	32.5	36.0	30.6	21.2	38.1	34.2	24.5	15.0	31.0	30.9	37.4	18.0	46.3
No, Definitely Not	9 4.3	8 5.5	5 4.7	1 1.7			8 5.5	5 5.2			1 7.8	7 4.3	7 8.5	2 10.8	7.5	6 10.7	4 23.1	2 6.8	1 24.4	1 1.1
No, Probably Not	54	43	34	9	8	2	42	27	11	8	1	42	25	3	1	19	3	9	2	17
	25.5	28.5	32.5	18.1	27.7	18.0	27.6	28.4	22.9	27.3	8.0	26.2	30.2	13.3	13.5	33.0	16.1	30.0	36.7	21.7

T21. Do you think the Mancos Marshal's Office has enough financial resources to keep residents safe and provide an acceptable amount of public safety services?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Total Yes	99 46.9	99 100.0	28 100.0			8 53.0	56 59.7	19 31.2	16 39.2
Total No	63 29.8			63 100.0	9 100.0	5 34.7	18 19.4	31 49.8	9 21.7
Unsure No Opinion	49 23.3					2 12.3	20 20.9	12 19.0	16 39.1
Yes, Definitely	28 13.1	28 28.0	28 100.0			1 4.4	14 15.4	6 10.3	6 15.5
Yes, Probably	71 33.7	71 72.0				7 48.5	41 44.3	13 21.0	10 23.7
No, Definitely Not	9 4.3			9 14.5	9 100.0	2 11.4	1 0.9	5 8.7	1 3.1
No, Probably Not	54 25.5			54 85.5		3 23.3	17 18.6	25 41.1	8 18.6

T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	r							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211 100.0	93 100.0	117 100.0	44 100.0	36 100.0	80 100.0	41 100.0	38 100.0	34 100.0	36 100.0	70 100.0	31 100.0	38 100.0	61 100.0	21 100.0	40 100.0	59 100.0	70 100.0	64 100.0
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Road Paving Broadband	93 44.3	43 45.7	51 43.6	20 44.5	16 43.9	35 44.2	16 37.8	20 52.3	13 37.9	16 45.6	29 41.9	14 46.1	15 38.4	29 47.2	13 60.3	16 40.4	23 39.2	29 41.4	34 53.0
Prefer Split Equally	62 29.4	27 28.8	35 30.1	10 21.8	11 31.7	21 26.2	13 30.7	8 22.0	13 38.6	12 33.4	25 35.9	10 32.4	15 38.8	16 26.1	4 19.4	12 29.6	18 29.7	22 31.3	19 28.8
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Public Safety Needs	15 6.9	7 7.4	7 6.0	4 10.1	2 6.8	7 8.6	2 5.8	4 10.1	2 4.9	3 8.0	5 6.5	5 14.4		3 5.0		3 7.7	5 8.7	3 4.2	4 6.7
Unsure No Opinion	41 19.4	17 18.2	24 20.3	10 23.6	6 17.7	17 21.0	11 25.6	6 15.5	6 18.6	5 13.0	11 15.7	2 7.0	9 22.8	13 21.7	4 20.3	9 22.4	13 22.4	16 23.2	7 11.4

T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?

				Democra	at Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Road Paving Broadband	93 44.3	41 50.6	14 51.9	26 49.9	15 45.1	12 49.1	14 60.1	30 39.2	11 36.8	18 41.1	16 50.0	8 35.7	6 27.0	20 40.0	15 47.0	5 28.6	4 28.1	9 41.2	7 50.4	1 33.7
Prefer Split Equally	62 29.4	21 26.7	8 29.1	13 25.4	8 23.5	8 32.9	6 25.1	21 28.1	9 28.5	13 28.1	7 20.7	9 39.7	6 26.8	19 36.7	10 30.8	9 49.0	7 44.9	8 35.7	4 29.7	1 32.7
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Public Safety Needs	15 6.9	4 4.8		4 7.4	4 11.6			4 5.3	2 7.7	2 3.7	2 7.5		2 7.6	6 11.8	4 11.9	1 8.2	1 4.5	4 18.1	1 9.9	1 33.7
Unsure No Opinion	41 19.4	14 17.9	5 19.0	9 17.2	7 19.8	4 18.0	3 14.9	21 27.4	8 27.0	12 27.1	7 21.8	6 24.6	8 38.6	6 11.5	3 10.3	3 14.3	3 22.5	1 5.0	1 9.9	

046---

T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?

		C	Condition of Streets Streets~Roads Job Approval								n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	eric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Road Paving Broadband	93 44.3	1 9.6	10 21.6	32 38.9	50 67.2	53 39.3	13 30.7	40 54.5	20 58.6	32 37.3	4 27.3	44 50.2	10 70.8	58 45.7	15 40.2	18 48.7	3 38.4	85 56.2	59 56.2	6 11.3	1 2.9
Prefer Split Equally	62 29.4	2 34.4	14 29.9	28 33.4	18 24.6	36 27.0	11 25.4	26 35.4	11 33.0	30 34.7	6 35.9	25 28.3	3 22.7	41 31.9	15 40.2	11 30.8	2 26.0	48 31.3	37 34.9	14 27.4	5 23.2
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Public Safety Needs	15 6.9	3 46.7	3 7.5	7 8.7	1 0.9	13 9.9	6 14.5	1 1.8		12 14.1	1 8.5	1 1.7		13 9.8	4 11.5	1 3.7	1 9.3	5 3.2	4 3.6	10 18.3	9 37.2
Unsure No Opinion	41 19.4	1 9.3	19 41.0	16 19.0	5 7.2	32 23.8	12 29.4	6 8.3	3 8.4	12 13.9	4 28.3	17 19.8	1 6.6	16 12.6	3 8.1	6 16.8	2 26.3	14 9.4	6 5.2	23 43.0	8 36.7

T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Road Paving Broadband	93 44.3	87 57.6	57 54.0	4 8.7	1 2.4	2 20.7	90 59.3	57 60.1	3 5.4	1 2.2	1 7.8	71 43.7	35 43.0	9 46.4	4 62.4	19 33.5	5 33.8	13 42.4	2 38.9	40 51.9
Prefer Split Equally	62 29.4	47 30.9	37 34.9	11 23.5	6 23.1	4 34.9	46 30.3	31 33.0	12 24.8	8 25.6	4 36.8	52 32.3	30 36.1	6 32.3	7.5	26 44.9	6 37.3	13 42.9	3 61.1	18 23.9
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Public Safety Needs	15 6.9	4 2.6	4 3.7	11 21.7	10 34.7		3 2.0	3 3.3	11 23.5	10 33.6		13 7.9	6 7.0	2 8.4		6 10.9	1 5.3	2 5.7		5 6.1
Unsure No Opinion	41 19.4	14 9.0	8 7.5	23 46.1	11 39.8	5 44.4	13 8.4	4 3.7	22 46.2	12 38.6	6 55.4	26 16.1	11 13.9	3 12.9	2 30.1	6 10.8	4 23.6	3 9.1		14 18.1

T22. As a reminder, the Town of Mancos is considering a 0.3% sales tax increase that would generate \$100,000 annually to fund a paving project that would include every local street and road, the creation of sidewalks and curbs, and broadband infrastructure. Would you prefer some or all of that sales tax revenue be allocated to the Mancos Marshal's Office and public safety needs?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211 100.0	99 100.0	28 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	93 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Road Paving Broadband	93 44.3	56 56.4	14 51.8	18 28.9	1 9.0		93 100.0		
Prefer Split Equally	62 29.4	19 19.6	6 22.9	31 49.0	5 58.8			62 100.0	
Prefer All New Sales Tax Toward Public Safety Needs	15 6.9	8 7.8	1 2.3	5 8.0	2 18.1	15 100.0			
Unsure No Opinion	41 19.4	16 16.3	6 22.9	9 14.1	1 14.0				41 100.0

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211 100.0	93 100.0	117 100.0	44 100.0	36 100.0	80 100.0	41 100.0	38 100.0	34 100.0	36 100.0	70 100.0	31 100.0	38 100.0	61 100.0	21 100.0	40 100.0	59 100.0	70 100.0	64 100.0
Female	117 55.2		117 100.0	21 48.2	17 46.6	38 47.5		38 100.0	20 60.6	18 49.6	38 54.9		38 100.0	40 65.8		40 100.0	47 78.7	32 45.2	30 46.5
Male	93 44.3	93 100.0		23 51.8	18 50.5	41 51.2	41 100.0		13 39.4	18 50.4	31 45.1	31 100.0		21 34.2	21 100.0		13 21.3	38 54.8	34 53.5
Identify Differently	1 0.5				1 2.9	1 1.3													

				Democra					l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				F	Republica	n Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Female	117 55.2	52 65.3		52 100.0	19 56.2	18 74.0	16 69.4	45 58.8		45 100.0	19 59.8	13 55.5	13 60.9	18 34.8		18 100.0		8 35.2	10 69.4	1 32.7
Male	93 44.3	28 34.7	28 100.0		15 43.8	6 26.0	7 30.6	31 40.7	31 100.0		12 39.0	10 44.5	8 39.1	32 63.9	32 100.0		14 95.5	14 64.8	4 30.6	1 67.3
Identify Differently	1 0.5							0.5			1.2			1 1.3			1 4.5			

		C	condition of	of Streets	S	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for		Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211	7	46	83	74	134	42	73	34	87	16	87	14	128	36	36	7	152	106	53	23
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Female	117	4	30	38	43	72	25	41	18	47	11	52	9	73	21	18	4	77	56	34	15
	55.2	56.0	66.0	46.5	58.2	53.6	58.9	56.2	52.5	54.2	67.6	60.0	65.4	57.1	58.7	48.6	53.0	50.5	53.1	64.5	65.5
Male	93	3	15	44	30	62	17	31	16	39	4	35	5	55	15	18	3	75	49	18	8
	44.3	44.0	33.2	53.5	40.9	46.1	41.1	42.8	47.5	45.0	28.0	40.0	34.6	42.9	41.3	49.6	37.7	49.0	46.2	34.8	34.5
Identify Differently	1 0.5		8.0		1 0.9	0.3		1 0.9		1 0.8	1 4.3					1 1.9	1 9.3	1 0.4	1 0.6	0.7	

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension		Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	otion of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211	151	106	49	27	11	152	95	49	31	11	162	82	20	6	58	16	31	5	76
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Female	117	81	53	26	16	9	82	49	26	19	9	83	45	13	3	37	12	13	1	39
	55.2	53.9	50.4	54.0	59.4	78.7	54.0	51.3	53.7	61.8	81.2	51.1	54.7	68.4	53.9	64.3	75.8	40.6	24.4	50.8
Male	93	70	52	22	10	2	70	46	21	11	2	78	37	6	3	20	3	18	4	38
	44.3	46.1	49.6	44.6	38.2	17.9	46.0	48.7	44.2	36.0	18.8	48.2	45.3	31.6	46.1	35.0	21.8	59.4	75.6	49.2
Identify Differently	1 0.5		1 1 3.5 1.4 2.5						1 2.2	1 2.2		1 0.7				0.7	2.5			

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 24 And now we have a few questions for statistical purposes only.

T23. Are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Female	117	49	14	33	5	7	51	35	24
	55.2	49.7	51.2	53.0	57.3	47.9	54.4	56.7	57.7
Male	93	50	14	30	4	7	43	27	17
	44.3	50.3	48.8	47.0	42.7	47.5	45.6	43.3	41.4
Identify Differently	1 0.5					1 4.6			0.9

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 25
T24. Are you between the ages of:

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211 100.0	93 100.0	117 100.0	44 100.0	36 100.0	80 100.0	41 100.0	38 100.0	34 100.0	36 100.0	70 100.0	31 100.0	38 100.0	61 100.0	21 100.0	40 100.0	59 100.0	70 100.0	64 100.0
18-34	44 21.0	23 24.6	21 18.3	44 100.0		44 55.3	23 55.9	21 56.1									16 27.0	15 21.3	13 20.8
35-44	36 17.0	18 19.4	17 14.3		36 100.0	36 44.7	18 44.1	17 43.9									5 8.6	13 18.4	15 22.7
45-54	34 16.0	13 14.2	20 17.6						34 100.0		34 48.5	13 42.3	20 53.5				9 15.2	8 11.4	15 24.0
55-64	36 17.0	18 19.4	18 15.2							36 100.0	36 51.5	18 57.7	18 46.5				4 7.3	16 23.5	12 18.5
65 or Older	61 29.0	21 22.4	40 34.5											61 100.0	21 100.0	40 100.0	25 42.0	18 25.5	9 14.0

T24. Are you between the ages of:

				Democra	t Voters				l	Jnaffiliate	ed Voters				1	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
18-34	44 21.0	21 26.1	11 38.1	10 19.7	21 62.9			17 22.1	6 18.4	11 24.8	17 53.0			7 13.1	7 20.5		7 44.9			
35-44	36 17.0	12 15.4	4 14.3	8 16.0	12 37.1			15 19.6	7 21.5	8 17.6	15 47.0			8 16.1	7 23.1		8 55.1			
45-54	34 16.0	12 14.5	3 9.5	9 17.2		12 49.0		14 18.4	6 18.4	8 18.5		14 61.6		8 16.2	5 15.3	3 18.3		8 38.4		
55-64	36 17.0	12 15.1	4 12.6	9 16.3		12 51.0		9 11.5	4 14.3	4 9.6		9 38.4		13 25.9	9 27.3	4 24.4		13 61.6		2 100.0
65 or Older	61 29.0	23 29.0	7 25.5	16 30.8			23 100.0	22 28.5	8 27.4	13 29.5			22 100.0	15 28.7	4 13.8	10 57.4			15 100.0	

T24. Are you between the ages of:

		C	ondition o	of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for		Town is ensible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proje	ort for Pa ect	ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
18-34	44 21.0	4 64.8	4 9.8	23 27.5	13 17.0	32 24.0	11 25.6	10 14.2	3 7.9	19 21.3		19 21.3	3 18.4	31 24.5	9 24.6	3 9.2		25 16.4	13 12.7	17 33.1	7 31.0
35-44	36 17.0	2 35.2	8 17.9	13 15.3	12 16.3	23 17.3	7 16.1	11 15.3	6 18.6	17 19.2	5 35.2	10 11.6	3 18.0	18 13.8	5 14.4	8 23.3	4 55.6	28 18.6	18 16.9	7 12.5	4 19.5
45-54	34 16.0		8 18.1	17 21.0	8 10.9	20 14.7	10 24.1	14 19.4	6 18.7	12 13.9	3 16.3	19 21.9	3 20.1	21 16.5	4 12.2	6 16.6	1 13.1	25 16.4	20 19.1	6 11.9	2 8.0
55-64	36 17.0		10 22.2	9 11.2	16 22.1	21 15.4	4 10.7	15 20.9	7 19.9	16 18.7	3 21.1	14 15.9	4 28.9	24 18.8	7 19.4	6 17.8		30 19.6	18 17.3	6 11.4	2 8.3
65 or Older	61 29.0		15 32.0	21 25.0	25 33.7	38 28.6	10 23.5	22 30.2	12 35.0	23 26.8	4 27.4	26 29.3	2 14.6	34 26.5	11 29.4	12 33.1	2 31.3	44 28.9	36 34.0	16 31.1	8 33.3

T24. Are you between the ages of:

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension		Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Tot Yes Def Yes Tot No Def No Und Ye 151 106 49 27 11 1						Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0						152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
18-34	44 21.0	28 18.2	19 18.4	12 25.3	9 32.9	4 39.8	28 18.1	13 14.1	12 25.4	9 29.3	4 41.8	33 20.2	20 24.7	3 13.1		11 19.8	2 11.8	2 6.1		18 22.9
35-44	36 17.0	26 17.0	14 13.7	8 15.4	6 22.8	3 23.6	26 16.8	15 16.1	8 15.6	6 18.8	3 25.6	26 16.2	12 14.9	5 26.8	3 48.7	8 13.8	2 15.6	6 20.1	1 15.8	12 16.1
45-54	34 16.0	27 18.2	20 19.0	5 10.9	1 3.4	1 8.3	28 18.7	18 18.8	5 11.0	2 6.0		24 14.6	13 15.9	7 35.6	1 15.0	14 24.8	6 41.0	8 24.7	2 42.3	5 6.6
55-64	36 17.0	28 18.6	19 17.7	8 15.8	4 14.1		28 18.7	18 19.0	7 14.1	5 16.7	1 5.8	29 18.2	14 16.6	3 13.0	1 13.5	9 15.1	3 18.9	8 25.2	2 41.9	15 19.4
65 or Older	61 29.0	42 27.9	33 31.2	16 32.6	7 26.8	3 28.3	42 27.6	30 31.9	16 33.8	9 29.2	3 26.7	50 30.9	23 27.8	2 11.5	1 22.8	15 26.5	2 12.7	7 23.9		27 35.0

T24. Are you between the ages of:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
18-34	44	24	8	17	2	4	20	10	10
	21.0	24.0	30.6	26.8	20.2	30.8	21.1	15.6	25.5
35-44	36	17	6	8	1	2	16	11	6
	17.0	17.0	20.5	13.0	14.3	16.8	16.8	18.3	15.5
45-54	34	12	1	15	5	2	13	13	6
	16.0	12.4	3.3	23.4	56.5	11.4	13.7	21.0	15.3
55-64	36 17.0	18 18.3	7 24.0	11 17.0		3 19.8	16 17.5	12 19.3	5 11.4
65 or Older	61	28	6	12	1	3	29	16	13
	29.0	28.3	21.7	19.8	9.0	21.3	30.9	25.8	32.3

		Gen	der						A	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211 100.0	93 100.0	117 100.0	44 100.0	36 100.0	80 100.0	41 100.0	38 100.0	34 100.0	36 100.0	70 100.0	31 100.0	38 100.0	61 100.0	21 100.0	40 100.0	59 100.0	70 100.0	64 100.0
Less Than \$25,000	15 7.1	1 0.7	14 12.2		1.3	0.6		1.2	4 13.0	1 1.9	5 7.3	1 2.1	4 11.5	9 15.3		9 23.3	15 25.2		
\$25,000 - \$49,999	44 21.0	12 12.8	32 27.7	16 36.0	5 12.8	21 25.7	8 18.5	13 34.1	5 13.6	4 10.2	8 11.8		8 21.5	15 25.3	4 20.9	11 27.6	44 74.8		
\$50,000 - \$74,999	37 17.4	17 18.1	20 17.0	6 14.4	6 16.1	12 15.2	6 14.7	6 16.0	4 10.4	9 26.3	13 18.6	6 19.0	7 18.2	12 18.9	5 23.3	7 16.7		37 52.3	
\$75,000 - \$99,999	33 15.9	22 23.0	12 10.2	9 19.3	7 20.0	16 19.6	14 34.0	2 4.6	4 13.2	7 19.6	11 16.5	6 18.7	6 14.7	6 10.2	2 8.1	5 11.3		33 47.7	
\$100,000 - \$149,999	47 22.2	27 29.0	20 16.9	9 20.3	10 26.7	19 23.2	10 23.3	9 23.7	13 38.2	9 24.8	22 31.3	13 41.7	9 22.7	6 10.5	4 21.2	2 4.9			47 72.6
More Than \$150,000	18 8.4	7 7.9	10 8.8	4 10.0	5 14.0	9 11.8	3 8.4	6 15.7	3 7.6	3 8.4	6 8.1	3 8.8	3 7.4	3 4.3	1 5.7	1 3.6			18 27.4
Prefer Not to Say	17 8.2	8 8.5	8 7.1		3 9.1	3 4.1	1.2	2 4.6	1 3.9	3 8.9	5 6.5	3 9.7	1 3.8	9 15.4	4 20.9	5 12.6			

				Democra	nt Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters					•	an Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Less Than \$25,000	15 7.1	7 8.9		7 13.7		3 10.8	5 19.8	5 6.3		5 10.7	1.5	2 8.1	2 11.4	1 2.8		1 8.2			1 9.9	1 33.7
\$25,000 - \$49,999	44 21.0	19 24.3	4 16.2	15 28.6	12 35.1	1 3.6	7 29.9	18 23.2	6 19.3	12 26.2	9 28.1	5 20.3	4 19.2	7 12.9	1 4.6	5 28.6		2 10.1	4 30.0	1 32.7
\$50,000 - \$74,999	37 17.4	12 15.5	4 15.1	8 15.7	5 15.8	6 25.4	1 5.0	17 22.6	7 24.3	10 21.6	6 17.7	4 17.9	8 34.7	6 11.8	5 14.0	1 8.2	1 5.6	2 10.4	3 20.1	1 33.7
\$75,000 - \$99,999	33 15.9	8 9.6	2 8.7	5 10.1	2 5.9	3 14.6	2 9.9	12 15.3	9 29.5	3 5.6	4 12.0	5 23.5	3 11.6	14 27.8	10 30.8	4 23.4	10 67.4	3 12.6	1 9.9	
\$100,000 - \$149,999	47 22.2	22 27.9	13 46.8	9 17.8	10 29.7	8 32.8	5 20.3	13 17.6	5 14.8	9 19.7	8 24.9	5 20.7	1 3.8	10 20.1	9 26.4	2 9.1	1 5.6	9 43.8		
More Than \$150,000	18 8.4	5 6.6	1 4.3	4 7.8	3 9.7	1 3.6	1 5.1	5 6.1	1 3.1	4 8.3	4 11.7	1 4.1		8 15.3	5 16.2	3 14.3	2 16.9	4 18.0	1 9.9	
Prefer Not to Say	17 8.2	6 7.2	3 9.0	3 6.3	1 3.9	2 9.2	2 10.1	7 8.9	3 9.1	4 7.9	1 4.2	1 5.5	4 19.2	5 9.3	3 8.0	1 8.2	1 4.5	1 5.2	3 20.1	

		C	Condition	of Streets	6	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate		for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proj		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Less Than \$25,000	15 7.1		5 10.3	4 5.3	5 6.7	9 7.0	2 5.8	6 7.7	5 14.8	5 5.3	2 13.3	8 9.0	1 6.2	9 7.2	2 5.5	3 8.6	1 11.4	8 5.3	6 6.0	5 8.9	3 14.9
\$25,000 - \$49,999	44 21.0	3 37.3	10 21.4	17 21.0	15 19.6	29 21.6	10 24.8	15 20.0	5 14.1	26 30.4	2 12.3	12 14.1	1 6.7	27 21.2	10 28.6	7 18.5	2 26.5	25 16.4	13 12.5	19 36.7	9 38.6
\$50,000 - \$74,999	37 17.4	3 46.5	9 20.2	13 16.2	11 14.6	26 19.6	11 25.7	11 14.4	3 8.3	13 14.4	2 12.6	14 15.5	1 10.6	21 16.1	3 8.8	7 19.9		23 14.9	13 12.6	14 26.6	5 23.5
\$75,000 - \$99,999	33 15.9		5 11.6	14 17.4	13 17.8	20 14.6	4 9.6	13 18.4	7 22.3	12 14.3		16 18.0	2 12.9	18 14.0	7 20.2	8 21.6	2 33.5	29 19.1	21 19.7	4 8.4	1 4.7
\$100,000 - \$149,999	47 22.2	6.9	8 17.6	21 25.0	18 23.7	27 20.5	8 18.8	19 25.6	8 23.8	13 14.6	3 21.6	26 29.4	5 32.6	33 25.6	5 12.7	5 12.9	1 12.8	41 27.0	31 29.8	4 7.7	
More Than \$150,000	18 8.4		4 8.2	8 9.6	6 8.0	10 7.2	3 7.2	6 7.8	4 11.7	10 11.6	3 19.3	7 7.7	4 31.1	12 9.0	5 14.7	3 7.2	6.6	13 8.5	10 9.4	3 5.4	2 8.3
Prefer Not to Say	17 8.2	1 9.3	5 10.8	4 5.4	7 9.7	13 9.6	3 8.0	4 6.1	2 5.1	8 9.4	3 20.9	5 6.2		9 6.9	3 9.7	4 11.3	1 9.3	13 8.8	11 10.0	3 6.3	2 10.0

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broa	% Sales T dband	ax for	Infor		ion 0.375 Extension		Tax	Marsh	al's Office	e Job App	oroval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Less Than \$25,000	15 7.1	8 5.5	6 5.8	6 11.3	2 8.3	1 10.4	9 6.2	4 4.6	5 9.7	2 7.4	1 7.8	12 7.1	5 6.4			3 5.8	1 5.9	3 8.9		3 4.5
\$25,000 - \$49,999	44 21.0	26 17.5	18 16.6	13 26.6	8 30.3	5 43.8	28 18.2	14 14.8	13 27.7	11 34.7	3 30.7	29 17.8	21 25.5	7 36.8	2 30.3	19 33.5	4 28.2	2 6.2		13 16.5
\$50,000 - \$74,999	37 17.4	21 14.1	11 10.4	14 29.1	9 31.5	1 9.9	21 14.1	9 9.8	13 26.9	9 30.7	2 21.4	29 17.7	11 13.3	3 13.2	1 10.5	7 12.0	2 13.5	8 25.6	3 63.3	14 18.8
\$75,000 - \$99,999	33 15.9	27 17.7	21 19.9	7 13.6	1 4.0		27 17.9	17 18.1	6 12.0	1 3.5	4.5	32 19.8	16 19.4	2.3		10 16.7	2 13.8	5 16.2		15 19.1
\$100,000 - \$149,999	47 22.2	43 28.8	33 31.1	3 6.7	2 5.7		43 28.1	34 35.2	4 8.5	2 5.6		38 23.7	18 21.7	4 20.8	2 25.2	10 17.5	5 33.2	9 30.5	2 36.7	16 20.9
More Than \$150,000	18 8.4	13 8.6	10 9.5	2 3.9	2 7.0	3 24.9	14 9.2	10 10.5	2 3.9	2 6.2	2 17.5	10 6.1	7 9.1	3 17.0	2 26.7	5 8.2		3 9.9		6 8.0
Prefer Not to Say	17 8.2	12 7.8	7 6.7	4 8.6	4 13.2	1 11.1	10 6.5	7 7.0	5 11.3	4 11.7	2 18.2	13 7.7	4 4.6	2 10.0	7.3	4 6.3	1 5.4	1 2.6		9 12.4

T25. How would you describe your annual household income?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less Than \$25,000	15 7.1	3 2.7		8 12.4	1 9.0	1 4.6	6 6.3	5 7.6	4 9.0
\$25,000 - \$49,999	44	24	10	13	2	4	17	13	10
	21.0	24.0	37.4	20.6	25.4	30.8	18.5	20.8	23.4
\$50,000 - \$74,999	37	20	7	7	1	1	16	9	10
	17.4	20.2	24.8	10.6	14.0	10.1	17.5	13.9	24.9
\$75,000 - \$99,999	33	19	7	11	1	1	13	13	6
	15.9	19.1	26.2	18.1	9.1	9.9	13.5	21.4	14.8
\$100,000 - \$149,999	47	17	1	15	2	2	26	14	5
	22.2	17.1	3.2	23.6	24.4	15.2	27.4	23.1	11.3
More Than \$150,000	18	8	1	7	2	2	9	4	3
	8.4	8.4	3.0	10.5	18.1	14.7	9.1	6.9	6.7
Prefer Not to Say	17 8.2	8 8.5	1 5.3	3 4.2		2 14.7	7 7.6	4 6.3	4 9.9

		Gen	ider						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	211 100.0	93 100.0	117 100.0	44 100.0	36 100.0	80 100.0	41 100.0	38 100.0	34 100.0	36 100.0	70 100.0	31 100.0	38 100.0	61 100.0	21 100.0	40 100.0	59 100.0	70 100.0	64 100.0
Democrat	80 38.0	28 29.8	52 44.9	21 47.2	12 34.4	33 41.5	15 35.5	19 49.1	12 34.4	12 33.7	24 34.0	6 19.6	18 45.9	23 37.9	7 33.9	16 40.0	27 45.0	20 28.7	28 42.8
Unaffiliated	76 36.0	31 33.1	45 38.3	17 37.8	15 41.5	32 39.5	12 30.1	19 49.7	14 41.3	9 24.3	23 32.5	10 32.2	13 32.8	22 35.4	8 40.5	13 32.8	22 37.9	29 41.0	18 28.0
Republican	51 24.0	32 34.7	18 15.1	7 15.0	8 22.7	15 18.5	14 34.4		8 24.2	13 36.6	21 30.6	14 44.0	8 19.6	15 23.8	4 21.3	10 25.1	8 13.4	20 28.6	18 27.8
Other Party	2 0.9	1 1.4	1 0.6							2 5.5	2 2.8	1 4.2	1 1.7				1 2.2	1 0.9	
Not Registered to Vote	2 1.1	1 1.0	1 1.2		1.4	0.6		1.3						2 2.9	1 4.3	1 2.2	1 1.5	0.7	1 1.4

				Democra	at Voters				ı	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				I	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL		Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	211 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Democrat	80 38.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0													
Unaffiliated	76 36.0							76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0							
Republican	51 24.0													51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	
Other Party	2 0.9																			2 100.0
Not Registered to Vote	2 1.1																			

Town of Mancos Ballot Measure Survey, 211n, +-6.05% MoE, January 17th - February 4th, 2024, Weighted Crosstabs Table 27

		C	Condition	of Streets	5	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is onsible~S Wis	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proje		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	211 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	83 100.0	74 100.0	134 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	14 100.0	128 100.0	36 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	152 100.0	106 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Democrat	80 38.0	5 65.6	21 46.2	30 35.9	25 33.4	56 42.2	16 38.5	23 31.7	13 37.6	28 32.0	4 24.0	37 42.9	8 56.0	53 41.8	13 37.0	10 28.0	1 8.9	54 35.8	35 33.1	23 43.8	10 44.0
Unaffiliated	76 36.0	2 34.4	17 37.1	22 27.2	33 44.1	47 34.9	18 43.1	26 35.2	12 35.4	29 33.7	4 25.7	31 35.0	5 37.8	40 30.9	14 38.1	14 39.5	4 50.4	53 34.7	37 34.6	20 37.1	9 40.6
Republican	51 24.0		6 13.8	28 34.2	16 21.6	28 20.8	8 18.4	23 31.3	9 27.0	29 33.5	8 50.4	17 19.5		33 25.5	8 22.4	11 30.7	3 40.7	42 27.6	33 31.0	9 16.6	3 12.5
Other Party	2 0.9		1 2.9		1 0.9	1 0.5		1 1.8		1 0.8						1 1.8		1 0.4		1 2.5	1 2.9
Not Registered to Vote	2 1.1			2 2.7		2 1.7						2 2.6	1 6.2	2 1.8	1 2.5			2 1.5	1 1.3		

		Uninfo		nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension	% Sales	Тах	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	211 100.0	151 100.0	106 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	152 100.0	95 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	162 100.0	82 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	31 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Democrat	80 38.0	58 38.3	47 44.3	16 33.1	10 38.2	6 55.6	61 40.1	43 45.0	14 29.2	10 34.0	5 47.7	57 35.1	22 26.6	8 39.3	2 34.2	18 30.5	3 19.8	9 30.5	1 24.4	33 43.0
Unaffiliated	76 36.0	52 34.8	35 32.8	19 37.9	12 42.3	5 44.4	49 32.5	32 34.0	21 43.3	14 46.6	6 52.3	59 36.4	32 38.5	6 32.4	2 29.8	22 38.6	8 52.4	9 27.6	1 18.0	24 31.7
Republican	51 24.0	38 25.0	22 20.6	13 26.3	5 17.0		39 25.4	18 19.4	12 24.7	5 15.1		43 26.4	26 32.2	6 28.3	2 36.0	17 28.7	4 27.8	11 37.0	3 57.7	19 25.3
Other Party	2 0.9	1 0.4	1 0.6	1 2.7	1 2.4		1 0.4	1 0.7	1 2.7	1 4.3		1 0.8				1 2.3		1 2.1		
Not Registered to Vote	2 1.1	2 1.5	2 1.7				2 1.5	1 0.9				2 1.4	2 2.7					1 2.9		

T26. For statistical purposes only, are you registered to vote as an unaffiliated voter, a Democrat, or a Republican, or are you registered with another political party, or are you not registered to vote?

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	211	99	28	63	9	15	93	62	41
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Democrat	80	36	10	25	3	4	41	21	14
	38.0	36.5	35.3	39.4	28.1	26.6	43.4	34.5	34.9
Unaffiliated	76	33	7	21	4	4	30	21	21
	36.0	33.8	26.5	33.6	44.7	27.7	31.8	34.5	50.8
Republican	51	27	10	16	2	6	20	19	6
	24.0	27.3	35.0	25.9	27.2	41.1	21.7	30.0	14.2
Other Party	2 0.9			1 1.1		1 4.6	1 0.7	1 1.0	
Not Registered to Vote	2 1.1	2 2.3	1 3.2				2 2.4		

		Gen	der						Ą	ge~Gende	er							Income	
	TOTAL	Men	Wom	18-34	35-44	18-44	18-44 Men	18-44 Wom	45-54	55-64	45-64	45-64 Men	45-64 Wom	65+	65+ Men	65+ Wom	Less \$50K	\$50K \$100K	More \$100K
TOTAL	209 100.0	92 100.0	115 100.0	44 100.0	35 100.0	80 100.0	41 100.0	38 100.0	34 100.0	36 100.0	70 100.0	31 100.0	38 100.0	59 100.0	20 100.0	39 100.0	58 100.0	70 100.0	64 100.0
Extremely Likely to Vote	167 80.0	70 76.1	96 83.0	28 63.8	27 77.2	56 69.8	27 65.8	28 73.3	31 90.6	29 82.0	60 86.2	26 81.6	34 89.9	51 86.5	18 88.4	34 85.6	45 77.8	53 76.4	55 86.6
Very Likely to Vote	28 13.3	16 17.4	12 10.1	12 26.0	6 18.3	18 22.6	10 25.4	8 20.1	2 6.6	5 14.4	7 10.6	4 13.4	3 8.4	2 3.9	1 7.4	1 2.1	6 9.9	15 21.5	5 7.3
Somewhat Likely to Vote	9 4.1	6 6.5	2 2.2	4 10.1	2 4.5	6 7.6	4 8.8	2 6.6	1 2.8	1 1.8	2 2.3	2 5.0		1 1.4	1 4.2		3 5.4	1 2.0	2 3.9
Unlikely to Vote	4 1.7		4 3.1							1 1.8	1 0.9		1 1.7	3 4.9		3 7.3	2 3.6		1 2.3
Prefer Not to Say	2 0.9		2 1.7											2 3.3		2 5.0	2 3.4		

				Democra	nt Voters				l	Unaffiliate	ed Voters				1	Republica	ın Voters			Other Voters
	TOTAL	Dems	Dem Men	Dem Wom	Dem 18-44	Dem 45-64	Dem 65+	Unaf	Unaf Men	Unaf Wom	Unaf 18-44	Unaf 45-64	Unaf 65+	Reps	Rep Men	Rep Wom	Rep 18-44	Rep 45-64	Rep 65+	Oth Prty
TOTAL	209 100.0	80 100.0	28 100.0	52 100.0	33 100.0	24 100.0	23 100.0	76 100.0	31 100.0	45 100.0	32 100.0	23 100.0	22 100.0	51 100.0	32 100.0	18 100.0	15 100.0	21 100.0	15 100.0	2 100.0
Extremely Likely to Vote	167 80.0	69 85.9	24 87.3	45 85.1	26 78.5	21 87.3	22 95.0	59 78.2	22 69.7	37 83.9	21 67.5	19 83.3	19 88.5	37 74.0	23 71.5	14 77.5	8 55.1	19 89.8	10 70.0	1 67.3
Very Likely to Vote	28 13.3	7 8.6	1 3.2	6 11.5	4 11.6	3 12.7		11 13.8	6 19.4	5 10.1	7 23.7	2 9.7	1 3.8	10 20.4	9 28.5	1 6.1	7 44.9	2 10.2	1 10.2	
Somewhat Likely to Vote	9 4.1	3 4.1	3 9.5	1 1.2	3 9.9			5 6.9	3 10.9	2 4.1	3 8.8	2 7.0	1 3.9							
Unlikely to Vote	4 1.7													3 5.7		3 16.4			3 19.8	1 32.7
Prefer Not to Say	2 0.9	1 1.4		1 2.2			1 5.0	1 1.1		1 1.8			1 3.8							

		C	condition (of Streets	5	Street	s~Roads	Job App	roval		n Has Re Adequate	esources e Roads	for	Respo	Town is ensible~S Wise	pends M	oney	Gene	ric Suppo Proje		ving
	TOTAL	Excl ent	Good	Fair	Poor	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Tot Agr	Strg Agr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Supp	Strg Supp	Tot Opp	Strg Opp
TOTAL	209 100.0	7 100.0	46 100.0	80 100.0	74 100.0	131 100.0	42 100.0	73 100.0	34 100.0	87 100.0	16 100.0	85 100.0	13 100.0	126 100.0	35 100.0	36 100.0	7 100.0	150 100.0	104 100.0	53 100.0	23 100.0
Extremely Likely to Vote	167 80.0	2 35.2	38 82.2	60 75.1	65 87.8	102 77.5	38 90.2	63 85.8	29 87.5	64 74.0	13 86.6	75 88.6	12 91.7	102 81.2	28 79.9	27 75.5	5 68.6	123 82.1	88 84.8	40 75.2	14 60.3
Very Likely to Vote	28 13.3	4 64.8	4 8.3	15 18.8	4 5.8	18 13.6	4 9.8	8 10.9	3 8.2	16 18.1		7 7.9	1 8.3	17 13.8	7 18.8	5 13.8	1 11.5	18 12.3	12 11.6	7 14.1	5 22.2
Somewhat Likely to Vote	9 4.1		1 2.4	4 5.1	3 4.5	8 5.8		1 1.3		4 4.6	1 4.1	2 2.2		4 2.9	1.3	2 6.7		8 5.1	4 3.6	1 1.8	
Unlikely to Vote	4 1.7		2 4.6		1 1.9	2 1.6		1 2.0	1 4.3	3 3.3	1 9.3			1 1.1		1 4.0	1 19.9			4 6.7	3 12.5
Prefer Not to Say	2 0.9		1 2.5	1 1.0		2 1.5						1 1.4		1 0.9				1 0.6		1 2.2	1 5.0

		Uninfo	rmed Opi Roads	nion 0.3% and Broad		ax for	Infor	med Opin E	ion 0.375 Extension		Tax	Marsh	al's Office	Job App	roval		Perce	ption of C	rime	
	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Und	Tot Apr	Strg Apr	Tot Dis	Strg Dis	Tot Inc	Def Inc	Tot Dec	Def Dec	Stay Same
TOTAL	209 100.0	149 100.0	104 100.0	49 100.0	27 100.0	11 100.0	150 100.0	94 100.0	49 100.0	31 100.0	11 100.0	160 100.0	80 100.0	20 100.0	6 100.0	58 100.0	16 100.0	30 100.0	5 100.0	76 100.0
Extremely Likely to Vote	167 80.0	124 83.1	94 90.7	35 71.5	17 62.2	8 75.8	126 84.5	92 97.4	33 68.3	19 61.6	7 70.0	128 79.8	57 71.5	17 86.0	5 77.2	46 79.5	14 89.1	22 72.9	2 36.7	66 86.5
Very Likely to Vote	28 13.3	17 11.2	6 5.9	9 18.9	7 27.3	2 16.6	16 10.7	2 2.6	10 20.4	7 24.3	2 17.5	23 14.4	16 20.5	1 6.7		8 14.4	2 10.9	7 22.4	2 45.3	8 9.8
Somewhat Likely to Vote	9 4.1	8 5.2	3 2.6			1 7.6	7 4.8				1 12.5	6 3.7	4 5.1			1 1.1		1 4.7	1 18.0	1 1.7
Unlikely to Vote	4 1.7			4 7.2	3 10.5				4 7.3	4 11.5		1 0.9	1 1.8	1 7.3	1 22.8	2 3.6				1 1.9
Prefer Not to Say	2 0.9	1 0.6	1 0.8	1 2.4					2 4.1	1 2.7		2 1.2	1 1.0			1 1.4				

T27. Although it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in a local ballot measure election next November to fund the street and road paving project? Are you:

Marshal's Office Has Adequate Resources

	TOTAL	Tot Yes	Def Yes	Tot No	Def No	Pub Safe	Road Bband	Equal	Un sure
TOTAL	209 100.0	97 100.0	27 100.0	63 100.0	9 100.0	15 100.0	91 100.0	62 100.0	41 100.0
Extremely Likely to Vote	167 80.0	77 79.9	23 85.8	49 77.8	8 86.0	10 69.2	79 87.0	48 77.2	30 72.5
Very Likely to Vote	28 13.3	15 15.1	2 8.9	8 13.4	1 14.0	4 30.8	9 9.4	11 18.4	3 7.9
Somewhat Likely to Vote	9 4.1	3 2.6		4 6.6			3 3.6	1 1.0	5 11.2
Unlikely to Vote	4 1.7	1 1.5	1 5.4	1 2.3				2 3.4	1 3.5
Prefer Not to Say	2 0.9	1 0.9							2 4.8